

WORKSHOP AND POST COMMISSION MEETING
TUESDAY, JULY 14, 2026
10:00 A.M.

COMMISSION ON POST ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING
CLASSROOM #2
5587 WA PAI SHONE AVE.
CARSON CITY, NV 89701

NOTICES

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

Posted: June 11, 2026

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ACT UPON A REGULATION

Notice of Hearing for the Adoption of Regulations of the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training as proposed in LCB File No. R109-26

DATE AND TIME: TUESDAY, JULY 14, 2026 @ 10:00 A.M.

LOCATION: POST ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, CLASSROOM #2, 5587 WA PAI SHONE AVE., CARSON CITY, NV 89701

The purpose of the hearing is to receive comments from all interested persons regarding the adoption of regulations that pertain to Chapter 289 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC).
The following information is provided pursuant to the requirements of NRS 233B.0603.

1. **The need for and purpose of the proposed amendment.**
Existing law requires the Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission to adopt regulations establishing minimum standards for the certification, decertification, recruitment, selection and training of peace officers. (NRS 289.510)
This regulation change will increase the minimum hours required for basic training for category I (from 480 to 725) and II (200 to 270) academies, bringing Nevada closer to the industry standards nationally. This regulation change will require all category I basic academies be in person and eliminate certain subjects from category I training. Subjects removed from the category I minimum standards are not category I subjects, which increased training hours in areas not as important for this category. This regulation change will put the focus back on actual category I training subjects.

2. **For a proposed temporary regulation, the terms, or the substance of the regulation to be adopted, amended or repealed, or a description of the subjects and issues involved.**
This is a permanent regulation.

3. **For a proposed permanent regulation, a statement explaining how to obtain the approved or revised text of the proposed regulation.**
This Notice of Intent to Act Upon a Regulation and the proposed regulation will be on file at the State Library, Archives and Public Records, 100 Stewart Street, Carson City, Nevada for inspection by members of the public during business hours. Additional copies of the Notice and regulations to be adopted will be available at the Commission on POST Administrative Offices, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, 89701 for inspection and copying by members of the public during business hours. This notice and the text of the proposed regulation are also available in the State of Nevada Register of Administrative Regulations, which is prepared and published monthly by the Legislative Counsel Bureau pursuant to NRS 233B.0653, and on the Internet at http://leg.state.nv.us, the

POST web site at http://post.nv.gov and the State of Nevada Department of Administration website at https://notice.nv.gov. Copies of this notice and the proposed regulation will also be mailed to members of the public at no charge upon request.

4. **The estimated economic effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately and, in each case, must include:**

(a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and

(1) Adverse effects: There will be no adverse economic effect.

(2) Beneficial effects: There will be no beneficial economic effect.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects:

(1) The immediate effects: There will be no immediate economic effect.

(2) The long-term effects: There will be no long-term effect.

5. **The methods used by the agency in determining the impact on a small business.**
The Commission on POST has reviewed the text of the proposed regulations. Because the proposed regulation amends Nevada Administrative Code Chapter 289, the proposed amendments to NAC Chapter 289 will have no impact on small business.

6. **The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.**
None

7. **A description of and citation to any regulations of other states or local governmental agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the notice must include the name of the regulating federal agency.**
The proposed regulation does not overlap or duplicate any regulations of state, local or federal governmental agency regulating the same activity.

8. **If the regulation is required pursuant to federal law, a citation and description of the federal law.**
The proposed regulation is not required by federal law.

9. **If the regulation includes provisions which are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity, a summary of such provision.**
There are no federal regulations that regulate the same activity.

10. **Whether the proposed regulation establishes a new fee or increases an existing fee.**
This regulation does not involve or establish fees.

11. **For a temporary regulation, each address at which the text of the regulation may be inspected and copied.**
N/A

****

Persons wishing to comment upon the proposed action of the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (Commission on POST) may appear at the scheduled public hearing or may address their comments, data, views or arguments, in written form, to Michael D. Sherlock, Executive Director, Commission on POST, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, Nevada 89701. **Written submissions must be received by the Commission on POST on or before 5:00 pm on June 30, 2026.** If no person who is directly affected by the proposed action appears to request time to make an oral presentation, the Commission on POST may proceed immediately to act upon any written submissions.
This Notice of Intent to Act Upon Regulations and the proposed regulation will be on file at the State Library, Archives and Public Records, 100 Stewart Street, Carson City, Nevada for inspection by members of the public during business hours. Additional copies of the notice and regulations to be adopted will be available at the Commission on POST Administrative Offices, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, 89701 for inspection and copying by members of the public during business hours. This notice and the text of the proposed regulation are also available in the State of Nevada Register of Administrative Regulations, which is prepared and published monthly by the Legislative Counsel Bureau pursuant to NRS 233B.0653, and on the Internet at http://leg.state.nv.us, the POST web site at http://post.nv.gov and the State of Nevada Department of Administration website at https://notice.nv.gov .

Copies of this notice and the proposed regulation will also be mailed to members of the public at no charge upon request.

Upon adoption of any regulation, the agency, if requested to do so by an interested person, either before adoption or within 30 days thereafter, shall issue a concise statement of the principal reasons for and against its adoption and incorporate therein its reason for overruling the consideration urged against its adoption.

The Notice of Intent to Act Upon a Regulation and the proposed regulation have been sent to all criminal justice agencies on the Commission on POST Listserv list and posted at the following locations:

Commission on POST Administrative Office
Carson City, NV 89701

State Library, Archives and Public Records
100 Stewart Street, Carson City, NV 89701

http://post.nv.gov

http://notice.nv.gov

http://leg.state.nv.us

NOTE: We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public with disabilities who wish to attend the meeting. If special arrangements for the meeting are necessary, please notify the Commission on POST, in writing, at 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, Nevada 89701, or call Chief Kathy Floyd at (775) 687-7678, Extension 3335, no later than five working days prior to the meeting.

SEE ATTACHED COPIES OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS

PROPOSED REGULATION OF THE PEACE OFFICERS'

STANDARDS AND TRAINING COMMISSION

LCB File No. R109-26

May 19, 2026

EXPLANATION – Matter in ***italics*** is new; matter in brackets **[**~~omitted material~~**]** is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §§ 1 and 2, NRS 289.510.

A REGULATION relating to peace officers; revising provisions relating to the minimum standard of training required for peace officers in training category I; revising the number of hours of training required for the completion of a basic course for peace officers in training category II; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Existing law requires the Peace Officers' Standards and Training Commission to adopt regulations establishing minimum standards for the certification and decertification, recruitment, selection and training of peace officers. (NRS 289.510) Existing regulations establish the minimum standard of training for peace officers in training categories I and II which require peace officers to complete a certain number of hours in certain basic training courses. (NAC 289.140, 289.150) **Section 1** of this regulation: (1) increases the number of hours of training in basic courses for peace officers in training category I from 480 to 725; and (2) requires peace officers in training category I to attend and complete basic courses in person. **Section 1** also eliminates certain subjects from the list of subjects required for inclusion in basic courses in training category I. **Section 2** of this regulation increases the number of hours of training in basic courses for peace officers in training category II from 200 to 270.

Section 1. NAC 289.140 is hereby amended to read as follows:

289.140 The minimum standard of training for officers in training category I is successful completion of a basic course that includes **[**~~480~~**]** ***725*** hours of ***in-person*** training in:

1. Law and legal procedures, specifically:

(a) Civil liability;

(b) Constitutional law;

(c) Crimes against persons;

(d) Crimes against property;

(e) Juvenile law;

(f) Laws governing coroners;

(g) Laws relating to arrest;

(h) ~~[Laws relating to correctional institutions;~~

~~(i)]~~ Laws relating to drugs, including, without limitation, current trends in drugs;

~~[(j)]~~ ***(i)*** Miscellaneous crimes;

~~[(k)]~~ ***(j)*** Probable cause;

~~[(l)]~~ ***(k)*** Rights of victims;

~~[(m)]~~ ***(l)*** Search and seizure;

~~[(n) Searches of offender institutions;~~

~~(o)]~~ ***(m)*** Traffic laws; and

~~[(p)]~~ ***(n)*** Use of force.

2. Patrol operations and investigations, specifically:

(a) Abuse of older persons;

(b) Basic patrol procedures;

(c) Child abuse and sexual abuse of a child;

(d) Crash investigations;

(e) Domestic violence, stalking and aggravated stalking;

(f) Investigation of crime scenes, collection and preservation of evidence and fingerprinting;

(g) Principles of investigation;

(h) Techniques of interviewing and interrogation;

(i) The DWI Detection and Standardized Field Sobriety Testing and the Advanced Roadside Impaired Driving Enforcement courses approved by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; and

(j) Unknown-risk and high-risk vehicle stops.

3. Performance skills, specifically:

(a) Fire safety and use of emergency equipment;

(b) Interpersonal communications;

(c) Lifetime fitness;

(d) Operation of emergency vehicles;

(e) Provision of emergency first aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation;

(f) Public and media relations;

(g) Searching of buildings;

(h) Tactics for the arrest and control of suspects, including, without limitation, methods for arrest and the use of less than lethal weapons;

(i) Training concerning active assailants;

(j) Training in the use of firearms; and

(k) Writing of reports.

4. The functions of a peace officer, specifically:

(a) Care of persons in custody;

(b) ~~[Classification and receiving of offenders;~~

~~(c)]~~ Community policing;

~~[(d)]~~ ***(c)*** Counter-terrorism and weapons of mass destruction;

~~[(e)]~~ ***(d)*** Courtroom demeanor, including, without limitation, the giving of testimony;

~~[(f)]~~ ***(e)*** Crisis intervention;

~~[(g)]~~ ***(f)*** Cultural awareness;

~~[(h)]~~ ***(g)*** Ethics in law enforcement;

~~[(i)]~~ ***(h)*** Games offenders play;

~~[(j)]~~ ***(i)*** Gangs and cults;

~~[(k)]~~ ***(j)*** Handling of persons with mental illness;

~~[(l)]~~ ***(k)*** History and principles of law enforcement;

~~[(m) Modern correctional philosophy;~~

~~(n)]~~ ***(l)*** National Crime Information Center procedures;

~~[(o) Records of offenders in institutions;~~

~~(p) Supervision of offenders;~~

~~(q)]~~ ***(m)*** Survival of peace officers;

~~[(r)]~~ ***(n)*** Systems of criminal justice; and

~~[(s)]~~ ***(o)*** The realities of law enforcement.

5. Course administration and examinations.

Sec. 2. NAC 289.150 is hereby amended to read as follows:

289.150 The minimum standard of training for officers in training category II is successful completion of a basic course that includes ~~[200]~~ ***270*** hours of training in:

1. Law and legal procedures, specifically:

(a) Civil liability;

(b) Constitutional law;

(c) Crimes against persons;

(d) Crimes against property;

(e) Juvenile law;

(f) Laws relating to arrest;

(g) Laws relating to correctional institutions;

(h) Laws relating to drugs, including, without limitation, current trends in drugs;

(i) Miscellaneous crimes;

(j) Probable cause;

(k) Rights of victims;

(l) Search and seizure;

(m) Searches of offender institutions; and

(n) Use of force.

2. Operations and investigations, specifically:

(a) Abuse of older persons;

(b) Child abuse and sexual abuse of a child;

(c) Domestic violence, stalking and aggravated stalking;

(d) Investigation of crime scenes, collection and preservation of evidence and fingerprinting;

(e) Principles of investigation; and

(f) Techniques of interviewing and interrogation.

3. Performance skills, specifically:

(a) Fire safety and use of emergency equipment;

(b) Interpersonal communications;

(c) Lifetime fitness;

(d) Provision of emergency first aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation;

(e) Public and media relations;

(f) Tactics for the arrest and control of suspects, including, without limitation, methods for arrest and the use of less than lethal weapons;

(g) Training concerning active assailants;

(h) Training in the use of firearms; and

(i) Writing of reports.

4. The functions of a peace officer, specifically:

(a) Care of persons in custody;

(b) Classification and receiving of offenders;

(c) Counter-terrorism and weapons of mass destruction;

(d) Courtroom demeanor, including, without limitation, the giving of testimony;

(e) Crisis intervention;

(f) Cultural awareness;

(g) Ethics in law enforcement;

(h) Games offenders play;

(i) Gangs and cults;

(j) Handling of persons with mental illness;

(k) History and principles of law enforcement;

(l) Modern correctional philosophy;

(m) National Crime Information Center procedures;

(n) Records of offenders in institutions;

(o) Supervision of offenders;

(p) Survival of peace officers;

(q) Systems of criminal justice; and

(r) The realities of law enforcement.

5. Course administration and examinations.

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

Posted: JUNE 11, 2026

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ACT UPON A REGULATION

Notice of Hearing for the Adoption of Regulations of the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training as proposed in LCB File No. R110-26

DATE AND TIME: TUESDAY, JULY 14, 2026 @ 10:00 A.M.

LOCATION: POST ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, CLASSROOM #2, 5587 WA PAI SHONE AVE., CARSON CITY, NV 89701

The purpose of the hearing is to receive comments from all interested persons regarding the adoption of regulations that pertain to Chapter 289 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC).
The following information is provided pursuant to the requirements of NRS 233B.0603.

1. **The need for and purpose of the proposed amendment.**
Existing regulation requires the Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission to adopt regulations establishing minimum standards for the certification, decertification, recruitment, selection and training of peace officers. (NRS 289.510)
Existing regulation requires the Executive Director to certify basic training courses presented by agencies which meet certain requirements. This regulation change will no longer require the certification of any basic training course as long as it meets the requirements, it will authorize the Executive Director to certify a basic training course as long as it meets certain requirements. In addition to a basic training course meeting the minimum standards of training for the applicable training category, this regulation change requires an agency requesting certification of a basic training course to demonstrate a need and demand for the course.
Existing regulation requires the minimum standards of training for certain officers to include training in emergency vehicle operations. This language change will require a basic training facility to have an adequate location to conduct, if applicable, emergency vehicle operations consistent with the standardized performance objectives.
This regulation change removes the obsolete training requirement found under subsection (1)(g) which required training in the use of the carotid restraint/lateral vascular neck restraint for all agencies authorized to use it. This training requirement was repealed by Assembly Bill No.3 of the 32nd Special Session.

2. **For a proposed temporary regulation, the terms, or the substance of the regulation to be adopted, amended or repealed, or a description of the subjects and issues involved.**
This is a permanent regulation.

3. **For a proposed permanent regulation, a statement explaining how to obtain the approved or revised text of the proposed regulation.**
This Notice of Intent to Act Upon a Regulation and the proposed regulation will be on file at the State Library, Archives and Public Records, 100 Stewart Street, Carson City, Nevada for inspection by members of the public during business hours. Additional copies of the Notice and regulations to be adopted will be available at the Commission on POST Administrative Offices, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, 89701 for inspection and copying by members of the public during business hours. This notice and the text of the proposed regulation are also available in the State of Nevada Register of Administrative Regulations, which is prepared and published monthly by the Legislative Counsel Bureau pursuant to NRS 233B.0653, and on the Internet at http://leg.state.nv.us, the POST web site at http://post.nv.gov and the State of Nevada Department of Administration website at https://notice.nv.gov. Copies of this notice and the proposed regulation will also be mailed to members of the public at no charge upon request.

4. **The estimated economic effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately and, in each case, must include:**

(a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and

(1) Adverse effects: There will be no adverse economic effect.
(2) Beneficial effects: There will be no beneficial economic effect.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects:

(1) The immediate effects: There will be no immediate economic effect.
(2) The long-term effects: There will be no long-term effect.

5. **The methods used by the agency in determining the impact on a small business.**
The Commission on POST has reviewed the text of the proposed regulations. Because the proposed regulation amends Nevada Administrative Code Chapter 289, the proposed amendments to NAC Chapter 289 will have no impact on small business.

6. **The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.**
None

7. **A description of and citation to any regulations of other states or local governmental agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the notice must include the name of the regulating federal agency.**
The proposed regulation does not overlap or duplicate any regulations of state, local or federal governmental agency regulating the same activity.

8. **If the regulation is required pursuant to federal law, a citation and description of the federal law.**
The proposed regulation is not required by federal law.

9. **If the regulation includes provisions which are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity, a summary of such provision.**
There are no federal regulations that regulate the same activity.

10. **Whether the proposed regulation establishes a new fee or increases an existing fee.**
This regulation does not involve or establish fees.

11. For a temporary regulation, each address at which the text of the regulation may be inspected and copied.

N/A

****

Persons wishing to comment upon the proposed action of the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (Commission on POST) may appear at the scheduled public hearing or may address their comments, data, views or arguments, in written form, to Michael D. Sherlock, Executive Director, Commission on POST, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, Nevada 89701. **Written submissions must be received by the Commission on POST on or before 5:00 pm on June 30, 2026.** If no person who is directly affected by the proposed action appears to request time to make an oral presentation, the Commission on POST may proceed immediately to act upon any written submissions.

This Notice of Intent to Act Upon Regulations and the proposed regulation will be on file at the State Library, Archives and Public Records, 100 Stewart Street, Carson City, Nevada for inspection by members of the public during business hours. Additional copies of the notice and regulations to be adopted will be available at the Commission on POST Administrative Offices, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, 89701 for inspection and copying by members of the public during business hours. This notice and the text of the proposed regulation are also available in the State of Nevada Register of Administrative Regulations, which is prepared and published monthly by the Legislative Counsel Bureau pursuant to NRS 233B.0653, and on the Internet at http://leg.state.nv.us, the POST web site at http://post.nv.gov and the State of Nevada Department of Administration website at https://notice.nv.gov .

Copies of this notice and the proposed regulation will also be mailed to members of the public at no charge upon request.

Upon adoption of any regulation, the agency, if requested to do so by an interested person, either before adoption or within 30 days thereafter, shall issue a concise statement of the principal reasons for and against its adoption and incorporate therein its reason for overruling the consideration urged against its adoption.

The Notice of Intent to Act Upon a Regulation and the proposed regulation have been sent to all criminal justice agencies on the Commission on POST Listserv list and posted at the following locations:

Commission on POST Administrative Office
Carson City, NV 89701

State Library, Archives and Public Records
100 Stewart Street, Carson City, NV 89701

http://post.nv.gov

http://notice.nv.gov

http://leg.state.nv.us

NOTE: We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public with disabilities who wish to attend the meeting. If special arrangements for the meeting are necessary, please notify the Commission on POST, in writing, at 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, Nevada 89701, or call Chief Kathy Floyd at (775) 687-7678, Extension 3335, no later than five working days prior to the meeting.

SEE ATTACHED COPIES OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS

PROPOSED REGULATION OF THE

PEACE OFFICERS' STANDARDS AND

TRAINING COMMISSION

LCB File No. R110-26

May 26, 2026

EXPLANATION – Matter in ***italics*** is new; matter in brackets **[**~~omitted material~~**]** is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: § 1, NRS 289.510.

A REGULATION relating to peace officers; authorizing the Executive Director of the Peace Officers' Standards and Training Commission to certify certain basic training courses; eliminating certain obsolete requirements relating to training in the use of certain restraints; requiring instructional facilities used for certain basic training courses to provide an adequate location for training in the operation of emergency vehicles; requiring an agency requesting certification of a basic training course to demonstrate that the proposed course satisfies certain criteria; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Existing law requires the Peace Officers' Standards and Training Commission to adopt regulations establishing minimum standards for the certification, decertification, recruitment, selection and training of peace officers, which must include regulations establishing requirements for basic training for category I, category II, category III and reserve peace officers. (NRS 289.510) Existing regulations require the Executive Director of the Commission to certify basic training courses presented by agencies or approved by the Commission which meet certain requirements. (NAC 289.300) This regulation instead authorizes the Executive Director to certify such basic training courses. This regulation additionally requires an agency requesting the certification of a basic training course to demonstrate: (1) the need for the approval of the course; (2) that the course meets the minimum standards of training for the applicable training category; and (3) that there is sufficient demand for the course.

Existing regulations require the minimum standard of training for officers in certain training categories to include training in the operation of emergency vehicles. (NAC 289.140) This regulation requires an agency submitting a course for certification to have, if the course requires training in the operation of emergency vehicles, an instructional facility with an adequate location to enable the course to provide practical demonstrations, training and testing regarding the operation of emergency vehicles in a manner consistent with certain standardized performance objectives or instructor manuals.

Before the enactment of Assembly Bill No. 3 (A.B. 3) of the 32nd Special Session, a peace officer was only authorized to use a choke hold in the course of his or her duties if: (1) the agency employing the peace officer authorized the use of choke holds; and (2) the peace officer had completed certain training prescribed by the Commission in the proper use of the choke hold and held certification for its use. However, A.B. 3 repealed the authority of an agency to authorize the use of the choke hold and affirmatively prohibited a peace officer from using a choke hold on another person, which includes the application of pressure to a person's neck on either side of the windpipe to stop the flow of blood to the brain via the carotid arteries. (NRS 193.305; section 12 of Assembly Bill No. 3, chapter 4, Statutes of Nevada 2020, 32nd Special Session, at page 72) Existing regulations require a basic training course to include certain instruction and testing in the use of certain restraints that temporarily restrict the flow of blood to the brain via the carotid arteries, if the applicable agency authorized the use of such restraints. (NAC 289.300) This regulation therefore eliminates this obsolete requirement, as the use of such restraints by a peace officer is prohibited under existing law.

Section 1. NAC 289.300 is hereby amended to read as follows:

289.300 1. The Executive Director ~~[shall]~~ ***may*** certify basic training courses presented by agencies or approved by the Commission which meet the following requirements:

(a) The length of the course must meet the minimum standards for training established by the Commission.

(b) A person enrolling in the course may be required to pass a physical fitness examination not sooner than 30 days before the commencement of the course and not later than 14 days after the commencement of the course. To pass such a physical fitness examination, the person must:

(1) For category I and reserve training:

(I) Complete a vertical jump of not less than 11.5 inches.

(II) Complete not less than 24 sit-ups in 1 minute.

(III) Complete not less than 18 push-ups.

(IV) Run 300 meters in not more than 1 minute and 22 seconds.

(V) Walk or run 1.5 miles in not more than 20 minutes and 20 seconds.

(VI) Complete an agility run in not more than 23.4 seconds.

(2) For category II training:

(I) Complete a vertical jump of not less than 12 inches.

(II) Complete not less than 23 sit-ups in 1 minute.

(III) Complete not less than 12 push-ups.

(IV) Run 300 meters in not more than 1 minute and 36 seconds.

(V) Walk or run 1.5 miles in not more than 24 minutes and 10 seconds.

(VI) Complete an agility run in not more than 24.9 seconds.

(3) For category III training:

(I) Complete a vertical jump of not less than 12 inches.

(II) Complete not less than 16 push-ups.

(III) Run 300 meters in not more than 1 minute and 29 seconds.

(IV) Walk or run 1.5 miles in not more than 21 minutes and 10 seconds.

(V) Complete an agility run in not more than 24.5 seconds.

(c) Each course submitted to the Executive Director for certification must have a curriculum that contains the following elements:

(1) Each topic of instruction for which the Commission has not established standardized performance objectives must have specifically defined objectives for the performance of the students which are based upon known work requirements;

(2) Each topic of instruction for which the Commission has established standardized performance objectives must include, at a minimum, the standardized performance objectives established by the Commission;

(3) Each topic of instruction must have a detailed lesson plan that specifically describes what the student is taught; and

(4) Each topic of instruction must be assigned a specific amount of time.

(d) Each course must employ performance-oriented instructional methods that provide opportunities for each student to demonstrate achievement of the objectives.

(e) For each course, there must be a system of written or practical examinations, or both, that will measure on a pass or fail basis the success of each student in achieving the objectives, including an examination at the beginning and end of each course.

(f) Each agency submitting a course for certification shall provide an instructional facility that meets the following requirements:

(1) A classroom with adequate heating, cooling, ventilation, lighting and space and an environment conducive to learning;

(2) Comfortable chairs with tables or arms for writing;

(3) Audiovisual equipment necessary to support the course;

(4) Instructional films and videotapes necessary to support the course; ~~[and]~~

(5) A firing range adequate to train officers safely in the use of firearms ~~[.~~

~~(g) If an agency authorizes the use of the carotid restraint or the lateral vascular neck restraint, the course must include at least 8 hours of instruction in that restraint and include presentations on the use of force, the rules of the agency relating to safety, medical implications, approved techniques, and instruction on care and control measures. The course provided by such an agency must also include a written test of at least 10 questions requiring a minimum score of 70 percent and a proficiency test requiring a minimum score of 85 percent. The agency shall maintain records of each student's performance in the course.]~~ ***; and***

(6) If the course requires training in the operation of emergency vehicles, a location adequate for the course to provide practical demonstrations, training and testing regarding the

operation of emergency vehicles in a manner consistent with any standardized performance objectives or instructor manuals prescribed or approved by the Commission.

2. Certification of courses will be made and maintained on the basis of on-site inspections conducted by the Executive Director or the staff of the Executive Director. Inspections will be conducted at the discretion of the Executive Director. The agency shall notify the Executive Director of any proposed changes regarding courses, instructors and facilities.

3. The Executive Director shall deny, suspend or revoke the certification of any course for failure of the agency to maintain the minimum curriculum, qualified instructors or requirements for the facility established by the Commission. The Executive Director shall automatically suspend the certification of a course that ceases operation for 24 consecutive months.

4. An agency requesting certification of a course shall ~~[make]~~ ***:***

(a) Make the request in writing to the Executive Director at least 60 days before the course is scheduled to begin. The training course must be reviewed and the request signed by the administrator of the agency and the legal adviser. The Executive Director shall acknowledge receipt of the request within 5 working days. The Executive Director shall respond with a detailed review of the course within 30 days and rule on the request within 45 days after receipt of the request.

(b) Demonstrate in the written request made pursuant to paragraph (a):

(1) The need for the approval of a new basic training course. The agency may demonstrate such a need by, without limitation, showing that other basic training courses that have previously been approved by the Commission are not:

(I) Currently available to the agency; or

(II) Cost-effective.

(2) That the course meets the minimum standards of training pursuant to NAC 289.140 to 289.170, inclusive, for the applicable training category.

(3) That there is sufficient demand for the course, including an incoming class size that is capable of, at a minimum:

(I) Facilitating effective interactions among a diverse group of students;

(II) Enabling a student to demonstrate his or her effectiveness in situations requiring teamwork or involving group dynamics; and

(III) Supporting or facilitating performance-based or scenario-based participation and training exercises.

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

NOTICE OF WORKSHOP TO SOLICIT COMMENTS ON PROPOSED REGULATIONS

The Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training is proposing the amendment of certain regulations in Chapter 289 of the Nevada Administrative Code.

The Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training will conduct a Workshop at **10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 14, 2026, at the Commission on POST Administrative Building/Classroom #2, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701.**

The purpose of the Workshop is to receive comments from all interested persons on the following general topics:

A. Proposed amendments to NAC 289.290

NOTE: Any agenda item may be taken out of order; items may be combined for consideration; items may be pulled or removed from the agenda at any time; and discussion relating to an item may be delayed or continued at any time. The workshop presenter will indicate when live public comment will be taken and, within his/her discretion, may allow for public comment on individual agenda items.

PUBLIC COMMENT: Public comment is welcomed during public comment periods and is limited to 3 minutes per person per public comment period. Unused time may not be allocated to other speakers. A speaker's viewpoint will not be restricted; however, reasonable restrictions may be imposed upon the time, place, and manner of speech. Irrelevant statements, unduly repetitious statements, and personal attacks that would objectively antagonize or incite others are examples of speech that may be reasonably limited.

WRITTEN COMMENT PROCEDURE: Members of the public who wish to participate may do so by providing written public comment to the following email address: kfloyd@post.state.nv.us. For inclusion or reference in the record of this workshop, please include your full name and contact information. Written submissions must be received by POST on or before 7:00 AM seven calendar days from the workshop date. POST may place other reasonable restrictions on the time, place, and manner of public comments but may not restrict comments based upon viewpoint.

DISABILITY ACCOMODATIONS: We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public with a disability and wish to participate. The Division will make reasonable accommodations for attendees with disabilities. Please notify the Division of your request for reasonable accommodation in writing no later than five (5) working days before the workshop via email to POSTstandards@post.state.nv.us or in writing, at Commission on POST, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701.
A copy of all materials relating to the Workshop may be obtained by visiting POST's website at: https://post.nv.gov or by contacting POST, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701. Members of the public who would like additional

information about the proposed regulations may contact (775) 687-7678, ext. 3335, or via e-mail to POSTstandards@post.state.nv.us.

This Notice of Workshop has been sent to all persons on the agency's mailing list for administrative regulations, all Nevada law enforcement agencies, agency single point of contacts and posted at the following locations on or before **June 29, 2026 and posted at the locations listed below:**

POST Administration Office, Carson City
Nevada State Library and Archives, Carson City
POST website at http://post.nv.gov
Nevada's Public Notice website at http://notice.nv.gov
Nevada Legislature's Notice Website at http://leg.state.nv.us/App/Notice/A
(Electronically posted pursuant to NRS 241.020(4))

NAC 289.290 Denial, revocation or suspension of certificate; reinstatement of revoked certificate. (NRS 289.510)

1. Each of the following constitutes cause for the Commission to revoke, refuse or suspend the certificate of a peace officer:

(a) Willful falsification of any information provided to obtain ***or maintain,*** the certificate.

(b) A permanent or chronic physical or mental disability affecting the officer's ability to perform his or her full range of duties.

(c) Chronic drinking or drunkenness on duty.

(d) Addiction to or the unlawful use or possession of narcotics or other drugs.

(e) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a gross misdemeanor. Upon criminal indictment or filing of a criminal complaint, suspension may be imposed.

(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter.

(g) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony. Upon criminal indictment or filing of a criminal complaint, suspension may be imposed. Upon conviction or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere, the certificate will be revoked.

(h) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (i), conviction of a misdemeanor. If the employing agency recommends suspension or revocation following the conviction of the employee for a misdemeanor, suspension or revocation may be imposed. In determining whether to suspend or revoke the certificate, the Commission will consider the type of conviction and other information provided by the agency indicating unprofessional conduct or similar undesirable activity by the officer that resulted in disciplinary action.

(i) Conviction of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33***) or a finding of a documented history of physical violence***. Following the conviction of the employee for such a misdemeanor ***or a finding of a documented history of physical violence***, suspension or revocation may be imposed regardless of whether the employing agency recommends suspension or revocation.

(j) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to a felony, misdemeanor, or crime which involves forgery, embezzlement, obtaining money under false pretenses, larceny, extortion, theft, conspiracy to defraud, fraud, dishonesty, or moral turpitude.

(k) A sustained finding of any act that involves moral turpitude or a reckless disregard for the safety of the public or any person.

(l) Any conduct or pattern of conduct that tends to disrupt, diminish, or otherwise jeopardize public trust in the law enforcement profession.

(m) Malfeasance, misfeasance, or nonfeasance in office.

(n) Inability to possess a firearm under state or federal law.

(o) Resignation in lieu of termination, termination from any civil service employment or having been investigated for and found substantiated misconduct involving dishonesty. Dishonesty includes untruthfulness, deception, misrepresentation, falsification, and dishonesty by admission or omission.

(p) Physical abuse, including, but not limited to, the excessive or unreasonable use of force.

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

SMALL BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT

EFFECTIVE DATE OF REGULATION:
Upon filing with the Nevada Secretary of State

Background:

Existing law (NRS 289.510) requires the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) to adopt regulations establishing minimum standards for the certification, decertification, recruitment, selection and training of peace officers.

The proposed language changes to NAC 289.290 will update this regulation to allow for revocation/suspension for certain violations of conduct. The current regulations contained in NAC289.290 are outdated and not consistent with national trends.

Description of Solicitation:

Public comment will be solicited at the workshop that is scheduled for July 14, 2026, starting at 10:00 a.m. at the POST Administrative Building/Classroom #2, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701, where public comment will be accepted during the workshop and regularly scheduled meeting held immediately after the workshop. The notice and the regulation to be amended, pursuant to NRS 241.020(4), will be provided via electronic mean to all law enforcement agencies on the POST Listserve email list, electronically posted on the POST website at post.nv.us, on the state of Nevada website at notice.nv.gov and the legislative website at leg.state.nv.us. The notice will be physically posted at the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training Administration Office at 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV and the Nevada State Library in Carson City. Copies of the notice and regulations to be amended will be available upon request by contacting the POST Standards Division at (775) 687-3335.

Does the proposed regulation impose a direct and significant economic burden upon a small business or directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business? (NRS 233B.0608(1))

No, the proposed regulation will not impose a direct and/or significant economic burden upon a small business or directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business.

How was that conclusion reached?

POST relies on the expert knowledge of Agency staff and uses informed, reasonable judgement in determining that there would not be an impact to small business due to the nature of the regulation change for NAC 289.

The proposed regulation strictly addresses peace officer certification and the reasons for revocation and suspension of those certifications; therefore it strictly impacts Nevada peace officers and no small business will be affected.

I, Michael Sherlock, Executive Director, certify that, to the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed regulation on small business, and that the information contained in the statement above is accurate. (NRS 233B.0608(3))

Date: 6/29/26 Signature: _____ Ex. Director

Name Title

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

AMENDED

NOTICE AND AGENDA OF PUBLIC HEARING, WORKSHOP AND PUBLIC MEETING

DATE AND TIME: Tuesday, July 14, 2026, starting at 10:00 a.m.

LOCATION: Commission on POST Administration Building/Classroom #2
5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave.,
Carson City, NV 89701

The agenda will include the following items. The Commission, at their discretion, may take items out of order, combine two or more agenda items for consideration, and remove an item from the agenda or delay discussion relating to an item on the agenda at any time. A request to have an item on the agenda heard out of order shall be made to the Commission's secretary prior to the commencement of the meeting. Prior to the commencement or conclusion of a contested case or a quasi-judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the Commission may refuse to consider public comment. See NRS 233B.126.

I. Call to Order

II. Roll Call of Commissioners

III. **PUBLIC COMMENT** – *The opportunity for public comment is reserved for any matter listed below on the agenda as well as any matter within the jurisdiction of the Commission. No action on such an item may be taken by the Commission unless and until the matter has been noticed as an action item. No comments may be made regarding a matter that is or may be the subject of a formal complaint before the Commission. Comment may not be limited based on viewpoint.*

IV. PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED REGULATIONS LCB FILE R109-26 AND R110-26

THE PURPOSE OF THIS HEARING IS TO SOLICIT COMMENTS FROM INTERESTED PERSONS ON THE FOLLOWING TOPICS THAT MAY BE ADDRESSED IN PROPOSED REGULATIONS. (THIS PUBLIC HEARING HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY NOTICED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF NRS CHAPTER 233B):

TOPICS: LCB File R109-26 and LCB File R110-26

1. **<u>DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.</u>**
The Commission to discuss and take possible action to adopt or amend their regulations as follows:

a. LCB File R109-26- amendment of NAC 289.140 which updates the minimum hours of training for Category I and II basic academies, requires all Category I academies be in person and removes all Category III performance objectives from Category I academies.

b. LCB File R110-26 - amendment of NAC 289.300 which updates the requirements for basic academy certification requirements and to remove all reference to choke holds in academy training.

V. WORKSHOP

1. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**
Proposed amendments to NAC 289.290.

Possible action may be approval of proposed language.

VI. REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETING

1. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**
Approval of minutes from the April 30, 2026, Workshop and Regularly Scheduled Meeting.

2. **INFORMATION ONLY** Executive Director's report.
   a. Training Division
   b. Standards Division
   c. Administration

3. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**
Request from the Humboldt County Sheriff's Office for a 6-month extension past the one-year requirement (NRS 289.550) in order to meet requirements for certification for their employees:
Deputy Damon Aitken – hire date July 21, 2025, extension to January 21, 2027.
Deputy Bertha Lenhardt – hire date June 9, 2025, extension to December 9, 2026.

Possible action may be approval or denial of the 6-month extension.

4. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**
Request from the Esmeralda Township Justice Court for a 6-month extension past the one-year requirement (NRS 289.550) in order to meet requirements for certification for their employee Joseph Bowman, hire date September 9, 2025, extension to March 9, 2027.

Possible action may be approval or denial of the 6-month extension.

5. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**
Request from the Mineral County Sheriff's Office for a 6-month extension past the one-year requirement (NRS 289.550) in order to meet requirements for certification for their employee Dakota Palomino, hire date July 9, 2025, extension to January 9, 2027.

Possible action may be approval or denial of the 6-month extension.

6. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**
Request from the Nevada Gaming Control Board for an Executive Certificate for their employee Deputy Chief Russell Niel, pursuant to NAC 289.270(1)(a).

Possible action may include approval or denial of the requested certificate.

7. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**
Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290 (1)(f) and (h) for the possible revocation of the Category II and III basic certificates held by Jesse M. Chulay, former employee of the Douglas County

Juvenile Probation Department, based on the conviction(s) and/or plea(s) of guilty to a Misdemeanor. The conviction(s)/plea(s) which have led to this action are:

Count 1: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b) and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor.
Count 2: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b) and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor.
Count 3: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b) and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor.

Possible action may be revocation of the Category II and III basic certificates.

8. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**
Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290 (1) (f) and (g) for the possible revocation of the Category III basic certificate held by Alan Islas, former employee of the Nevada Department of Corrections, based on the conviction(s) and/or plea(s) of guilty to a Felony. The conviction(s)/plea(s) which have led to this action are:

Count I: DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (Category B Felony – NRS 484C.110, 484C.400, 484C.105)

Possible action may be revocation of the Category III basic certificate.

9. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**
Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290 (1)(f) and (g) for the possible revocation of the Category III basic certificate held by Luis Sencion-Gonzalez, former employee of the Nevada Department of Corrections, based on the conviction(s) and/or plea(s) of guilty to a Felony. The conviction(s)/plea(s) which have led to this action are:

Count I: LURE OR ATTEMPT TO LURE CHILD OR MENTALLY ILL PERSON WITH USE OF COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY TO ENGAGE IN SEXUAL CONDUCT, a violation of NRS 201.560.4A, a Category B Felony.

Possible action may be revocation of the Category III basic certificate.

10. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**
Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(f) and (g) for the possible revocation of the category III basic certificate held by Alizabeth L. Vasquez, former employee of the Nevada Department of Corrections, based on the convictions(s) and/or plea(s) of guilty to a Felony. The conviction(s)/plea(s) which have led to this action are:

COUNT I: FURNISHING A PORTABLE TELECOMMUNICATION DEVICE TO A STATE PRISONER (a Category E Felony, in violation of NRS 212.165(1)).

Possible action may be revocation of the Category III basic certificate.

11. **PUBLIC COMMENT**
The opportunity for public comment is reserved for any matter listed on the agenda as well as any matter within the jurisdiction of the Commission. No action on such an item may be taken by the Commission unless and until the matter has been noticed as an action item. No comments may be made regarding a matter that is or may be the subject of a formal complaint before the Commission. Comment may not be limited based on viewpoint.

12. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**
Schedule upcoming Public Hearing and regularly scheduled meeting – October 26-28?

13. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**
Adjournment.

NOTE: Any agenda item may be taken out of order; items may be combined for consideration; items may be pulled or removed from the agenda at any time; and discussion relating to an item may be delayed or continued at any time. The workshop presenter will indicate when live public comment will be taken and, within his/her discretion, may allow for public comment on individual agenda items.

PUBLIC COMMENT: Public comment is welcomed during public comment periods and is limited to 3 minutes per person per public comment period. Unused time may not be allocated to other speakers. A speaker's viewpoint will not be restricted; however, reasonable restrictions may be imposed upon the time, place, and manner of speech. Irrelevant statements, unduly repetitious statements, and personal attacks that would objectively antagonize or incite others are examples of speech that may be reasonably limited.

WRITTEN COMMENT PROCEDURE: Members of the public who wish to participate may do so by providing written public comment to the following email address: kfloyd@post.state.nv.us. For inclusion or reference in the record of this meeting, please include your full name and contact information. Written submissions must be received by POST on or before 7:00 AM seven calendar days from the meeting date. POST may place other reasonable restrictions on the time, place, and manner of public comments but may not restrict comments based upon viewpoint.

DISABILITY ACCOMODATIONS: We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public with a disability and wish to participate. The Division will make reasonable accommodations for attendees with disabilities. Please notify the Division of your request for reasonable accommodation in writing no later than five (5) working days before the workshop via email to POSTstandards@post.state.nv.us or in writing, at Commission on POST, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701.

A copy of all materials relating to the proposal may be obtained by visiting POST's website at: post.nv.gov or by contacting POST, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701. Members of the public who would like additional information about the proposed regulations may contact (775) 687-7678, ext. 3335, or via e-mail to POSTstandards@post.state.nv.us.

This Notice of Workshop has been sent to all persons on the agency's mailing list for administrative regulations, all Nevada law enforcement agencies, agency single point of contacts and posted at the following locations:

POST Administration Office, Carson City
Nevada State Library and Archives, Carson City
POST website at http://post.nv.gov
Nevada's Public Notice website at http://notice.nv.gov
Nevada Legislature's Notice Website at http://leg.state.nv.us/App/Notice/A
(Electronically posted pursuant to NRS 241.020(4))

PUBLIC HEARING

I. Call to Order

II. Roll Call of Commissioners

III. **PUBLIC COMMENT** – *The opportunity for public comment is reserved for any matter listed below on the agenda as well as any matter within the jurisdiction of the Commission. No action on such an item may be taken by the Commission unless and until the matter has been noticed as an action item. No comments may be made regarding a matter that is or may be the subject of a formal complaint before the Commission. Comment may not be limited based on viewpoint.*

IV. PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED REGULATIONS LCB FILE R109-26 AND R110-26

THE PURPOSE OF THIS HEARING IS TO SOLICIT COMMENTS FROM INTERESTED PERSONS ON THE FOLLOWING TOPICS THAT MAY BE ADDRESSED IN PROPOSED REGULATIONS. (THIS PUBLIC HEARING HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY NOTICED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF NRS CHAPTER 233B):

TOPICS: LCB File R109-26 and LCB File R110-26

1. **<u>DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.</u>**
   The Commission to discuss and take possible action to adopt or amend their regulations as follows:
   a. LCB File R109-26- amendment of NAC 289.140 which updates the minimum hours of training for Category I and II basic academies, requires all Category I academies be in person and removes all Category III performance objectives from Category I academies.

   b. LCB File R110-26 - amendment of NAC 289.300 which updates the requirements for basic academy certification requirements and to remove all reference to choke holds in academy training.

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

Posted: June 11, 2026

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ACT UPON A REGULATION

Notice of Hearing for the Adoption of Regulations of the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training as proposed in LCB File No. R109-26

DATE AND TIME: TUESDAY, JULY 14, 2026 @ 10:00 A.M.

LOCATION: POST ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, CLASSROOM #2, 5587 WA PAI SHONE AVE., CARSON CITY, NV 89701

The purpose of the hearing is to receive comments from all interested persons regarding the adoption of regulations that pertain to Chapter 289 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC).
The following information is provided pursuant to the requirements of NRS 233B.0603.

1. **The need for and purpose of the proposed amendment.**
Existing law requires the Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission to adopt regulations establishing minimum standards for the certification, decertification, recruitment, selection and training of peace officers. (NRS 289.510)
This regulation change will increase the minimum hours required for basic training for category I (from 480 to 725) and II (200 to 270) academies, bringing Nevada closer to the industry standards nationally. This regulation change will require all category I basic academies be in person and eliminate certain subjects from category I training. Subjects removed from the category I minimum standards are not category I subjects, which increased training hours in areas not as important for this category. This regulation change will put the focus back on actual category I training subjects.

2. **For a proposed temporary regulation, the terms, or the substance of the regulation to be adopted, amended or repealed, or a description of the subjects and issues involved.**
This is a permanent regulation.

3. **For a proposed permanent regulation, a statement explaining how to obtain the approved or revised text of the proposed regulation.**
This Notice of Intent to Act Upon a Regulation and the proposed regulation will be on file at the State Library, Archives and Public Records, 100 Stewart Street, Carson City, Nevada for inspection by members of the public during business hours. Additional copies of the Notice and regulations to be adopted will be available at the Commission on POST Administrative Offices, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, 89701 for inspection and copying by members of the public during business hours. This notice and the text of the proposed regulation are also available in the State of Nevada Register of Administrative Regulations, which is prepared and published monthly by the Legislative Counsel Bureau pursuant to NRS 233B.0653, and on the Internet at http://leg.state.nv.us, the

POST web site at http://post.nv.gov and the State of Nevada Department of Administration website at https://notice.nv.gov. Copies of this notice and the proposed regulation will also be mailed to members of the public at no charge upon request.

4. **The estimated economic effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately and, in each case, must include:**

(a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and

(1) Adverse effects: There will be no adverse economic effect.

(2) Beneficial effects: There will be no beneficial economic effect.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects:

(1) The immediate effects: There will be no immediate economic effect.

(2) The long-term effects: There will be no long-term effect.

5. **The methods used by the agency in determining the impact on a small business.**
The Commission on POST has reviewed the text of the proposed regulations. Because the proposed regulation amends Nevada Administrative Code Chapter 289, the proposed amendments to NAC Chapter 289 will have no impact on small business.

6. **The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.**
None

7. **A description of and citation to any regulations of other states or local governmental agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the notice must include the name of the regulating federal agency.**
The proposed regulation does not overlap or duplicate any regulations of state, local or federal governmental agency regulating the same activity.

8. **If the regulation is required pursuant to federal law, a citation and description of the federal law.**
The proposed regulation is not required by federal law.

9. **If the regulation includes provisions which are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity, a summary of such provision.**
There are no federal regulations that regulate the same activity.

10. **Whether the proposed regulation establishes a new fee or increases an existing fee.**
This regulation does not involve or establish fees.

11. **For a temporary regulation, each address at which the text of the regulation may be inspected and copied.**
N/A

****

Persons wishing to comment upon the proposed action of the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (Commission on POST) may appear at the scheduled public hearing or may address their comments, data, views or arguments, in written form, to Michael D. Sherlock, Executive Director, Commission on POST, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, Nevada 89701. **Written submissions must be received by the Commission on POST on or before 5:00 pm on June 30, 2026.** If no person who is directly affected by the proposed action appears to request time to make an oral presentation, the Commission on POST may proceed immediately to act upon any written submissions.
This Notice of Intent to Act Upon Regulations and the proposed regulation will be on file at the State Library, Archives and Public Records, 100 Stewart Street, Carson City, Nevada for inspection by members of the public during business hours. Additional copies of the notice and regulations to be adopted will be available at the Commission on POST Administrative Offices, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, 89701 for inspection and copying by members of the public during business hours. This notice and the text of the proposed regulation are also available in the State of Nevada Register of Administrative Regulations, which is prepared and published monthly by the Legislative Counsel Bureau pursuant to NRS 233B.0653, and on the Internet at http://leg.state.nv.us, the POST web site at http://post.nv.gov and the State of Nevada Department of Administration website at https://notice.nv.gov .

Copies of this notice and the proposed regulation will also be mailed to members of the public at no charge upon request.

Upon adoption of any regulation, the agency, if requested to do so by an interested person, either before adoption or within 30 days thereafter, shall issue a concise statement of the principal reasons for and against its adoption and incorporate therein its reason for overruling the consideration urged against its adoption.

The Notice of Intent to Act Upon a Regulation and the proposed regulation have been sent to all criminal justice agencies on the Commission on POST Listserv list and posted at the following locations:

Commission on POST Administrative Office
Carson City, NV 89701

State Library, Archives and Public Records
100 Stewart Street, Carson City, NV 89701

http://post.nv.gov

http://notice.nv.gov

http://leg.state.nv.us

NOTE: We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public with disabilities who wish to attend the meeting. If special arrangements for the meeting are necessary, please notify the Commission on POST, in writing, at 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, Nevada 89701, or call Chief Kathy Floyd at (775) 687-7678, Extension 3335, no later than five working days prior to the meeting.

SEE ATTACHED COPIES OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS

PROPOSED REGULATION OF THE PEACE OFFICERS'

STANDARDS AND TRAINING COMMISSION

LCB File No. R109-26

May 19, 2026

EXPLANATION – Matter in ***italics*** is new; matter in brackets **[**~~omitted material~~**]** is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §§ 1 and 2, NRS 289.510.

A REGULATION relating to peace officers; revising provisions relating to the minimum standard of training required for peace officers in training category I; revising the number of hours of training required for the completion of a basic course for peace officers in training category II; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Existing law requires the Peace Officers' Standards and Training Commission to adopt regulations establishing minimum standards for the certification and decertification, recruitment, selection and training of peace officers. (NRS 289.510) Existing regulations establish the minimum standard of training for peace officers in training categories I and II which require peace officers to complete a certain number of hours in certain basic training courses. (NAC 289.140, 289.150) **Section 1** of this regulation: (1) increases the number of hours of training in basic courses for peace officers in training category I from 480 to 725; and (2) requires peace officers in training category I to attend and complete basic courses in person. **Section 1** also eliminates certain subjects from the list of subjects required for inclusion in basic courses in training category I. **Section 2** of this regulation increases the number of hours of training in basic courses for peace officers in training category II from 200 to 270.

Section 1. NAC 289.140 is hereby amended to read as follows:

289.140 The minimum standard of training for officers in training category I is successful completion of a basic course that includes **[**~~480~~**]** ***725*** hours of ***in-person*** training in:

1. Law and legal procedures, specifically:

(a) Civil liability;

(b) Constitutional law;

(c) Crimes against persons;

(d) Crimes against property;

(e) Juvenile law;

(f) Laws governing coroners;

(g) Laws relating to arrest;

(h) ~~[Laws relating to correctional institutions;~~

~~(i)]~~ Laws relating to drugs, including, without limitation, current trends in drugs;

~~[(j)]~~ ***(i)*** Miscellaneous crimes;

~~[(k)]~~ ***(j)*** Probable cause;

~~[(l)]~~ ***(k)*** Rights of victims;

~~[(m)]~~ ***(l)*** Search and seizure;

~~[(n) Searches of offender institutions;~~

~~(o)]~~ ***(m)*** Traffic laws; and

~~[(p)]~~ ***(n)*** Use of force.

2. Patrol operations and investigations, specifically:

(a) Abuse of older persons;

(b) Basic patrol procedures;

(c) Child abuse and sexual abuse of a child;

(d) Crash investigations;

(e) Domestic violence, stalking and aggravated stalking;

(f) Investigation of crime scenes, collection and preservation of evidence and fingerprinting;

(g) Principles of investigation;

(h) Techniques of interviewing and interrogation;

(i) The DWI Detection and Standardized Field Sobriety Testing and the Advanced Roadside Impaired Driving Enforcement courses approved by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; and

(j) Unknown-risk and high-risk vehicle stops.

3. Performance skills, specifically:

(a) Fire safety and use of emergency equipment;

(b) Interpersonal communications;

(c) Lifetime fitness;

(d) Operation of emergency vehicles;

(e) Provision of emergency first aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation;

(f) Public and media relations;

(g) Searching of buildings;

(h) Tactics for the arrest and control of suspects, including, without limitation, methods for arrest and the use of less than lethal weapons;

(i) Training concerning active assailants;

(j) Training in the use of firearms; and

(k) Writing of reports.

4. The functions of a peace officer, specifically:

(a) Care of persons in custody;

(b) ~~[Classification and receiving of offenders;~~

~~(c)]~~ Community policing;

~~[(d)]~~ ***(c)*** Counter-terrorism and weapons of mass destruction;

~~[(e)]~~ ***(d)*** Courtroom demeanor, including, without limitation, the giving of testimony;

~~[(f)]~~ ***(e)*** Crisis intervention;

~~[(g)]~~ ***(f)*** Cultural awareness;

~~[(h)]~~ ***(g)*** Ethics in law enforcement;

~~[(i)]~~ ***(h)*** Games offenders play;

~~[(j)]~~ ***(i)*** Gangs and cults;

~~[(k)]~~ ***(j)*** Handling of persons with mental illness;

~~[(l)]~~ ***(k)*** History and principles of law enforcement;

~~[(m) Modern correctional philosophy;~~

~~(n)]~~ ***(l)*** National Crime Information Center procedures;

~~[(o) Records of offenders in institutions;~~

~~(p) Supervision of offenders;~~

~~(q)]~~ ***(m)*** Survival of peace officers;

~~[(r)]~~ ***(n)*** Systems of criminal justice; and

~~[(s)]~~ ***(o)*** The realities of law enforcement.

5. Course administration and examinations.

Sec. 2. NAC 289.150 is hereby amended to read as follows:

289.150 The minimum standard of training for officers in training category II is successful completion of a basic course that includes ~~[200]~~ ***270*** hours of training in:

1. Law and legal procedures, specifically:

(a) Civil liability;

(b) Constitutional law;

(c) Crimes against persons;

(d) Crimes against property;

(e) Juvenile law;

(f) Laws relating to arrest;

(g) Laws relating to correctional institutions;

(h) Laws relating to drugs, including, without limitation, current trends in drugs;

(i) Miscellaneous crimes;

(j) Probable cause;

(k) Rights of victims;

(l) Search and seizure;

(m) Searches of offender institutions; and

(n) Use of force.

2. Operations and investigations, specifically:

(a) Abuse of older persons;

(b) Child abuse and sexual abuse of a child;

(c) Domestic violence, stalking and aggravated stalking;

(d) Investigation of crime scenes, collection and preservation of evidence and fingerprinting;

(e) Principles of investigation; and

(f) Techniques of interviewing and interrogation.

3. Performance skills, specifically:

(a) Fire safety and use of emergency equipment;

(b) Interpersonal communications;

(c) Lifetime fitness;

(d) Provision of emergency first aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation;

(e) Public and media relations;

(f) Tactics for the arrest and control of suspects, including, without limitation, methods for arrest and the use of less than lethal weapons;

(g) Training concerning active assailants;

(h) Training in the use of firearms; and

(i) Writing of reports.

4. The functions of a peace officer, specifically:

(a) Care of persons in custody;

(b) Classification and receiving of offenders;

(c) Counter-terrorism and weapons of mass destruction;

(d) Courtroom demeanor, including, without limitation, the giving of testimony;

(e) Crisis intervention;

(f) Cultural awareness;

(g) Ethics in law enforcement;

(h) Games offenders play;

(i) Gangs and cults;

(j) Handling of persons with mental illness;

(k) History and principles of law enforcement;

(l) Modern correctional philosophy;

(m) National Crime Information Center procedures;

(n) Records of offenders in institutions;

(o) Supervision of offenders;

(p) Survival of peace officers;

(q) Systems of criminal justice; and

(r) The realities of law enforcement.

5. Course administration and examinations.

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

Posted: JUNE 11, 2026

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ACT UPON A REGULATION

Notice of Hearing for the Adoption of Regulations of the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training as proposed in LCB File No. R110-26

DATE AND TIME: TUESDAY, JULY 14, 2026 @ 10:00 A.M.

LOCATION: POST ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, CLASSROOM #2, 5587 WA PAI SHONE AVE., CARSON CITY, NV 89701

The purpose of the hearing is to receive comments from all interested persons regarding the adoption of regulations that pertain to Chapter 289 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC).
The following information is provided pursuant to the requirements of NRS 233B.0603.

1. **The need for and purpose of the proposed amendment.**
Existing regulation requires the Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission to adopt regulations establishing minimum standards for the certification, decertification, recruitment, selection and training of peace officers. (NRS 289.510)
Existing regulation requires the Executive Director to certify basic training courses presented by agencies which meet certain requirements. This regulation change will no longer require the certification of any basic training course as long as it meets the requirements, it will authorize the Executive Director to certify a basic training course as long as it meets certain requirements. In addition to a basic training course meeting the minimum standards of training for the applicable training category, this regulation change requires an agency requesting certification of a basic training course to demonstrate a need and demand for the course.
Existing regulation requires the minimum standards of training for certain officers to include training in emergency vehicle operations. This language change will require a basic training facility to have an adequate location to conduct, if applicable, emergency vehicle operations consistent with the standardized performance objectives.
This regulation change removes the obsolete training requirement found under subsection (1)(g) which required training in the use of the carotid restraint/lateral vascular neck restraint for all agencies authorized to use it. This training requirement was repealed by Assembly Bill No.3 of the 32nd Special Session.

2. **For a proposed temporary regulation, the terms, or the substance of the regulation to be adopted, amended or repealed, or a description of the subjects and issues involved.**
This is a permanent regulation.

3. **For a proposed permanent regulation, a statement explaining how to obtain the approved or revised text of the proposed regulation.**
This Notice of Intent to Act Upon a Regulation and the proposed regulation will be on file at the State Library, Archives and Public Records, 100 Stewart Street, Carson City, Nevada for inspection by members of the public during business hours. Additional copies of the Notice and regulations to be adopted will be available at the Commission on POST Administrative Offices, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, 89701 for inspection and copying by members of the public during business hours. This notice and the text of the proposed regulation are also available in the State of Nevada Register of Administrative Regulations, which is prepared and published monthly by the Legislative Counsel Bureau pursuant to NRS 233B.0653, and on the Internet at http://leg.state.nv.us, the POST web site at http://post.nv.gov and the State of Nevada Department of Administration website at https://notice.nv.gov. Copies of this notice and the proposed regulation will also be mailed to members of the public at no charge upon request.

4. **The estimated economic effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately and, in each case, must include:**

(a) Both adverse and beneficial effects; and

(1) Adverse effects: There will be no adverse economic effect.
(2) Beneficial effects: There will be no beneficial economic effect.

(b) Both immediate and long-term effects:

(1) The immediate effects: There will be no immediate economic effect.
(2) The long-term effects: There will be no long-term effect.

5. **The methods used by the agency in determining the impact on a small business.**
The Commission on POST has reviewed the text of the proposed regulations. Because the proposed regulation amends Nevada Administrative Code Chapter 289, the proposed amendments to NAC Chapter 289 will have no impact on small business.

6. **The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.**
None

7. **A description of and citation to any regulations of other states or local governmental agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlapping is necessary. If the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the notice must include the name of the regulating federal agency.**
The proposed regulation does not overlap or duplicate any regulations of state, local or federal governmental agency regulating the same activity.

8. **If the regulation is required pursuant to federal law, a citation and description of the federal law.**
The proposed regulation is not required by federal law.

9. **If the regulation includes provisions which are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity, a summary of such provision.**
There are no federal regulations that regulate the same activity.

10. **Whether the proposed regulation establishes a new fee or increases an existing fee.**
This regulation does not involve or establish fees.

11. For a temporary regulation, each address at which the text of the regulation may be inspected and copied.

N/A

****

Persons wishing to comment upon the proposed action of the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (Commission on POST) may appear at the scheduled public hearing or may address their comments, data, views or arguments, in written form, to Michael D. Sherlock, Executive Director, Commission on POST, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, Nevada 89701. **Written submissions must be received by the Commission on POST on or before 5:00 pm on June 30, 2026.** If no person who is directly affected by the proposed action appears to request time to make an oral presentation, the Commission on POST may proceed immediately to act upon any written submissions.

This Notice of Intent to Act Upon Regulations and the proposed regulation will be on file at the State Library, Archives and Public Records, 100 Stewart Street, Carson City, Nevada for inspection by members of the public during business hours. Additional copies of the notice and regulations to be adopted will be available at the Commission on POST Administrative Offices, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, 89701 for inspection and copying by members of the public during business hours. This notice and the text of the proposed regulation are also available in the State of Nevada Register of Administrative Regulations, which is prepared and published monthly by the Legislative Counsel Bureau pursuant to NRS 233B.0653, and on the Internet at http://leg.state.nv.us, the POST web site at http://post.nv.gov and the State of Nevada Department of Administration website at https://notice.nv.gov .

Copies of this notice and the proposed regulation will also be mailed to members of the public at no charge upon request.

Upon adoption of any regulation, the agency, if requested to do so by an interested person, either before adoption or within 30 days thereafter, shall issue a concise statement of the principal reasons for and against its adoption and incorporate therein its reason for overruling the consideration urged against its adoption.

The Notice of Intent to Act Upon a Regulation and the proposed regulation have been sent to all criminal justice agencies on the Commission on POST Listserv list and posted at the following locations:

Commission on POST Administrative Office
Carson City, NV 89701

State Library, Archives and Public Records
100 Stewart Street, Carson City, NV 89701

http://post.nv.gov

http://notice.nv.gov

http://leg.state.nv.us

NOTE: We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public with disabilities who wish to attend the meeting. If special arrangements for the meeting are necessary, please notify the Commission on POST, in writing, at 5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue, Carson City, Nevada 89701, or call Chief Kathy Floyd at (775) 687-7678, Extension 3335, no later than five working days prior to the meeting.

SEE ATTACHED COPIES OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS

PROPOSED REGULATION OF THE

PEACE OFFICERS' STANDARDS AND

TRAINING COMMISSION

LCB File No. R110-26

May 26, 2026

EXPLANATION – Matter in ***italics*** is new; matter in brackets **[**~~omitted material~~**]** is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: § 1, NRS 289.510.

A REGULATION relating to peace officers; authorizing the Executive Director of the Peace Officers' Standards and Training Commission to certify certain basic training courses; eliminating certain obsolete requirements relating to training in the use of certain restraints; requiring instructional facilities used for certain basic training courses to provide an adequate location for training in the operation of emergency vehicles; requiring an agency requesting certification of a basic training course to demonstrate that the proposed course satisfies certain criteria; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Existing law requires the Peace Officers' Standards and Training Commission to adopt regulations establishing minimum standards for the certification, decertification, recruitment, selection and training of peace officers, which must include regulations establishing requirements for basic training for category I, category II, category III and reserve peace officers. (NRS 289.510) Existing regulations require the Executive Director of the Commission to certify basic training courses presented by agencies or approved by the Commission which meet certain requirements. (NAC 289.300) This regulation instead authorizes the Executive Director to certify such basic training courses. This regulation additionally requires an agency requesting the certification of a basic training course to demonstrate: (1) the need for the approval of the course; (2) that the course meets the minimum standards of training for the applicable training category; and (3) that there is sufficient demand for the course.

Existing regulations require the minimum standard of training for officers in certain training categories to include training in the operation of emergency vehicles. (NAC 289.140) This regulation requires an agency submitting a course for certification to have, if the course requires training in the operation of emergency vehicles, an instructional facility with an adequate location to enable the course to provide practical demonstrations, training and testing regarding the operation of emergency vehicles in a manner consistent with certain standardized performance objectives or instructor manuals.

Before the enactment of Assembly Bill No. 3 (A.B. 3) of the 32nd Special Session, a peace officer was only authorized to use a choke hold in the course of his or her duties if: (1) the agency employing the peace officer authorized the use of choke holds; and (2) the peace officer had completed certain training prescribed by the Commission in the proper use of the choke hold and held certification for its use. However, A.B. 3 repealed the authority of an agency to authorize the use of the choke hold and affirmatively prohibited a peace officer from using a choke hold on another person, which includes the application of pressure to a person's neck on either side of the windpipe to stop the flow of blood to the brain via the carotid arteries. (NRS 193.305; section 12 of Assembly Bill No. 3, chapter 4, Statutes of Nevada 2020, 32nd Special Session, at page 72) Existing regulations require a basic training course to include certain instruction and testing in the use of certain restraints that temporarily restrict the flow of blood to the brain via the carotid arteries, if the applicable agency authorized the use of such restraints. (NAC 289.300) This regulation therefore eliminates this obsolete requirement, as the use of such restraints by a peace officer is prohibited under existing law.

Section 1. NAC 289.300 is hereby amended to read as follows:

289.300 1. The Executive Director ~~[shall]~~ ***may*** certify basic training courses presented by agencies or approved by the Commission which meet the following requirements:

(a) The length of the course must meet the minimum standards for training established by the Commission.

(b) A person enrolling in the course may be required to pass a physical fitness examination not sooner than 30 days before the commencement of the course and not later than 14 days after the commencement of the course. To pass such a physical fitness examination, the person must:

(1) For category I and reserve training:

(I) Complete a vertical jump of not less than 11.5 inches.

(II) Complete not less than 24 sit-ups in 1 minute.

(III) Complete not less than 18 push-ups.

(IV) Run 300 meters in not more than 1 minute and 22 seconds.

(V) Walk or run 1.5 miles in not more than 20 minutes and 20 seconds.

(VI) Complete an agility run in not more than 23.4 seconds.

(2) For category II training:

(I) Complete a vertical jump of not less than 12 inches.

(II) Complete not less than 23 sit-ups in 1 minute.

(III) Complete not less than 12 push-ups.

(IV) Run 300 meters in not more than 1 minute and 36 seconds.

(V) Walk or run 1.5 miles in not more than 24 minutes and 10 seconds.

(VI) Complete an agility run in not more than 24.9 seconds.

(3) For category III training:

(I) Complete a vertical jump of not less than 12 inches.

(II) Complete not less than 16 push-ups.

(III) Run 300 meters in not more than 1 minute and 29 seconds.

(IV) Walk or run 1.5 miles in not more than 21 minutes and 10 seconds.

(V) Complete an agility run in not more than 24.5 seconds.

(c) Each course submitted to the Executive Director for certification must have a curriculum that contains the following elements:

(1) Each topic of instruction for which the Commission has not established standardized performance objectives must have specifically defined objectives for the performance of the students which are based upon known work requirements;

(2) Each topic of instruction for which the Commission has established standardized performance objectives must include, at a minimum, the standardized performance objectives established by the Commission;

(3) Each topic of instruction must have a detailed lesson plan that specifically describes what the student is taught; and

(4) Each topic of instruction must be assigned a specific amount of time.

(d) Each course must employ performance-oriented instructional methods that provide opportunities for each student to demonstrate achievement of the objectives.

(e) For each course, there must be a system of written or practical examinations, or both, that will measure on a pass or fail basis the success of each student in achieving the objectives, including an examination at the beginning and end of each course.

(f) Each agency submitting a course for certification shall provide an instructional facility that meets the following requirements:

(1) A classroom with adequate heating, cooling, ventilation, lighting and space and an environment conducive to learning;

(2) Comfortable chairs with tables or arms for writing;

(3) Audiovisual equipment necessary to support the course;

(4) Instructional films and videotapes necessary to support the course; ~~[and]~~

(5) A firing range adequate to train officers safely in the use of firearms ~~[.~~

~~(g) If an agency authorizes the use of the carotid restraint or the lateral vascular neck restraint, the course must include at least 8 hours of instruction in that restraint and include presentations on the use of force, the rules of the agency relating to safety, medical implications, approved techniques, and instruction on care and control measures. The course provided by such an agency must also include a written test of at least 10 questions requiring a minimum score of 70 percent and a proficiency test requiring a minimum score of 85 percent. The agency shall maintain records of each student's performance in the course.]~~ ***; and***

(6) If the course requires training in the operation of emergency vehicles, a location adequate for the course to provide practical demonstrations, training and testing regarding the

operation of emergency vehicles in a manner consistent with any standardized performance objectives or instructor manuals prescribed or approved by the Commission.

2. Certification of courses will be made and maintained on the basis of on-site inspections conducted by the Executive Director or the staff of the Executive Director. Inspections will be conducted at the discretion of the Executive Director. The agency shall notify the Executive Director of any proposed changes regarding courses, instructors and facilities.

3. The Executive Director shall deny, suspend or revoke the certification of any course for failure of the agency to maintain the minimum curriculum, qualified instructors or requirements for the facility established by the Commission. The Executive Director shall automatically suspend the certification of a course that ceases operation for 24 consecutive months.

4. An agency requesting certification of a course shall ~~[make]~~ ***:***

(a) Make the request in writing to the Executive Director at least 60 days before the course is scheduled to begin. The training course must be reviewed and the request signed by the administrator of the agency and the legal adviser. The Executive Director shall acknowledge receipt of the request within 5 working days. The Executive Director shall respond with a detailed review of the course within 30 days and rule on the request within 45 days after receipt of the request.

(b) Demonstrate in the written request made pursuant to paragraph (a):

(1) The need for the approval of a new basic training course. The agency may demonstrate such a need by, without limitation, showing that other basic training courses that have previously been approved by the Commission are not:

(I) Currently available to the agency; or

(II) Cost-effective.

(2) That the course meets the minimum standards of training pursuant to NAC 289.140 to 289.170, inclusive, for the applicable training category.

(3) That there is sufficient demand for the course, including an incoming class size that is capable of, at a minimum:

(I) Facilitating effective interactions among a diverse group of students;

(II) Enabling a student to demonstrate his or her effectiveness in situations requiring teamwork or involving group dynamics; and

(III) Supporting or facilitating performance-based or scenario-based participation and training exercises.

WORKSHOP

1. **<u>DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION</u>.**
   Proposed amendments to NAC 289.290.

   Possible action may be approval of proposed language.

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

NOTICE OF WORKSHOP TO SOLICIT COMMENTS ON PROPOSED REGULATIONS

The Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training is proposing the amendment of certain regulations in Chapter 289 of the Nevada Administrative Code.

The Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training will conduct a Workshop at **10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 14, 2026, at the Commission on POST Administrative Building/Classroom #2, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701.**

The purpose of the Workshop is to receive comments from all interested persons on the following general topics:

A. Proposed amendments to NAC 289.290

NOTE: Any agenda item may be taken out of order; items may be combined for consideration; items may be pulled or removed from the agenda at any time; and discussion relating to an item may be delayed or continued at any time. The workshop presenter will indicate when live public comment will be taken and, within his/her discretion, may allow for public comment on individual agenda items.

PUBLIC COMMENT: Public comment is welcomed during public comment periods and is limited to 3 minutes per person per public comment period. Unused time may not be allocated to other speakers. A speaker's viewpoint will not be restricted; however, reasonable restrictions may be imposed upon the time, place, and manner of speech. Irrelevant statements, unduly repetitious statements, and personal attacks that would objectively antagonize or incite others are examples of speech that may be reasonably limited.

WRITTEN COMMENT PROCEDURE: Members of the public who wish to participate may do so by providing written public comment to the following email address: kfloyd@post.state.nv.us. For inclusion or reference in the record of this workshop, please include your full name and contact information. Written submissions must be received by POST on or before 7:00 AM seven calendar days from the workshop date. POST may place other reasonable restrictions on the time, place, and manner of public comments but may not restrict comments based upon viewpoint.

DISABILITY ACCOMODATIONS: We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public with a disability and wish to participate. The Division will make reasonable accommodations for attendees with disabilities. Please notify the Division of your request for reasonable accommodation in writing no later than five (5) working days before the workshop via email to POSTstandards@post.state.nv.us or in writing, at Commission on POST, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701.
A copy of all materials relating to the Workshop may be obtained by visiting POST's website at: https://post.nv.gov or by contacting POST, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701. Members of the public who would like additional

information about the proposed regulations may contact (775) 687-7678, ext. 3335, or via e-mail to POSTstandards@post.state.nv.us.

This Notice of Workshop has been sent to all persons on the agency's mailing list for administrative regulations, all Nevada law enforcement agencies, agency single point of contacts and posted at the following locations on or before **June 29, 2026 and posted at the locations listed below:**

POST Administration Office, Carson City
Nevada State Library and Archives, Carson City
POST website at http://post.nv.gov
Nevada's Public Notice website at http://notice.nv.gov
Nevada Legislature's Notice Website at http://leg.state.nv.us/App/Notice/A
(Electronically posted pursuant to NRS 241.020(4))

NAC 289.290 Denial, revocation or suspension of certificate; reinstatement of revoked certificate. (NRS 289.510)

1. Each of the following constitutes cause for the Commission to revoke, refuse or suspend the certificate of a peace officer:

(a) Willful falsification of any information provided to obtain ***or maintain,*** the certificate.

(b) A permanent or chronic physical or mental disability affecting the officer's ability to perform his or her full range of duties.

(c) Chronic drinking or drunkenness on duty.

(d) Addiction to or the unlawful use or possession of narcotics or other drugs.

(e) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a gross misdemeanor. Upon criminal indictment or filing of a criminal complaint, suspension may be imposed.

(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter.

(g) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony. Upon criminal indictment or filing of a criminal complaint, suspension may be imposed. Upon conviction or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere, the certificate will be revoked.

(h) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (i), conviction of a misdemeanor. If the employing agency recommends suspension or revocation following the conviction of the employee for a misdemeanor, suspension or revocation may be imposed. In determining whether to suspend or revoke the certificate, the Commission will consider the type of conviction and other information provided by the agency indicating unprofessional conduct or similar undesirable activity by the officer that resulted in disciplinary action.

(i) Conviction of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33***) or a finding of a documented history of physical violence***. Following the conviction of the employee for such a misdemeanor ***or a finding of a documented history of physical violence***, suspension or revocation may be imposed regardless of whether the employing agency recommends suspension or revocation.

(j) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to a felony, misdemeanor, or crime which involves forgery, embezzlement, obtaining money under false pretenses, larceny, extortion, theft, conspiracy to defraud, fraud, dishonesty, or moral turpitude.

(k) A sustained finding of any act that involves moral turpitude or a reckless disregard for the safety of the public or any person.

(l) Any conduct or pattern of conduct that tends to disrupt, diminish, or otherwise jeopardize public trust in the law enforcement profession.

(m) Malfeasance, misfeasance, or nonfeasance in office.

(n) Inability to possess a firearm under state or federal law.

(o) Resignation in lieu of termination, termination from any civil service employment or having been investigated for and found substantiated misconduct involving dishonesty. Dishonesty includes untruthfulness, deception, misrepresentation, falsification, and dishonesty by admission or omission.

(p) Physical abuse, including, but not limited to, the excessive or unreasonable use of force.

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

SMALL BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT

EFFECTIVE DATE OF REGULATION:
Upon filing with the Nevada Secretary of State

Background:

Existing law (NRS 289.510) requires the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) to adopt regulations establishing minimum standards for the certification, decertification, recruitment, selection and training of peace officers.

The proposed language changes to NAC 289.290 will update this regulation to allow for revocation/suspension for certain violations of conduct. The current regulations contained in NAC289.290 are outdated and not consistent with national trends.

Description of Solicitation:

Public comment will be solicited at the workshop that is scheduled for July 14, 2026, starting at 10:00 a.m. at the POST Administrative Building/Classroom #2, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701, where public comment will be accepted during the workshop and regularly scheduled meeting held immediately after the workshop. The notice and the regulation to be amended, pursuant to NRS 241.020(4), will be provided via electronic mean to all law enforcement agencies on the POST Listserve email list, electronically posted on the POST website at post.nv.us, on the state of Nevada website at notice.nv.gov and the legislative website at leg.state.nv.us. The notice will be physically posted at the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training Administration Office at 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV and the Nevada State Library in Carson City. Copies of the notice and regulations to be amended will be available upon request by contacting the POST Standards Division at (775) 687-3335.

Does the proposed regulation impose a direct and significant economic burden upon a small business or directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business? (NRS 233B.0608(1))

No, the proposed regulation will not impose a direct and/or significant economic burden upon a small business or directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business.

How was that conclusion reached?

POST relies on the expert knowledge of Agency staff and uses informed, reasonable judgement in determining that there would not be an impact to small business due to the nature of the regulation change for NAC 289.

The proposed regulation strictly addresses peace officer certification and the reasons for revocation and suspension of those certifications; therefore it strictly impacts Nevada peace officers and no small business will be affected.

I, Michael Sherlock, Executive Director, certify that, to the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed regulation on small business, and that the information contained in the statement above is accurate. (NRS 233B.0608(3))

Date: 6/29/26 Signature: _____ Ex. Director

Name Title

REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETING

1. **DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.**

   Approval of minutes from the April 30, 2026, Workshop and Regularly Scheduled meeting.

STATE OF NEVADA

COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

MEETING TRANSCRIPT

THURSDAY, APRIL 30, 2026

MCKINNEY: Good morning, everyone. The POST Commission and Workshop is call to order April 30, 2026. The time right now is 9:00 a.m. Kathy Floyd, can we get information on legal postings and open meeting compliance?

FLOYD: Okay. The workshop notice and the meeting agenda have been posted in compliance with NRS 241.020. These notices and agenda were physically posted at the POST administration building and the Nevada State Library in Carson City, and electronically posted at the POST website, at post.nv.gov. The legislative website at leg.state.nv.gov. The State of Nevada website notice.nv.gov, and email to all single point of contacts and agency administrators on the POST listserve.

MCKINNEY: Thank you. Next, we'll go to the roll call. I'll start with myself. I'm Kevin McKinney (ph), with the Carlin Police Department. We'll start with Ms. Floyd.

FLOYD: Kathy Floyd, with POST.

SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock, with POST.

NOLAN: John Nolan, with the Nevada Attorney General's office, representing POST.

COVERLEY: Dan Coverley, Douglas County Sheriff.

WALKER: Jason Walker, Washoe County Sheriff's Office.

TOGLIATTI: George Togliatti, Nevada Department of Public Safety Director.

BOVEDA: Esmeralda Boveda, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

STRAUBE: Rob Straube, the City of Las Vegas Department of Public Safety.

MCGILL: Joe McGill, Nye County Sheriff's Office.

YOUNG: Dr. Tiffany Young, Community Member.

NIEL: Russ Niel, State Gaming Control Board.

SHERLOCK: And Chair, I think we have Tim

MCKINNEY: We have Chief Shea

NOLAN: Yeah. And I'll also mention

SHEA: (inaudible) on the phone here.

MCKINNEY: I'm sorry.

NOLAN: And I'll also mention Jesselyn DeLuna is also with the Attorney General's office. She'll be calling.

MCKINNEY: Thank you. All right. Item number three, public comment. The opportunity for public comment reserved for any matter listed below on the agenda, as well as any matter within the jurisdiction of the Commission. No action on such item may be taken by the Commission unless and until the matter has been noticed and an -- and become an action item. No comments may be made regarding a matter that is, or maybe

the subject of a formal complaint before the Commission. Comments may not be limited based on viewpoints. Do we have any public comment at this time? Okay. Seeing none. We'll move on to item number four, that's the workshop. The purpose of this workshop is to discuss changes in language for possible changes regarding basic training. Number one, discussion and for possible action proposed amendments to NAC chapter 289.300(1), amend the requirements to qualify for academy certification, amend the academy facility requirements, possible action may be approved or proposed language, discussion and for possible action, proposed amendment to NAC 289.140 and 150. Amend the minimum training hours for category one and two academies. B, amend the minimum standard of training for category one, which will remove the category three requirements and require category and require, I'm sorry, all category one basic academies are in person. Possible action may be approval of proposed language. Let's go to Mike Sherlock for workshop details.

SHERLOCK: Thank you. Mike Sherlock for the record. So, just a reminder, maybe so our new Commissioners understand that workshop is to develop language on what was previously voted by the Commission to start the rulemaking process. So this is the first step. We'll present some language. The Commission will have a chance, if approved, to move forward to again, look at the final language before approval. So this --

the workshop is simply to develop that language. You'll have more than at least one other opportunity to change that language going forward if something else comes up before it is made a -- made a change to that regulation. So I just want to make sure everybody understand where we're at in the process. This is the very beginning of it. The workshop is that initial step to present or develop language. So here we're looking at to develop and present language related to basic training in Nevada. We did previously discuss the need to update our minimum hours for basic training to better reflect industry standards, some of the practical applications of what's required today and what it looks like across the nation in terms of where we sit and address other issues that we see with basic academies under our current regulation. I hope everybody on the Commission agrees that basic training is a critical component in our world. And the sort of loose application presentation of basic training affects all of us. In the interest of time, let me just go through some of the proposals or some of the changes that you'll see in the language. These are included in your book. So first 289.300 addresses training courses, basic training courses in general. Here under section one you can see new language would remove the term shall and change that to May. Without getting into too much detail in the past that term shall removed any -- shall removed any discretion on the -- on the part of the

Commission or staff, and it just wasn't a good idea in terms of those asking for certification of a basic training academy. And it's resulted in some negative consequences in the past. So we just want to clean that up. Next under 289.300, you can see a couple things related to agency submission of delivering a basic training academy. First, we add the requirement of an EVOC location as part of the academy. It is of course one of the basic training requirements and the ability to present this training needs to be included in that certification process. No different than what we already have for a range. Someone submitting for a basic training has to demonstrate that they have a location for firearms training and that kind of thing. This just kind -- kind of cleans that up. I realized 60 years ago when this was developed, EVOC was not a mandate. It is now. And so we just want to bring that up to meet or match that today. Next we remove any reference to the carotid restraint. As most of you know, that was removed by legislation. We needed to clean that up anyway, so it just removes any reference there to carotid where you see that it's all lined out in your books. Next, we clarify the showing of a need for -- for when an agency applies for a basic training program. First agency looking to start a basic training program is, needs to be limited to their training category. That's category one, two, or three. We didn't have that in there before. As some of you may have been around long enough

to remember both the shall certify issue and the ability to provide training regardless of category, has resulted in some negative consequences for us. And so we don't -- we just want to clean that up going forward. I don't want to get into specifics, but in the past we've had for-profit agencies, there's very few of those out there, but there are some that are category two agencies that were presenting category one basic training. We were required to certify them because of the term shall. And obviously as you might imagine, a category two agency providing category one training was an issue, and -- and we wanted to clean that up at this point. Again, that's also helps if we include in the regulation a showing of need for that basic training program, again, moves us away from those for-profit entities that are trying to put on CAT one academies for financial reasons. It doesn't affect higher education has a proponent that they can simply go -- go to the Commission. So it doesn't affect that at all. It -- it affects those other CAT one entities, and we wanted to clean that up. And by showing a need it helps us kind of control those for-profit endeavors. And -- and frankly, what we've known through history is these type of academies quickly fall behind in terms of expertise and their ability to present the training objectives found in Cat one, particularly when they're not a CAT one agency. And frankly, it's a, from POST staff standpoint, it's a lot of man hours for us to inspect and

review and oversight on academies. That one we know aren't going to last very long, frankly, and are not really presenting how the regulation intends. And so it'll cut down on some of that. We also include in that showing of need the anticipated class size. We already require performance based scenario training. And of course, from a national standard that's a must. You cannot have adequate performance based training and interaction where you have four people in a category one basic training academy. So although we don't include in our language suggestion a minimum number, but allows us to review that number to see if it does support performance based training and that kind of thing. And so that's why you see that small change there. The next and by the way, none of this would affect any current academies or grandfathered would be going forward, or those that are in the hopper right now that have submitted for certification, just to be clear on that. The next language change would apply to 289.140, which covers category one basic training. Again, after looking at national standards and current certified basic training academies across the state, we move the minimum standard in terms of hours to 725. That is our shortest current academy in the state. So we address that that way, and it moves us closer to the national average of basic training academy hours, setting that at 725, and again, it -- it affects no academy currently. They all exceed that. Most of

them exceed it by a large amount, right? So it's not a big change. We did add the words in person on the category one academy. We pre -- we participated in a national survey recently where states provided documentation and evidence related to their response to COVID-19. And those who went to an online presentation of a majority of their academies. We did not here, we continued to run our academy through COVID-19, but a lot of academies across the country did not. And I can tell you that 100% said they had either codified through statute or policy that no -- there will no longer be online versions of category one nationally. So we wanted to make sure we're in that same bucket that we are not going to present. And there's obviously, again, going back to performance based training, there's a reason that you can't do that. Now I will say that where it is a subject that's not required by NAC for a POST academy or it's a prerequisite, this does not affect that. For instance, our academy requires defensive driving, which is a state requirement. Things like sexual harassment training. This would not affect that. That is not a NAC required performance objective or -- or subject, and so that could be still be done online. This affects those critical elements that are contained in the NAC that they have to be in person. And so the academy is in person, does not affect category two or cat three, just category one. And I think it, again, it's just when you look at national standards, it -- it

brings us up to what the rest of the country is doing. The second part of this is category, again, for category one is removal of category three mandated subjects. So we changed this back in 2018 with -- with good intentions, right, of sort of fixing some issues that we observed and -- and -- and creating a system where category one is the highest level within our system. As it turns out, it just has not worked as anticipated. It's a lot of work for our staff to track what academy, what year they went to the academy, and then whether or not they meet all the requirements of each category and issuing a certificate for each of those categories. It just has not worked as we anticipated. In addition, we have feedback from agencies that just don't see the value in it. It affects their hiring. For instance, an applicant who already has a CAT three certificate then they want to send them to CAT one, and they're repeating the CAT three because we've included it in the CAT one. This has been a particular concern for a couple of agencies that have their own CAT three within their jail or detention services and want to hire CAT one positions from the jail. And they've already trained them in CAT three, and now they're having to go through CAT three with no ability to carve out the CAT three. And so this cleans that up, removes the CAT three requirement from CAT one and allows agencies to be able to do that. And -- and again, I think it was good intentions on -- on the Commission and our and

staff's part, but it has not worked as anticipated. And by the way, most agencies that have their own jail have our CAT three already. And so we looked at how many people are coming through our academy that have no certificates, and it's very few, most coming through our basic category one already has their -- have their CAT threes. So we're just repeating training that they already had, and that's why we're trying to fix that. As to NAC 289.150, that is a category two training. Again, we increase the minimum hours for that to 270 hours. Every current CAT two is above 270, it doesn't affect any of our current certified academies. It just brings the ability to from a practical good faith standard -- standard to look at our current requirements of that training and -- and equate that to hours, and that's how we get up to 270. No changes in category three train -- basic training. By all accounts across state, we are sufficiently covering those performance objectives and mandated -- mandated subjects. And so I would just remind that it is, this is simply proposed changes. If you vote to continue the process, we'll come back with final language for the Commission to approve at a -- at another time. We think that these small changes address many of the issues that we're seeing out there in basic training dating back many years, in fact in some areas, and helps to modernize and sort of professionalize our basic training across Nevada. And staff would recommend that you continue to rulemaking on

these issues.

MCKINNEY: Thank you, Mr. Sherlock. Do we have any input or comments from the public on these proposed changes? Okay. Seeing none. Do we have any comments from the Commission? No. All right. I'm looking for a motion to continue the rulemaking process on these basic training regulation amendment.

YOUNG: This is Dr. Young, I motion to continue the rule making.

WALKER: Jason Walker, second.

MCKINNEY: Okay. We have a motion and a second. Do we have any further discussion? All right let's put it to a vote. All those in favor say aye?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

MCKINNEY: Any opposed? Okay. Motion carries. All right, we'll start with the regular scheduled Commission meeting. Item number one. First off, let's welcome our new Commissioner, Assistant Sheriff Jason Walker from the Washoe County Sheriff's Office. Welcome. Good morning. Thank you. All right. Okay, now we'll start with we have a vacancy in the chair -- chairperson position. And so we need to elect a new chairperson. Mike Sherlock, we have information?

SHERLOCK: Sure. Mike Sherlock for the record. First, let staff also thank assistant Sheriff Jason Walker to the Commission. Assistant Sheriff Walker fills the open category

one Washoe County position under statute. So, welcome we are glad to have you and look forward to your voice here.

WALKER: Appreciate it. Thank you.

SHERLOCK: One other item real quick too, I wanted to mention as we move forward Chief is, if you look at your agenda, everyone, item 10 and 16 are going to be tabled. We hope to move it to July if we are able to get these two people served in reference to those two revocation hearings. So you can table those two.

MCKINNEY: All right.

SHERLOCK: So with the resignation of Deputy Chief Ollie Miller, we have a chairperson vacancy. By statute, the Commission elects chairperson by simple majority. The chair from a staff perspective is tasked with approving or calling the meetings from a practical standpoint, the Chair's signature is needed for things like revocation orders other documents that -- that we have. But let me just say that it's certainly not a labor intensive position from our perspective. Kathy does a great job of keeping the chair informed and getting signatures and where possible we use electronic signatures. On a very rare occasion, I'll send medi -- media to the Chair. But most media that contacts POST is looking really at day-to-day operation or records. And staff generally handles the media without having to do that. With that, I would ask that the acting Chair, Chief McKinney, solicit any

interest, including if he is interested and conduct a vote. I know it can be a bit intimidating but hopefully we're all friends here and I see no reason to ask those interested to leave the room, mostly because that doesn't work, right? We need a quorum, and this is an open meeting and the transcripts will be on the internet in no time. So at that point simply looking for interest in a majority vote chief for that position. So I'll send it back to you.

MCKINNEY: Thank you -- thank you, Mr. Sherlock. Do we have any Commissioners who would be interested in the chairperson position?

COVERLEY: Dan Coverley for the record, I'm willing to do it. I think the proximity to POST with Douglas County is efficient and -- but the pleasure work for sure.

MCKINNEY: I would be interested as well. But if sheriff Coverley do it, I would like to -- I'd like to -- I can defer to you.

COVERLEY: I think I agree. All right. I make a motion that Kevin McKinney, be the Chair.

NIEL: Russ Niel, I'll second that.

MCKINNEY: All right. Anyone else? Do we have any other nominations? I'll nominate Dan Coverley.

MCGILL: I'll second.

MCKINNEY: All right, any others? Okay. All those who wish to vote for Sheriff Coverley say aye.

MULTIPLE: Aye. Aye. Aye. Aye.

MCKINNEY: Okay. Do we have -- raise your hands, please. Raise your hands. Yeah. Raise. We have one, two -- one, two, three, four.

SHEA: And me also. Yes.

MCKINNEY: And for -- for myself?

MULTIPLE: Aye. Aye.

FLOYD: One, two, three.

MCKINNEY: Looks like Sheriff Coverley

FLOYD: I believe so yes

SHERLOCK: Could we for our name put the, sorry, but do we have your names on the record for each one? So, well, I -- I guess start with who was first? Sheriff, you first. Everybody to vote for Sheriff Coverley -- could you raise your hand so we can -- you got that.

FLOYD: And state the name. Yes.

SHERLOCK: Okay.

WALKER: Jason Walker.

FLOYD: Okay.

SHEA: I'm -- I'm going to (inaudible).

FLOYD: Okay. Got you. Go ahead.

SHERLOCK: For the record, say your name.

TOGLIATTI: George Togliatti.

FLOYD: Okay.

ESMERALDA: Esmeralda Boveda

MCGILL: Joe McGill.

YOUNG: Dr. Young.

FLOYD: Okay.

SHERLOCK: That’s it, right

SHEA: Tim Shea

SHERLOCK: Okay. It looks like Sheriff Coverley has it.

MCKINNEY: All right.

SHERLOCK: We don’t want to beleaguer this

MCKINNEY: Congratulations, Sheriff Coverley

SHERLOCK: Now the hard part

FLOYD: Whether you want it or not.

SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock for the record, if you can just slide over prompts for --

MCKINNEY: Oh, no, no.

SHERLOCK: Just the papers fine.

MCKINNEY: Oh, yeah, yeah.

SHERLOCK: And I'll apologize right now. Do your best with that. You show him chief where we're at. Either one. We start with that.

COVERLEY: All right, item number two, discussion. And for possible action. Approval of minutes from the February 12, 2026, regularly scheduled POST commission meeting. Any comments from the Commissioners or discussion? All right, seeing none. Looking for a motion to approve the minutes.

WALKER: Jason Walker, motion to approve.

STRAUBE: Rob Straube, second.

MCKINNEY: All in favor?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

COVERLEY: Any opposed? Passes unanimously. Item number three information. Executive Director report, director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: Thank you. Mike Sherlock for the record. First I just hope everyone can imagine we've been staff's been pretty busy lately. And I want to thank our staff for their ability to get information out and meet the -- the needs of those requesting it under extremely short timelines. And this is not just media, but all around. You know, on top of that, I think it's quite apparent on the standard side, we have seen an increase in revocations. That's a lot of work for staff. And -- and really it's not because of legislation change. We've been looking at these not because of the reporting requirements. And -- and we say that because the -- the reason for revocation hasn't changed much. It's essentially the same. But there's a lot of factors, not the least of which are background investigations. So we've continued to encourage -- encourage good backgrounds and meeting at least the minimum standard on those backgrounds going forward. We'll continue to assist agencies in terms of what's required in our backgrounds and -- and help them with their ability to complete thorough backgrounds. You know, in that same turn on the -- on the

training side, we're hearing that although the number of applicants has increased statewide, and we've noticed a large increase in the number of people coming to our academy. There seems to be an issue with a fit in the -- in the policing profession right now with those that may pass the background. But we're seeing more people quit our academy or, or fail out. Mostly they're leaving on their own accord. And -- and we're not sure what that is, but that's what we're hearing out there, that although the applicant pool has grown a lot of people that we're seeing getting hired are just not making the cut for -- for different reasons. And some are, we're -- we're happy that for the larger class sizes, but we -- we do see a higher percentage of people leaving on their own. I also want to thank our Deputy Attorney General team Jesselyn and John. Their review of our regulations and their engagement at POST is refreshing and -- and frankly quite helpful. Our regs in some instances are outdated and they're helping us to review those and hopefully update our regs to meet the statutory legal and judicial requirements that we see changing out there. So I truly appreciate both of them. We continued to the implementation process of our data management project. It looks like we've been able to migrate most of our data. We're hoping and we're improving and testing our test trying out our new testing platforms. It's a slow process, but we're getting there. Finally, the only thing in the interest of time, we did

have, and I'm required to notify you that we did have one voluntary surrender of a basic certificate since the last meeting. And with that, I'll throw it back to our new troopers.

COVERLEY: All right, thank you. Item number four, discussion and for possible action -- discussion and possible action related to the application of training requirements of NAC 289.180, dog encounter incidents. Possible action may be to start the rule banking or make no changes related to the training delivery. Director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: Yeah, Mike Sherlock for the record. So this item was placed on the agenda by our prior chairperson. Just to simply remind, maybe, and clarify for agencies about the requirements related to dog encounters, NEC -- NAC -- NAC 289.180 requires certain peace officers to receive training in dog encounters. This issue was brought up recently due to some media inquiries, I will say, regarding the shooting of a companion dog. I would simply remind agencies that physicians within your departments that have interaction with the public regular -- regularly and outside of controlled areas must have training and dog encounters. This is not a police canine issue, but rather public animals because this is so discretionary. And -- and that discretion is placed on the agency on who must have that training. We do not audit who has had that training or require reporting to post. Again, mostly

because it would have very little value to post. We don't -- that could change daily for agencies on who -- who has to have that training. But again, we would remind agencies that those that are out working, you know, in public, are supposed to have this training. From our perspective, we would simply add this to our reminders when our auditor goes to an agency to remind agencies that -- that that is the -- the case under the NAC and NRS actually. We have this training available online at no cost, so officers can come get that training. And you know, again, because of the nature and changing individual requirements, staff would re -- recommend no regulatory changes as to the application of the training or this NAC. We would further recommend against mandating the training for all, or requiring to report it to us of that training, as it is not a requirement of currently of the NAC or the statute that was passed, implementing that. We believe staff can simply increase awareness by adding reminders to the audit process and adding things reminders to things like our newsletter and other outreach that we do. And so we would -- we would recommend no action from the Commission at this point.

COVERLEY: Any comments or discussion from the Commission? So I think what we're looking for is a motion to either let things stand as they are or to start the rule making process, so.

MCGILL: Joe McGill, I will move to maintain the status quo with the dog training procedures, dog encounter training.

WALKER: Jason Walker, Second.

COVERLEY: All right, we have a motion by Sheriff McGill, second by Assistant Chief Walker. All in favor of the aye?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

COVERLEY: Any opposed? Motion passes unanimously. Item number five, discussion and for possible action related to updating NAC 289.290 causes for denial revocation or suspension of certificate. Possible action may be to start the rulemaking process. Director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock for the record. So as I mentioned before, both staff and -- and our guides have been reviewing regulations specifically related to denial, suspensions and revocations. Again, because of some of the national standards, we see judicial rulings, other legal analysis, agency needs, it's clear that there are some up -- there is some updating that needs to be done. So at this point, staff would recommend a motion to begin really the rulemaking process related to updating NAC 289.290, which is the denial suspension of revocation regulation. If approved staff will bring you back to recommend changes, which actually would initiate a workshop just like we had earlier to sort of bring some new language forward and -- and propose changes. So we -- we would recommend a motion to continue the, or begin the rule making

process related to 289.290.

COVERLEY: Any comments or discussion?

MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney for the record? At -- in the past, over the se -- the past several years, we've had several revocations that seemed ambiguous. And so I think we do need to clean up the -- the -- the re -- requirements or the -- or the causes that may cause a revocation or suspension. So I think we do need to update that.

COVERLEY: I would agree with that. Any other comments or -- all right. Looking for a motion to begin the rulemaking process to update NAC 289.290.

MCKINNEY: I'll move.

COVERLEY: I have a motion, do I have a second?

WALKER: Jason Walker, second.

MCKINNEY: A motion from chief McKinney and the second from Assistant Chief Walker. All in favor say aye?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

MCKINNEY: Any opposed?

SHEA: Aye.

MCKINNEY: Motion passes unanimously. Item number six, discussion and for possible action, request from North Las Vegas Police Department for an executive certificate for their employee Chief Jacqueline Gravatt, pursuant to NAC 289.270(1)(b). Possible action may include approval or denial of the requested executive certificate. Director Sherlock

SHERLOCK: Sure. Mike Sherlock for the record. I don't know why I'm talking this entire meeting, but let's get (inaudible).

COVERLEY: You're good. We appreciate, you know.

SHERLOCK: I hate to talk, right. I mean, I hate talking. First let me let me remind everyone for our new Commissioners that the executive certificate is the only certificate that is granted and approved by the Commission itself. All the other certificates below that are issued by staff. Here based on the relatively recent changes to 289.270, Chief Gravatt meets the requirement of the certificate based on being in that position for five years or more, which is the 289.270 (1)(b). As such, staff recommends the issue of the executive certificate to Chief Gravatt, and I'll send it back to you.

COVERLEY: All right. Any discussion or questions? No. I see Chief Gravatt is here with us today. I, I support this. I, I know Chief Gravatt, she is -- is spectacular. So I -- I -- I'm in full support of this. Looking for a motion to approve the executive certificate for Chief Gravatt.

TOGLIATTI: George Togliatti, I make a motion to approve the certificate.

YOUNG: Dr. Young, I second.

COVERLEY: All right. I have a motion by Chief Togliatti and a second by Dr. Young. All in favor say aye?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

COVERLEY: Any opposed?

SHEA: Aye.

COVERLEY: Sorry. Any opposed? All right. Passes unanimously. Congratulations. Item number eight, discussion and for possible action, request from state parks for a six-month extension past the one year requirement for NRS 289.550 in order to meet the requirements.

SHERLOCK: Did I -- did I --

COVERLEY: I'm going out of order.

SHERLOCK: Better you than me, (inaudible).

COVERLEY: Douglas graduate, so. All right, item number seven, I apologize. Discussion and for possible action request from the Nevada Department of Public Safety for an executive certificate of their employee Captain Andrew Granata. Pursuant to NAC 289.270 1(a), possible acts may include the approval or denial of the requested executive certificate. Director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock, for the record. So here, captain Granata is applying for the executive certificate under Section one A. This requirement includes 200 hours of advanced management training, and I know we've talked about this a lot over the years. Here he is asking if his certified public management course can be used to meet the requirement of that 200 hours. Staff looks at, for all other professional certificates, whether or not that training is directly focused

and related to policing, whether advanced training, supervision, or management, that's what staff look at -- looks at. So at the executive level, we generally see things like F-B-I-N-A-A or command college, something like that. Additionally, just so, you know, the Commission has previously denied executive certificates where the training hours were simply law school. That really hurt my feelings, by the way, but finding that the Juris doctorate is not executive trainings will specifically related to advanced management for a law enforcement agency. And -- and it was denied, just to give you some history. For staff we try to be careful about opening the doors to non-policing specific training. We are POST, peace officers standards and training. I can tell you where we do. Staff has issued management down certificates, we all often will kick back applications for lacking specific policing related training. Again, as we are POST, officers often then attend law enforcement training and meet the requirement in a short -- in short order and -- and often get that certificate anyway. From our perspective, that sort of improves the educational level of individual officers across the state. I understand that we're not discrediting any education or training that an -- an officer may have, but simply whether it is appropriate for us to use it for a POST advance or professional certificate. Once there's no clear standard on the certificate itself, we know that it -- it

weakens sort of the value of that certificate. And so we want to make sure we hold you know, we -- we uphold those standards. So that said, we leave the idea of using CPM training to fulfill the requirement up to the Commission. We did review the training itself. It is general -- generalized training. It's not specific to law enforcement at -- at any point. And so with that we make no recommendation and we leave it up to the Commission on -- on that training whether it qualifies.

COVERLEY: Any discussion or comments?

YOUNG: Dr. Young, for the record. I -- I will never discredit anyone's educational path, but I was looking at the core competencies, and the concern I would have is that there's not a direct correlation to law enforcement practices. There's some leadership standards that are outlined, and there's some work around public service focus, but none that would tie directly to the role and the certification. And so I'm with that. I -- I would not necessarily support the certification.

TOGLIATTI: George Togliatti, for the record. Just to kind of add to this, first off, I want to abstain because it's my department's office staying for a vote. It's cowardice on my part, but what I want to bring up is the fact that the certified public manager course is a great course, but it does not, again, touch on any really law enforcement issues.

Recently the state has engaged a company to do a leadership course, just to give you a heads up. And that would involve probably the top 200 people within the organization of the state -- state employees. And that program is going to be like one day a month for six months. So it --

SHERLOCK: Well aware.

TOGLIATTI: You're aware, of course. Pretty intense type of thing. So this could come up again, just saying.

SHERLOCK: Yes, exactly.

TOGLIATTI: That again, is strictly a leadership course and it's not a law enforcement type leadership. Having said all that, I look at the FBI National Academy and being an alum of the Bureau. I look at the FBI National Academy and I think that's -- it's -- it's outstanding. I look at the FBI Executive Institute, which is more on a senior level. Again, my personal opinion, not so much, as far as leadership, it's more of an engagement with your peers from around the country. So to say that that would've been a leadership course, the Executive institute is -- is -- man, not so much. So I think we have to look at what programs specifically that they're taking. So in that sense we're probably correct in saying this is not law enforcement. Thanks

COVERLEY: Any further discussion or comments? All right. So we're looking for a motion to approve or deny the issuance of the executive certificate for Captain Granada.

YOUNG: This is Dr. Young. I'm going to make a motion to deny the issuance of the certificate.

MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney, I'll second.

COVERLEY: All right, we have a motion from Dr. Young and a second from Chief McKinney. All in favor say aye.

MULTIPLE: Aye. Aye.

COVERLEY: Any opposed? Motion passes.

SHERLOCK: Chief Shea, are you still there?

COVERLEY: You fall asleep.

SHEA: Yeah, I'm here.

SHERLOCK: Okay.

COVERLEY: How'd you vote?

SHEA: I -- I voted with the majority.

COVERLEY: Okay. Passed unanimously. All right, now item number eight. Discussion and for possible action request from state parks for a six-month extension past the one-year requirement pursuant to NRS 289.550. In order to meet the requirements for certificate of their employee Ranger Elias Anderson, hire date, July 21, 2025, extinction to July, or excuse me, January 21, 2027. Possible action may include approval or denial of the requested extension. Director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock for record. State Parks is here. You want me to do it? I mean, I'm talking so much. I -- I can -- I can. I have spoken to -- to state parks. Mr. Anderson was

injured at the beginning of the academy. There's no disciplinary history with this academy attendee. As a result of that injury, he was unable to continue in the academy. This extension would allow him time to remain until the beginning of our next academy which should be in July. As such, staff would recommend the extension of time to allow Mr. Anderson to attend actually the August start date of our academy. So we would recommend the approval of the extension.

COVERLEY: Any discussion or comments from the Commission? All right. Looking for a motion to approve or deny the extension of time?

WALKER: Jason Walker, motion to approve the requested extension.

TOGLIATTI: George Togliatti, I second.

COVERLEY: All right, we have a motion by Chief Walker and a second by Director Togliatti. All in favor say aye.

MULTIPLE: Aye.

COVERLEY: Any opposed? A motion passes unanimously. The next -- the next nine items will be hearings regarding revocation and or suspension of basic certificates. Deputy Attorney General Nolan for Evidentiary Foundation.

NOLAN: Good morning Chairman Coverley and members of the Commission. John Nolan, N-O-L-A-N, Deputy Attorney General representing POST. This morning the Commission is scheduled to consider multiple contested revocation matters as set forth in

agenda items nine through 17. As executive director Sherlock said 10 and 17 will be tabled. Each of these are arising under the Commission statutory and regulatory authority governing Peace Officer certification. By way of brief background, these proceedings arise under NRS 289.510, which authorizes the Commission to establish minimum standards for the certification and de-certification of peace officers. Pursuant to that authority, the Commission has adopted NAC 289.290, which sets forth the standards for denial, suspension, and revocation of certification, including those based on criminal convictions and other disqualifying conduct. Each of the matters before you today involves alleged conduct and in many cases, criminal convictions that fall within these regulatory standards. Each subject peace officer has been provided notice of these proceedings and an opportunity to appear, present evidence and be heard before this Commission. For purposes of these hearings and before the Commission considers each individual item, I will establish the evidentiary foundation for the materials contained in the revocation packets for agenda items nine through 17, so that the Commission may properly consider and rely upon those materials in making its determinations. So with that, I'll ask a few questions to Chief of Standards, Kathy Floyd. Chief Floyd, I have several questions regarding the materials contained in the revocation packets for agenda items nine through 17. Question one, did

you obtain the documents and materials contained in those packets, including court records, judgments of convictions and agency records directly from the originating courts or their respective employing agencies?

FLOYD: Yes, I do.

NOLAN: Are those documents the same records that POST relies upon in carrying out of statutory duties relating to certification and decertification?

FLOYD: Yes, they are.

NOLAN: Have you maintained those materials in the ordinary course of POST records and responsibilities?

FLOYD: Yes, I have.

NOLAN: To your knowledge, are the documents contained in Commission materials true and accurate copies of the records you received from those courts and agencies?

FLOYD: Yes, they are.

NOLAN: Where applicable, did the materials include certified court records or official agency records reflecting the charges, pleas or convictions at issue in each case?

FLOYD: Yes, they do.

NOLAN: Thank you. Based on that testimony, the materials before the Commission constitute reliable public records including certified court and agency records and are brought before you for consideration. These materials -- these materials established the underlying conduct charges and

conviction relevant, the revocation standards set forth in NAC 289.290. Under NRS 233B.123 evidence may be admitted if it is of the type commonly relied upon by reasonable and prudent persons in the conduct of their affairs and documentary evidence may receive -- may be received in the form of authenticated copies, the materials provided to the Commission meet that standard and may be relied upon in determining each action item. Mr. Chairman, at this time I would ask that the materials contained in the revocation packets for agenda items nine through 17 be admitted into the record.

COVERLEY: Thank you. Hearing pursuant to for possible action, for discussion of possible action hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(f) and (g) for the possible revocation of category one, two, and three basic certificates held by Sean T. Hunt, former employee of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, based on the convictions and/or pleas of guilty to a felony, the conviction and pleas, which have led to this action are count one, attempt theft, category D, felony gross misdemeanor NRS 205.0832, 205.0835, and 193.153. Possible action may be the revocation of category one, two, and three basic certificates. Director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock, for the record. Mr. Chairman, could we just have you rule on the request of moving those items into the record or approve that, that those items are moved to the record.

COVERLEY: How do I do that? How -- what's the language?

NOLAN: make your motion to admit the exhibits.

COVERLEY: Okay. All right. Got you.

SHERLOCK: We need a motion to approve the evidence.

MCGILL: Joe McGill, I will move that the supporting documents be accepted into the record as evidence and legitimacy.

YOUNG: Dr. Young, I'll second.

COVERLEY: We have a motion by Sheriff.

UNKNOWN: aye

COVERLEY: We have a motion by Sheriff McGill, Second by Dr. Young. All in favor say aye.

MULTIPLE: Aye. Aye.

MCKINNEY: Any, any opposed? All right, motion passes unanimously.

NOLAN: And Chairman, if I may, one quick point of clarification. We did have an agreement between myself and Mr. Huntley that there were additional materials that were submitted, I believe under seal to each of the Commission members by Chief Kathy Floyd, and we would put that on the record. And Mr. Huntly is here, they stipulated to that as well.

COVERLEY: Yes. Thank you.

NOLAN: Nothing further.

SHERLOCK: Okay, Mike Sherlock, for the record. Here in

the -- this first revocation hearing Mr. Hunt pled to a felony. In addition the crime he was convicted of is a crime of moral turpitude. And after his plea, he failed to appear for sentencing and there is currently an active warrant for his arrest. So I'm assuming he's not here. And all of this is inconsistent with chapter 289 of the NAC. Considering those evidence items and that information staff would recommend the revocation of Mr. Hunt's category one, two, and three certificate.

COVERLEY: Does the employee agency wish to speak? Does anyone hear from Metro?

UNKNOWN: I don't think so.

COVERLEY: Okay. Is there anyone here to speak on behalf of Mr. Hunt? No. All right. Any discussion or comment?

UNKNOWN: (inaudible) to make a motion.

MCKINNEY: All right. Looking for a motion regarding the category, one, two, three Cert -- re -- re -- regarding, okay, looking for a motion regarding the category one, two, and three, certificates of Shawn T. Hunt.

BOVEDA: Esmeralda Boveda, I'll make the motion to revoke.

WALKER: Jason Walker, second.

COVERLEY: All right. We have a motion by, is it Chief?

WALKER: Assistant.

COVERLEY: Chief? Deputy Chief

COVERLEY: Deputy Chief Boveda and Assistant Sheriff McKinney. All in favor say aye.

MULTIPLE: Aye. Aye.

SHEA: Aye

COVERLEY: Any opposed? Motion passed unanimously. Item number 10 was tabled. So we will move now to item number 11. Discussion and for possible action hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(d), (f), and (h) for the possible revocation of category one, two, and three basic certificates held by Mun H. Kim, former employee of the Mineral County Sheriff's Office, based on the convictions and/or pleas of guilty of two misdemeanors, the conviction, pleas, which have led to this action are, count one, possession of drug not to be introduced into interstate commerce, misdemeanor in violation of NRS five, excuse me, 454.351. Count two, possession or use of drug paraphernalia, misdemeanor in violation of NRS 453.566. Possible action may be revocation of the category one, two, and three basic certificates. Director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock, for the record. And just so just as a quick learning point for new Commissioners when we're talking about convictions where it's a misdemeanor in most cases and not specific misdemeanors, the employing or former employing agency must request the revocation hearing. In this case, the former employee agency is asking that Mr. Kim's certificate be revoked. He was convicted of a misdemeanor drug

crime, including possession and possession of drug paraphernalia. All of these are inconsistent with chapter 289 of the NAC related to both hiring and certification of peace officers. And it's also inconsistent with our profession. And as such, staff would simply recommend the revocation of Mr. Kim's category one, two, and three certificates.

COVERLEY: Is the employing agency here to speak on this matter?

FERGUSON: I would just agree, Sheriff Ferguson for the record, I would just agree that it's inappropriate. It's not the ethics or the moral that we want (inaudible) agency or with law enforcement as a whole. I do believe there was more than enough that it could have been prosecuted as a felony, the DA from Mineral County is here also, I asked for some leniency from the DA and that's why they plead down with Mr. Kim.

MCCORMICK: And chairman and members of the Board. Ryan McCormick, Mineral County District Attorney BARR Number 15434. I'm in an interesting situation with this case, 'cause I was not the Mineral County District Attorney when I took it over. It was conflicted out and I was a Deputy District attorney in Churchill County at the time. But I did handle the case and this was a negotiated plea agreement with Mr. Kim in conjunction with Mr. Ferguson. I was able to talk to him at that point, or excuse me, Sheriff Ferguson. And part of that

agreement for him to receive the benefit of his bargain is that he was voluntarily relinquished his POST certification to not be convicted of felonies. And just for a little bit of background, he was found to be in possession of several vials of testosterone and a few vials of anabolic steroid.

COVERLEY: All right, is Mr. Kim or representative here to speak? All right. Any comments or discussion from the Commission? All right. Seeing none. Looking for a motion regarding the category one, two, three certificates of Mun H. Kim.

MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney. I'll move for revocation of Mr. Kim's category one, two, and three certificates.

WALKER: Jason Walker, Second.

COVERLEY: I have a motion by Chief McKinney and second by Assistant Sheriff Walker. All in favor say aye.

MULTIPLE: Aye.

SHEA: Aye

COVERLEY: Any opposed? A motion passes unanimously. Thank you, gentlemen. Thank you. Item number 12, discussion and for possible action hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(d)(f), and G for the possible revocation of category three basic certificate held by Scott W. Lewis, former employee of the Nevada Department of Corrections based on the convictions and or pleas of guilty to a felony, the convictions, pleas, which have led to this action are, count one, furnishing a

controlled substance to a state prisoner, a category B felony in violation of NRS 212.160(1)(a). Possible action may be the revocation of the category three basic certificate. Director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: Here, as you can see in the documents provided in the evidence Mr. Lewis was convicted of a felony i.e., furnishing a controlled substance to a state prisoner. Clearly the conviction of a felony is not only prohibiting -- prohibitive, itis inconsistent with the profession. His conduct related to drugs is also in violation of chapter 289 of the NAC. As such, staff would recommend the revocation of Mr. Lewis's Category three basic certificate.

COVERLEY: Is there anyone here from NDOC? Is there anyone here would like to speak on the behalf of Mr. Lewis? Mr. Lewis, here? All right. Any comments or discussion from the Board, the Commission? All right. Looking for a motion regarding the category three certificates of Scott W. Lewis.

WALKER: Jason Walker Motion regarding the revocation of the category three, basic certificate.

MCGILL: Joe McGill, I second.

COVERLEY: All right, we have a motion from Assistant Sheriff Walker and the second Sheriff McGill. All in favor say aye?

MULTIPLE: Aye. Aye.

SHEA: Aye

MCKINNEY: Any opposed? Passes unanimously. Item number 13, discussion and for possible action hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(a)(f) for the possible revocation of the category three basic certificate held by Robert L. Patton, former employee of the Nye County Sheriff's Office based on NAC 289.290(1)(a), willful falsification of any information provided to obtain certificate -- certification NAC 289.290(1)(f). Failure to comply with the standard established in this chapter possible action may, the replication of the category three basic certificate.

HUNTLEY: Sheriff, if I may real quick correct the record there. Officer Patton is a current employee of Nye County. He has no disciplinary action pending, so he is not a former employee. He's simply on administrative leave pending the outcome of this.

COVERLEY: Okay. Do you want to go to director -- director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: Sure. Mike Sherlock for the record and I can summarize what -- what staff receives. So Nye County is recommending revocation. Documents supplied by Nye County would indicate various violations of chapter 289. This includes falsification that is by not disclosing relevant information during the background to include arrests information related to the addiction to, use of, or possession of narcotics. Here, submissions indicate Mr. Patton admitted

to arrest for drug possession and violating probation due to drug pro -- possession. Additionally, he was convicted of drug possession in California, specifically 11377 of a health and safety code, which is possession of methamphetamine in California. It was 17(b) diverted to Prop 36, which is drug court. Regardless of the fact of the diverted drug from staff's perspective, he -- perspective, he was arrested and convicted of possession of methamphetamine. As such, his conduct is inconsistent with chapter 289 of the NAC and inconsistent with certification. Staff recommends the revocation of Mr. Patton's category three certificate.

COVERLEY: Sheriff McGill, I see you're here for Nye or Nye County.

MCGILL: I am. So there's a long background in this. Mr. Patton was hired by the Nye County Sheriff's Office January or March 31, 2020, working detention in the Tonopah detention center. At the time that he was hired, there was a large hiring push going on, and it, that was under my predecessor prior to my becoming the sheriff. The background investigation as a result of the hiring push was not completed until after his having been hired. Through the background investigation originally in -- in 2020 it was found that Mr. Patton was arrested in -- in February of 2003. The background report noted that he completed his probation and petitioned the court in 2020 to have his case dismissed. The background

investigator also noted that there were other arrests through his criminal check. And upon questioning Mr. Patton on these other arrests, he stated and claimed that these arrests were for his twin brother. Patton did not disclose all of the -- these arrests to the background investigator at that time. During the lie detection portion, the question was asked, have you ever been arrested, convicted, or -- or pled guilty to a domestic violence related offense? To which he answered no. Patton did accept a stay out of trouble for one year order in exchange as a result of a domestic violence arrest, which if I recall, was in Utah. The investigator -- the background investigator at the time noted, not recommended for hire. However, the final decision was made by the Sheriff, Sheriff Wherley to hire Patton. In 2025, Patton applied to the accepted to Patrol Academy from the detention assignment that he currently had. Due to this, his background was again reviewed. During this review, which was done by the same background investigator, the background investigator rec -- recalled questionable items from his background and looked further into that previous background. As a result of that, the investigator requested fingerprint comparisons for the arrestee to compare with Mr. Patton. Based on the fingerprint comparisons from those previous arrests, it was discovered that the prints were taken at the time of the arrest matched the fingerprints of Mr. Patton, not those of his brother Jerry

Patton. The -- I -- I know the two of them they look, I -- I believe they're identical twins with the exception of maybe hairstyle, things like that. And so I can attest to the fact that looking at a booking photo may not be sufficient and the fingerprints were examined. An internal investigation was opened as a result of this under 289, and Mr. Patton was interviewed. The interview – in the interview was discussed about the original background and the fact that he was arrested two times, one for possession of a controlled substance in 2003 and one for domestic violence in Utah in 2012. He was asked if he was arrested any other times. And during this interview in -- at the time that he applied for the Category one Academy, he disclosed that he had been arrested for multiple probation violations being in 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, again in 2006, and again in 2006. When questioned by the background investigator on those particular arrests, Mr. Patton stated that he did not consider that they were arrests because there were not new cases or new charges. However, he was placed in custody as a result of those probation violations. He acknowledged that he was found to be in possession of narcotics during one of his arrests in 2003, which he had not disclosed in either of the two background investigations. Based on this information it is our belief that a criminal charge, or at the time that a criminal charge would've been filed, and we consulted with the District

Attorney's office. The District Attorney stated that due to the statute of limitations and not being able to articulate an ongoing pattern of fraud, that criminal charges were not appropriate. Due to the fact that NRS 289.057 prohibits internal discipline investigation being undertaken more than five years after the occurrence, we believed that it was not possible for us to continue with a disciplinary action based on the fact that he had been employed for as long as he had been. And so the concern was that that would be a factor that would overturn any disciplinary action if we were to take it. So based on this information it appears that the only recourse or the only proper action would be for revocation of this post certificate. And I'd be happy to answer any questions.

COVERLEY: All right. Let's go to Mr. Patton, you're saying -- is that you, sir?

PATTON: Yes, sir.

COVERLEY: And your representative?

HUNTLEY: So, thank you. Attorney Brett Huntley on behalf of Officer Patton. I've got nothing but respect for Sheriff McGill. We have a long history together. We've worked together predating his time as a sheriff. So nothing but respect for him. However, completely disagree with him on this case. I think he's wrong. He did conduct an investigation in violation of NRS 289 over my objection. He used that investigation to submit to the Commission for this revocation. He cited to it

in his request. So he violated 289 there, and he's now using an administrative code to overcome the clear language of NRS 289, which prohibits disciplinary action in this case. And I struggled to try and figure out why he's doing that. Because if you look at the record, there's clearly no indication of willful fal -- falsification by Deputy Patton. The statement was made that he claimed these other arrests were related to his twin brother. As was explained in his interview, that's not true. The investigator at the time asked him, without showing him any of these arrests, asked him, is there anybody else that, or is there anybody that could use your identity? And he told him, well, my twin brother has before, or could. And that was it. The investigator never showed him these arrests, never asked him to explain what they were and to claim that they did not understand these were his arrests is frankly ridiculous. If you look at the arrest reports, all of them are tied back to the original case. They all document the same tattoos in at least one of them they document that he was with his wife on both the one he was originally arrested for. And the second one, the domestic battery arrest, which was in 2012, again, eight years before this interview happened. It was documented with his wife. So to think that his brother is going to get arrested for domestic abuse against his wife, it's ridiculous. There's simply no claim that they could have believed that. More importantly, to show a violation of NAC

289.290, you have to show willful falsification, meaning he knowingly lied during this background interview. It's simply illogical to believe that. He admitted to them his original arrest for methamphetamine use. He admitted to them using methamphetamine at least 52 times during this two-year period. Obviously he wasn't trying to hide his past problem he had with methamphetamine, which again was more than 15 years before the interview, and is now more than 20 years in the past. As it was documented, he did take a CVSA and he was asked about prior arrests. He answered what he believed and he passed that CVSA. At the time of this interview, he had no prior law enforcement experience, so it's reasonable for him to understand an arrest, meaning he got charged for something. Notably, it was claimed that this -- the first probation violation where he was picked up and had methamphetamine on him, wasn't disclosed. He did disclose that in his background report. However, he -- he disclosed it under this section for Have you ever had a court order entered against you? And he disclosed that he had a court order -- order against him for missing his court date with drug court and probation picked him up, which is indicative of his understanding belief that this was a court order rather than an arrest. Following that, there were four or five additional arrests on his record. Again, no new charges, it was all -- all relate to the same original charge. He was out on probation, and the majority of

those relate to when he shows up for drug court. They test him, as you all know, and he had diluted tests, and so he would get remanded back into custody. Eventually, he sought medical treatment at the direction of the judge. Found out that his -- his creatine levels were too low. He got treatment for that, and the problem went away. But it's perfectly reasonable that he didn't understand those as additional arrests. Had he not been on probation, he still would've been in jail under that original charge. He was simply remanded into custody with no new charge, nothing new. So as someone not in law enforcement, perfectly understandable that he didn't believe those were arrests. Even at the time of his interview that was unlawfully conducted, he still was confused about what the investigator wanted when he asked, when he had been arrested, because again, this was being remanded back in custody. Regarding the domestic violence arrest, again, no charges were filed on this. He disclosed that he was the subject of an EPO or protective order. He disclosed that the police were called out and interviewed him, and that no charges were filed. Again, his criminal history that the investigator had included this arrest, included the tattoo he had of his child's name, that it was with his wife at the time. We don't have any records of what actually was discussed between the investigator and Deputy Patton. Deputy Patton testified during the interview that recently occurred that he

was forthcoming and -- and explained everything he knew. So there's no evidence of any willful falsification. It's not logical. The decision wouldn't have changed. And I think all of you have been in this situation. If somebody discloses a methamphetamine arrest that's over 15 years old, and that doesn't disqualify them from being hired and employed, the -- a handful of times being remanded during probation within the year or two of that, which is still 15 years previous to that, is not going to change that hiring decision. So there -- there was no motivation there for him to hide that, he was intentionally hiding it, misunderstanding, poor background investigation, it just doesn't make sense other than the only motivation I can find is that, as Sheriff McGill said, the background investigator at the times didn't want to hire him. He was overruled by Sheriff Wherley and former Captain David Borouchowitz who was well documented the struggles they had during their administration. But this opportunity came up where the former investigator, now captain, had a chance to apparently get his original wishes and not basically undo what he was forced to initially. Deputy Patton shouldn't be punished for that. He disclosed everything he thought he needed to. The department. the investigator had possession of all these arrest records, easily verifiable that it was him, pushed it through any way. He's had no issues since then. He's been a deputy now for six years. No drug use since 2004, as he

said again, 22 years now. Don't think that's an appropriate justification to violate NRS 289 and (inaudible). Thank you.

NOLAN: May I speak chairman?

COVERLEY: Yes. Yes, absolutely.

NOLAN: So, thank you all. John Nolan, for the record. I have utmost respect for Mr. Huntley. I've worked with him multiple times. And Mr. Patton this is not personal, but for POST, I think the first thing that we need to -- to clarify here is there's -- there's two different things that they look at versus the hiring decision and the standards that POST has to enforce. And so, you know, from that perspective, POST has to look at whether or not the information provided for certification was complete and accurate. And that's -- and -- and -- and as we look at the record, both what's in the agenda today and what was also provided under seal, it's very clear that it was not, it's not, you know it's not about perfect intent or deliberate deception and criminal sense. The question is whether the information provided was complete and accurate. And the record reflects here multiple undisclosed arrest and that's regardless of how they were characterized. And Mr. Patton had a responsibility to accurately disclose that for an interpretation process, which he did not. I will also mention separately and independent from the willful falsification of any information provided to obtain a certificate under 289. 290 1(a), separately 289(1)(f), is a

separate provision and it's a very important provision, failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter, where you look for the standards established for certification of peace officers go right up in the regs to 110, Subpart four, and I'll read it for the record. A person may not be appointed to perform the duties of a peace officer if he or she has been convicted of a felony in this state or any offense, which would be a felony if committed in this state. Subpart B and convicted of an offense involving moral turpitude or the unlawful use sale or possession of a controlled substance. And C, a documented history of physical violence. It speaks for itself. It's quite clear. So we would maintain that standards were not met here and therefore the certification process was not complete and accurate. And this case implicates both 290 1 A and F and therefore POST would ask for revocation.

COVERLEY: Thank you. Any comments or discussion from the Commission?

HUNTLEY: Would I be permitted to respond to that?

COVERLEY: Yes.

HUNTLEY: Thank you. For the record, willful falsification is one of the charges that's being brought. So his intent definitely does matter 'cause he didn't willfully falsify anything. Regarding one F, the standards, this was a misdemeanor conviction, not a felony conviction. And the

documented history of violence, again, no charge was brought. And again, this is 15 years now, 20 years in the past. I'm sure each of you can look at your departments and there are officers in there with misdemeanor convictions or charges that didn't lead to arrest. I was looking at a case just yesterday where an officer has two arrests for child abuse and no discipline because no charges were brought. And that's no different than the domestic violence arrest here, where no charges were brought and he was eventually cleared. So to say that's the standard that's upheld every time is not the case.

NOLAN: May I respond?

COVERLEY: Yes.

NOLAN: I would also go back to subpart a willful fal -- falsification of any information, not substantial information, not multiple instances, any information. So one instance is enough. That's it.

COVERLEY: All right, any comments or discussion?

BOVEDA: Esmeralda Boveda for the record. Confirming he's still employed then, that's what we're told.

MCGILL: He is currently on leave without pay pending this process.

MCKINNEY: With pay.

SHERLOCK: With pay. Did I say without?

MCKINNEY: Yes.

SHERLOCK: With pay. I'm sorry.

BOVEDA: Is there a reason why he's not terminated, like, for violating their internal policies?

MCGILL: Because the -- had we continued the new internal investigation, I believe that that would've been a violation of 289 for the nothing over five-year rule. So I elected not to take the risk of having our investigation overturned in arbitration as a result of that.

NOLAN: May I respond? So I would just also point for the record, there's a difference between the employment and the hiring, termination side of this and POST enforcing standards. So I just want to make sure that's a very clear POST is here recommending revocation because of your enforcement standards set forth from regulations in chapter 289.

BOVEDA: That's good to know, but yeah.

HUNTLEY: I'll say it again. A back door to violate NRS 289.

COVERLEY: Okay. So we're -- you had your moment, so we're moving on. Any comment or a discussion from the Commission?

SHEA: Yeah, Tim Shea I don't know if you can hear me or not.

COVERLEY: Yes, we can.

SHEA: Well, this has been quite a -- not a thought on my part in discussions and I sympathize with any chief executive finds himself in this position. I -- my concerns

are, is that we're doing, if we we're actually acting, like, a tribunal and we're -- there's no due process going on for the officer or deputy who's accused of doing something where we would normally have either a criminal violation and a termination by court or an internal investigation, which would follow all the rules and regulations under 289. None of that is in place now. And I'm concerned about where would this stop? Any -- any agency could bring forth something directly to the Commission circumvent 289 completed because they found out something that happened more than five years ago. And we ended up becoming a tribunal of some sort that would now have to weigh the facts and determine whether or not these things actually did occur. And if these things, whether or not they actually were in violation, which would then trigger us to act. And I -- the-- the problem I have is I think 289 is flawed, and that five-year regulation really puts handcuffs on us when we find out that somebody did do something that occurred more than five years ago that was serious. So that's kind of where my comments lie. And it's really, this has really been a head scratcher for me. And I don't know the other scale of this, but it's, are we going down a path that, where does it end?

COVERLEY: So one comment for, so I Dan Coverley for the record. I -- I understand there was a lot of mistakes made going back years. But we're here today to discuss specifically

289. 290 1(a) and 289.290 1(f), and I don't think that whether or not I don't think there's a time factor at -- at -- at all, okay? So the question is, is did were those regulations violated? And does that disqualify an individual for the current regulations from holding a POST certificate? And -- and I believe that it does. Is this the best way or you know, we can debate that for -- for until, you know, and if, and if and 289, if that needs to be updated or changed, that's a discussion for another day. So I think we need to stay focused on exactly what we're being asked to do today, which is look at which is what Mr. Nolan has -- has kind of instructed us. Mr. Nolan?

NOLAN: I would also -- yes, I agree. That would be POST position as you laid it out. It's not to re-litigate the substance of the arrest or violations, but whether in fact that created a history of violence, domestic violence or possession or use of -- of illegal drugs as laid out on 110. I would also push back against the comments about due process. Mr. Patton was noticed, all the proper procedures under 289 were followed. He's here by counsel today. He submitted ample materials, partially that's in the packet, and partially as we stated earlier were submitted to everybody on this Commission under seal that had an opportunity to review including the original investigation recording that Mr. Huntley referenced earlier. And so our position is that standards were not met

here. There -- there -- there was not complete and accurate accounting of all information provided to obtain the certificate, and therefore, revocation is appropriate. Thank you.

COVERLEY: Any further discussion or comment?

MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney, for the record. Historically, this Commission has -- has been reluctant to revoke a certification to -- to achieve the mission of a department trying to terminate a -- an employee. It's been this is a difficult situation because I believe Mr. Patton should not have been hired based upon his background, should not have been certified to begin with. So I think in this case, we are actually correcting an error that occurred five years ago rather than terminating or -- or doing Nye County's bidding to terminate where -- where they can't, I think this is a different situation. I believe we probably have an obligation to correct a wrong that was committed. You know, whether intentionally or mistakenly, I do not believe Mr. Patton should have been certified to begin with. I think we need to make that correction. And that's my position.

MCGILL: Sheriff, if I may?

COVERLEY: Yes.

MCGILL: I want to -- I want to go along the same Nye as Chief McKinney. If -- if chief McKinney had hired his brother 12 years ago knowing that his brother was convicted for murder

and a new chief comes in, this is the only avenue for that new chief to number one, correct the wrong, correct the -- the -- the -- the conspiracy, and to protect the integrity of this profession. This was a difficult decision for me to make. I understand the ins and outs. This was not an end run. I am going back, yes to all this information that I provided was information, but it was just underlying information. I'm going back to the original 2003 background investigation and saying that this should occur, 2020, that this should have been handled properly then and it was not.

COVERLEY: Okay, sir, I allowed Sheriff McGill. Any last comments you'd like to make or,

HUNTLEY: Yeah, again, just talking about a misdemeanor that's been dismissed now and an arrest where no charges were brought. While I appreciate him wanting to undo a mistake that his predecessor made, but that POST certification was approved at the time. So now you're taking someone who moved his family here has spent six years building a career with no issues, has been a commendable employee, and tearing that away from him over something that happened over 20 years ago and again, was not a willful falsification.

COVERLEY: All right, so at this time I'm looking for a motion regarding the category three certificates of Robert L. Patton.

WALKER: Jason Walker, motion for the revocation of the

category three basic certificate.

STRAUBE: Rob Straube, I Second.

COVERLEY: All right, we have a motion by Assistant Chief Walker, second by Chief Straube.

MCGILL: I'm sorry if I may, I'm going to abstain from this vote.

COVERLEY: Okay, Yes.

MCGILL: Respectfully.

COVERLEY: All in favor do you by saying aye.

MULTIPLE: Aye.

COVERLEY: Any opposed? Chief Sha?

SHEA: Yeah, I'm going to vote Aye.

COVERLEY: I also vote Aye. Motion passes unanimously. Thank you, gentlemen. Item number 14, discussion and from possible action hearing pursuant to N -- NAC 289.290(1)(f), and (g) for this possible suspension of the category one basic certificate held by Wendell H. Phillips, former employee of the Clark County Office of Public Safety. Based on the indictment filed March 21, 2024, charging Mr. Phillips with count one oppression under the color of officer Category D, felony in violation of NRS 197.200. Count two, misconduct of a public officer, category B, felony in violation of NRS 197.110 Count three, sexual assault category a felony in violation of NRS 200.364 and 200.366. Possible action may be the suspension of category one basic certificate. Director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock, for the record. Here, the agency has requested revocation, which is how our forms work. But we want to make sure the Commission understands that this person is not yet convicted and allows us to suspend that certificate pending the final outcome of the criminal case. Here he is has been indicted for three separate felonies. Clearly if he's convicted, he is prohibited from acting as a peace officer. In addition to conduct such as oppression under the color of an officer other misconduct and sexual assault that led to the indictment is clearly inconsistent with NAC 289 and -- and our profession. He is currently at large after failure to appear for court considering all this information, Staff would recommend the suspension of Mr. Phillips Category one, certificate pending the court case.

COVERLEY: Is the employee agent here to speak? Mr. Phillips here? All right, any discussion or comments from the Commission without looking for a motion to suspend -- suspend, correct?

SHERLOCK: Yes.

NOLAN: Yes.

COVERLEY: Suspend the category one basic certificate held by Mr. Phillips.

MCKINNEY: Kevin McKinney. I'll move that we suspend Mr. Phillips as category one certificate.

MCGILL: Joe McGill, I'll second.

COVERLEY: All right. We have a motion by Chief McKinney and a second by Sheriff McGill. All in favor so aye?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

COVERLEY: Any oppose? I also vote aye. Motion passes unanimously.

NOLAN: Did you get a vote from Chief Shea?

MCKINNEY: Chief Shea, did you get a vote in?

SHEA: Yeah, I hit my mute button too late. Yeah, aye.

COVERLEY: Thank you. Item number 15, discussion and for possible action hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(f) and (g) for possible revocation of the category two basic certificate held by Jerrell D. Roberts, former employee of the Clark County Department of Juvenile Justice Services, based on the convictions and/or pleas of a guilty to a felony. The convictions pleas, which have led to this action are count one, obtaining and using personal identifying information of another, category B felony NRS 205.4631. Possible action may be the revocation of the category two basic certificate. Director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock, for the record. And I know you've seen the supporting documents on this particular case. Mr. Roberts was convicted of a felony, but in addition to that the conduct is clearly related to more -- moral turpitude issues. And as such as inconsistent, which happened 289 of the NAC and our profession and staff would recommend the

revocation of Mr. Roberts Category two certificate.

COVERLEY: Is the employee agency here to speak? Is Mr. Roberts or representative here to speak? Any comments or discussions from the Commission? All right, hearing none. Looking for a motion to revoke the category two basic certificate held by Mr. Roberts.

WALKER: Jason Walker, motion for the revocation of the category two basic certificate.

NIEL: Russ Niel, I second.

COVERLEY: All right. Motion by assistant sheriff Walker, Second by Chief Russell. All in favor say aye?

MULTIPLE: Aye. Aye.

SHEA: Aye

COVERLEY: Any opposed? I also vote aye. Motion passes unanimously. Item number 16 was tabled. Is that correct?

SHERLOCK: Yeah.

COVERLEY: On to item 17, discussion and for possible action hearing pursuant to NAC 289. 290 (1)(f) and (g) for the possible revocation of category two and three basic certificates held by Maurice A. Washington, former employee of the City of Las Vegas, Department of Public Safety, based on the convictions and/or pleas of guilty to a felony. The convictions, pleas which have led to this action, are count one and two, reckless driving with death, category B, felony NRS 484B 653. Possible actions may be the revocation for the

category two and three basis certificates. Director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: Mike Sherlock, for the record, I think this is the last one. All right? Here I know you've seen the supporting documents. Maurice Washington was convicted of a felony and as such, prohibited from Peace Officer employment. In addition, the conduct itself is inconsistent with chapter 289 of the NAC and staff would recommend the revocation of Maurice Washington's category two and three basic certificates.

COVERLEY: Is the employee agency here to speak? Is Mr. Washington or representative? Any comments or discussion from the Commission? All right, seeing none. Looking for a motion to revoke the category two and three basic certificates held by Maurice Washington.

MCGILL: Joe McGill, all move for revocation of the basic two and three certificates.

WALKER: Jason Walker, I second.

COVERLEY: All right. We have a motion by Sheriff McGill, second by Assistant Chief Walker. All in favor say aye?

MULTIPLE: Aye. Aye. Aye.

SHEA: Aye

COVERLEY: Any opposed? Motion passes unanimously. Item number 18, public comment. The opportunity for public comment is reserved for any matter listed below on the agenda, as well as any matter within the jurisdiction of the Commission. No

action on such an item may be taken by the Commission unless and until the matter has been noticed as an action item. No comments may be made regarding a matter that is or may be the subject of a formal complaint before the Commission. Comment may not be limited based on viewpoint. If we have any public comment at this time? All right, seeing none. We will close by that. That's all we have to do on that, right? Just move on?

SHERLOCK: Yes.

COVERLEY: Discussion and for possible action schedule, upcoming public hearing and regularly meeting schedule meeting in July. Director Sherlock?

SHERLOCK: So Mike Sherlock, for the record. So I -- I know Sheriff's and Chief's meeting is in Ely on July 15th and 16th, but just to give some history due to sort of the remoteness of Ely for us in the past getting attorneys and witnesses and other people out to Ely was a problem. And we at that time moved the July Commission meeting to Carson, which for us from a budget standpoint and that kind of things make sense. We do two in the South and the Clark County area and two in the north. And so we're good with having it in Carson. We just want to make sure that the Commission still feels that way. I've spoken to several people on the Commission who would prefer not having it in Ely, particularly this year. Some of you aren't going to Ely, I understand that. And so we would be looking at July for here Carson. But we don't want to

interfere with Sheriffs and Chiefs. I've been told that that same week, maybe on Tuesday, which would be the 14th of July, may work for some of you. But I don't know when everybody is traveling. So we're kind of leaving that up to the Commission to hash that out and let us know what works best and to get the meeting in July, for us, other than Sheriffs and Chiefs, we're pretty open for July for staff. So we're looking -- we're looking for direction, what -- what with the new makeup of the Commission, that kind of thing, what works best for everybody.

COVERLEY: Dan Coverley, for the record. The 14th would work for me. It would need to be in the morning, 'cause I have to travel to Ely.

SHERLOCK: Yeah.

COVERLEY: So, I, you know, would be able to leave after the meeting.

SHERLOCK: So if everybody's good with that, we can apply -- we -- we can try for July 14th in the -- in the morning. All right, let's do that. So tentatively and I'll get something out to -- to the Commission on that.

TOGLIATTI: Yeah. George Togliatti, for the record. You're talking about Tuesday morning?

SHERLOCK: Yeah.

TOGLIATTI: July 14th?

SHERLOCK: Yeah. Because I believe the 15th and 16th is,

is Wednesday, Thursday, right?

TOGLIATTI: Yes.

SHERLOCK: For -- for Sheriffs and Chiefs. Yeah.

BOVEDA: So that'll prob -- probably be a challenge for me, but maybe I can work something -- something out. I'll talk to you later.

SHERLOCK: Okay.

BOVEDA: Yeah.

COVERLEY: Should we make it for 9:00 a.m., then?

SHERLOCK: Sure. 9:00 a.m.

TOGLIATTI: If it's -- I'll -- I -- I'll fly up Monday or if I fly up Tuesday, if it's you know, later in the morning, I can fly up Tuesday. It depends on how everybody else feels. I'm -- I'm flexible as long as it's Tuesday rather than Monday. So it gives (inaudible).

SHERLOCK: Okay.

COVERLEY: And we can do 10.

SHERLOCK: Yeah.

COVERLEY: You know, we just can't do three in the afternoon.

SHERLOCK: Right. Okay. So we -- we'll -- we'll suggest that then July 14th at 10:00 a.m., and I'll put something out.

COVERLEY: All right. Item 20. Discussion or possible action, adjournment.

NIEL: Motion to approve.

YOUNG: Second.

COVERLEY: All right. Motion by Chief Niel. Dr. Young. All in favor?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

SHEA: Aye

COVERLEY: All right. Thank you.

[end of meeting]

2. **INFORMATION** Executive Director's report.
    a. Training Division
    b. Standards Division
    c. Administration

POST 2nd Quarterly Audit Report
(April-June)

Number of Agencies: 8 **Number of Agencies with Deficiencies:4**
Number of Academies: 12 **Number of Academies with Deficiencies: 0**

AGENCIES:

Agency training deficiency and recommended corrective action:

1. **Agency Name/Date:** Esmeralda Sheriff's Office 4/6/2026
   Findings: Major Finding: Non-Compliant. We could not locate a second firearm qualification on one individual. A couple of individuals did not do the training for OC or Taser, but I was told they are jail deputies and they don't carry those items. One of their hires in December of 2025 had not done a rifle qual to date so they were advised he should not carry the rifle until he qualifies. As mentioned earlier, some training documentation was not found. I was told it was completed but they had no proof of some items. Another item noted was that two individuals had not completed their 12 hours of continuing education training until 2026. I advised that they would need to do all the 12 hours of training again this year as the training done in 2026 only sufficed for the 2025 training
   Recommendation: Stay diligent and complete the required training in a timely fashion so you do not need to cram it all at the end of the calendar year. **AUDIT CLOSED**

2. **Agency Name/Date:** Pahrump Justice Court 4/8/2026
   Findings: Major Finding – Non-Compliant There was no record of the 12 hours of continuing education training for one of the bailiffs. Since the audit, I have received no proof that the training occurred. Bailiff Luna was searching through his electronic files while I was there but could not locate the training for the 2025 training year. There was no proof of Taser training for Fernandes or Luna. If the bailiffs are to carry a Taser, they need to update their training. The Court needs to recognize the importance of the bailiffs staying compliant with their training so there is no additional liability issues.
   Recommendation: Officers who are not currently trained to carry certain equipment shall not carry such equipment until they have received updated, adequate training. Bailiff Luna should complete all the 12 hour continuing education courses to be compliant for 2025. He will then need to do it again this year to be compliant for 2026. Obtain proof of training and scan them into your electronic files sooner. **AUDIT CLOSED**

Critical Finding-
Major Finding-2
Minor Finding-

Agency background deficiency and recommended corrective action:

1. **Agency Name/Date:** Esmeralda Sheriff's Office 4/6/2026
   Findings: The Esmeralda County Sheriff's Office personnel do not perform peace officer background investigations for the agency. They actually contract with someone local who does the backgrounds for them. I read the narrative for each one and found them to be very superficial. Each of the four backgrounds I reviewed were missing a medical screening, a CVSA/Polygraph and a psychological exam. One was missing proof of HS/HS equivalency, and one was missing a Criminal History Check. In that particular narrative, I read that the subject had a domestic violence conviction. I did share this information with Sheriff Melendez.

   On 4/22/26 I spoke with Sheriff Melendez about the employee hired without the Criminal History check done. I asked if they had done a Criminal History check since I had done the audit. He told me they did the check last week but had not received results yet. I told him that if the subject did in fact have a DV conviction as the BG narrative stated, he is not able to be certified as a peace officer in Nevada and that it would be prudent to relieve him of duty pending the outcome of the Criminal History results. He said he understood and would do so.

   It should be noted that during the last audit of this agency in 2024, they were admonished for not completing thorough backgrounds at that time. At that time, none of the applicants were administered Psychological Exams or CVSA/Polygraph exams. Four (4) background files were audited for content, resulting in non-compliance with NAC 289.110.
   Recommendation: AUDIT CLOSED

2. **Agency Name/Date:** 5th Judicial Court 4/8/2026
   Findings: The 5th Judicial District Court personnel do not perform peace officer background investigations for the agency. The Nye County Sheriff's Office performs the backgrounds on new hires for the court. One (1) background file was requested for audit. However, when I arrived for the audit, no one had access to the background file I had requested. Despite multiple e-mails addressing this topic to both Judge Wanker and the Court Administrator, Whitney Richardson, no one had access to the file. I then went to Nye County HR and spoke with the Director, Ryanne Gott. She advised that she did not have the BG file for Asboth as the court would not release the file to her office.
   Recommendation: AUDIT CLOSED

3. **Agency Name/Date:** Pahrump Justice Court 4/8/2026
   Findings: Critical Finding – Non-Compliant The Pahrump Justice Court personnel do not perform peace officer background investigations for the agency. The Nye County Sheriff's Office will handle BG's upon request. It became apparent that many of the agencies in Nye County were under the

impression they did not need to do new BG investigations if employees were to lateral from one agency to another within the county. I went to Nye County HR and met with Ryanne Gott to review the BG file for a new hire of the Court. There was a BG done in 2022 when the employee (Wagner) was hired by Nye County SO, but no update in 2024 when the subject changed agencies and went to work for the Pahrump Justice Court.

One (1) background file was to be audited for content, however there was no BG done for the new employee, resulting in non-compliance with NAC 289.110. I spoke with the HR Director of Nye County. She sent out an email to all agencies, advising of the need to comply with NAC 289.110 and that new backgrounds are required for all new hires, to include persons moving from one agency to another within Nye County.
Recommendation: AUDIT CLOSED

4. **Agency Name/Date:** Nye County DA 4/8/2026
Findings: The Nye County District Attorney's Office personnel do not perform peace officer background investigations for the agency. Two (2) background files were audited for content, resulting in non-compliance with NAC 289.110. **Critical Finding – Non-Compliant: Both the sworn employees are new to the agency since my last audit in December 2023. One employee had previously worked for multiple agencies in Nye County. The only background completed for him was done in 2002. The other employee had worked for 2 other agencies in Nye County with his only background having been done in 2015**.
Recommendation: AUDIT CLOSED

Significant or Repeated Deficiencies: 4

Critical Finding- 4
Major Finding-
Minor Finding-

Date	Offense	Action
12/31/2023	2 counts Depravation of rights under color of law	Trial 12/01/26? Possible plea
10/12/2024	01/20/26 Pled guilty to Battery constituting DV	Continued to 07/15/2026
5/18/2026	(M) Battery (F) Battery by strangulation (GM) Inhumanity to Prisoner	Arrignment 06/02/26
5/21/2026	1 (F)Lewdness by person more than 18 in presence of child under 18 or vunerable person 2-7(GM) open/gross lewdness (1st) 8-17 (M) Solicit/engage in prositution	Preliminary hearing 06/09/2026
3/18/2024	3 (F) counts Unlawful for prison employee/volunteer to commit sexual abuse of prisoner	Negotations 06/18/2026
4/20/2026	(F) Monitor or att to monitor private conversation	Arraignment 07/29/2026
12/19/2025	1. (F) Coercion constituting domestic violence 2-4. (M) Battery constituting domestic violence, 1st 5-6(F) Child abuse, neglect or endangerment	Jury Trial 10/12/2026
11/28/2023	11 (F) Counts -Assault w/deadly weapon, child abuse, discharging gun into occupied vehicle, oppression under color of office	Jury Trial 09/21/2026
4/11/2026	8 counts Felony Aggravated Stalking	Preliminary Hearing 07/28/2026

	Falsifying PPFT to obtain Reserve Certification	Agency requests revocation - NDI investigation
12/10/2025	1. (F) Kidnapping, second degree 2. (F) Coercion constituting domestic violence w/threat or physical force 3. (F) Domestic violence by strangulation 4. (F) Kidnapping, second degree 5. (F) Assault constituting domestic violence w/use of deadly weapon 6. (F) Domestic Battery w/use of deadly weapon 7. (M) Domestic battery, first offense 8. (F) Coercion constituting domestic violence w/threat or use of physical force 9. (M)Domestic battery, first offense 10. (F) Coercion constituiting domestic violence w/threat or use of physical force 11. (M) coercion constituting domestic violence	Jury Trial 09/08/2026
	Charges pending	Waiting for update from agency
10/7/2025	1. (F) Battery w/substantial bodily harm and 2.(GM) Challenging to fight	09/15/2026 Trial
	Conspiracy to introduce toxicants into prison and bribery of public official?	Waiting for update from agency
1/19/2025	1. (M) Torture/abandon/starve animal	Pled Guilty to misdemeanor -agency conducting administrative investigation - will advise
3/10/2025	(F) Shoot at Inhabited Dwelling/vehicle, etc.	Preliminary Hearing - 06/30/2026
12/1/2024	1. DUI Liquor 2. (M) Failure to drive in travel lane 3. Obstructing/False info to PO	PreTrial 06/29/2026
6/19/2026	1, 2 and 4 (F) Coercion constituting domestic violence w/threat or use of physical force 3. (F) Assault constituting domestic violence w/threat or use of deadly force 5. Domestic battery, first offense	Initial Appearance 6/22/26
5/7/2026	DV	Waiting on agency update

4/27/2026	3 count M Animal Cruelty	Next appearance 06/29/26
7/16/2025	1. DUI of alcohol and/or controlled or prohibited substance 2. (F) Disobeying a peace officer 3. (M) Basic speeding violation - 41 over 4. (M) Fail to properly maintain travel lane/improper lane change 5. (M) Operate expired unregistered vehicle 6. (M) Operator - proof of insurance required	**Guilty plea/Sentenced - GM** **Agency still investigating**
10/25/2025	1. (F) Battery of a Police Officer 2. (M) Resisting an Officer	Bench Trial 06/11/2026
	(F) assault w/deadly weapon Domestic Battery w/deadly weapon	waiting on case update
	DV	Veteran's Court Program
12/21/2025	1. (M) Domestic Battery, first 2. (M) Coercion	**Pled Nolo Contendere**
2/22/2026	1-4 (F) Coercion constituing domestic violence w/threat or use of physical force 5. (F) Child Abuse or Neglect 6-9. (M) Domestic Battery, first	Jury Trial 06/22/2026
4/6/2025	2 counts Felony sexual assault of inmate	Preliminary Hearing 08/06/2026
12/5/2025	1-2. (F) Dom battery by strangulation 3. (F) Coercion constituting DV w/threat or use of physical force 4-9. (M) Domestic Battery	Negotiations 06/16/2026
12/13/2025	1. (F) Attempted robbery 2. (M) Battery	Pled to M Battery status check 11/16/2026
5/20/2026	Oppression under color of office	Status check on criminal complaint 06/18/2026
10/15/2025	1. (F) Cust eng solicit child for prostitution, 1st 2. (F) Att child abuse or neglect, 1st	PreTrial Conference 11/10/2026 Trial 12/07/2026
	Waiting for criminal case information from agency	FBI Investigation?
4/22/2026	1.(F) Child abuse or neglect. 2-3. (F) Person 25+ in sexual conduct w/person in care of child srvs who is 16+ but less than 18	Bail hearing 06/01/26
3/11/2022	1. (F) Sexual Assault w/minor under 14 yrs of age	Jury trial 11/16/2026

3. DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.

Request from the Humboldt County Sheriff's Office for a 6-month extension past the one-year requirement (NRS 289.550) in order to meet requirements for certification for their employees:
Deputy Damon Aitken – hire date July 21, 2025, extension to January 21, 2027.
Deputy Bertha Lenhardt – hire date June 9, 2025, extension to December 9, 2026.

Possible action may be approval or denial of the 6-month extension.

Humboldt County Sheriff's Office

Angel Cardenas
Sheriff

5/27/26

To Whom it may Concern,

I currently have two Deputies enrolled in the CAT II/III Hybrid class. Due to staffing issues, we are struggling with completing the 40 hours required for both Range and Defensive Tactics. We have made the decision to just enroll them into the CAT I Academy for July.

We have submitted both of their packets and received confirmation that they are both accepted.

I am requesting a 6-month extension on the 1-year certification requirement.

The Deputies are Damon Aitken and Bertha Lenhardt

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sheriff Angel Cardenas

Humboldt County Sheriff's Office

50 W. 5th St.

Winnemucca, NV 89445

775-623-6419

4. DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.

Request from the Esmeralda Township Justice Court for a 6-month extension past the one-year requirement (NRS 289.550) in order to meet requirements for certification for their employee Joseph Bowman, hire date September 9, 2025, extension to March 09, 2027.

Possible action may be approval or denial of the 6-month extension.

Danielle Johnson
Justice of the Peace
ESMERALDA TOWNSHIP JUSTICE COURT
ESMERALDA COUNTY, NEVADA
P.O. Box 370
Goldfield, Nevada 89013
Office: (775) 485-6359 Fax: (775) 485-3462
Email: justicecourt@esmeraldacountynv.gov

07/06/2026

Nevada POST

Re: Bowman, Joseph ID 43450

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to request a 6 month extension for Joseph Bowman, pursuant to NRS 289.550, due to CAT II academy not being ready. Mr. Bowman was hired on 09/09/2025.

If you have any questions or concerns, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Danielle Johnson
Justice of the Peace

5. DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.

Request from the Mineral County Sheriff's Office for a 6-month extension past the one-year requirement (NRS 289.550) in order to meet requirements for certification for their employee Dakota Palomino, hire date July 9, 2025, extension to January 9, 2027.

Possible action may be approval or denial of the 6-month extension.

Mineral County Sheriff's Office

Bill Ferguson
Sheriff

July 8, 2026

The Mineral County Sheriff's Office would like to request a six-month extension for Deputy Dakota Palomino, Post ID #43184, in accordance with NRS 289.550. Deputy Palomino was hired on July 9, 2025; this extension would give him until January 2027 to get enrolled in the next POST academy.

Bill Ferguson,
Sheriff

P.O. Box 2290
105 South A Street, Suite 4
Hawthorne, NV 89415

Department (775) 945-2434
Direct Line (775) 945-1046
Facsimile (775) 945-5484

6. <u>DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.</u>

Request from the Nevada Gaming Control Board for an Executive Certificate for their employee Deputy Chief Russell Niel, pursuant to NAC 289.270(1)(a).

Possible action may include approval or denial of the requested certificate.

State of Nevada - POST

Professional Certificate Application

Agency Details

Agency Name *
NV Gaming Control Board

Officer's Details

POST ID *
13398

Officer's Name *
Russell W Niel

Select the Professional Certificate and choose the applicant's qualifications for the certificate.
All officer's hours of POST training used to meet the requirements must be entered into the POST database before submitting this application. Use the POST *Professional Training* or *Annual Compliance* forms for this.

*

- ○ Intermediate (NAC 289.240)
- ○ Advanced (NAC 289.250)
- ○ Supervisor (NAC 289.255)
- ○ Management (NAC 289.260)
- ◉ Executive (NAC 289.270)

*

6 yrs (1 Exec) exp., supervise 2 mgrs, head of agency/div/bureau, 200 hrs adv mgmt trng

Click the Attach button to submit Only the following documents as REQUIRED:

> **Executive** - see NAC289.270(1) for documentation required

Attachments (3)

POST - PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATE APPLICATION - - Russell W Niel - 6/16/2026
POST - PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATE APPLICATION - - Russell W Niel - 6/16/2026
POST - PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATE APPLICATION - - Russell W Niel - 6/16/2026

Additional information or comments
See attached documentation. Application for Deputy Chief Russ Niel's Executive Certificate. Over 881 hrs of training in POST portal and he has basic through management cert.

Submitter Details

By electronically signing and submitting this form, you attest that the applicant meets the requirements for the certificate applied for as set out in the Nevada Administrative Code that is referenced next to the certificate level selected.

Submitter's Full Name *	Submitter's Phone #*	Submitter's E-Mail *
Michael Noworyta	702-486-2164	mnoworyta@gcb.nv.gov

This section is for POST approval only

Education	Credit Hours	Date Achieved
Approved By	**Certification Date**	**Document Type** PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATE APPLICATION
Comments		

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD
1919 College Parkway, Suite 110, P.O. Box 8003, Carson City, Nevada 89702
7 State of Nevada Way, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
3650 S. Pointe Circle, Suite 203, P.O. Box 31109, Laughlin, Nevada 89028
557 W. Silver Street, Suite 207, Elko, Nevada 89801
9670 Gateway Drive, Reno, Nevada 89521

MIKE DREITZER, *Chairman*
HON. GEORGE ASSAD (RET.), *Member*
CHANDENI K. SENDALL, *Member*

June 16, 2026

Las Vegas
(702) 486-2020
Fax: (702) 486-2230

Mr. Mike Sherlock
Executive Director
Nevada Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701

Re: Executive Certificate Request

Dear Executive Director Mike Sherlock:

As Chief of the Nevada Gaming Control Board (NGCB), Enforcement Division, I am respectfully requesting an Executive Certificate for Enforcement Division Deputy Chief Russell Niel. He has successfully met all necessary standards for this certification and holds Basic, Intermediate, Advanced, Supervisor, and Management Certificates.

Deputy Chief Niel has approximately 27 years of experience as a sworn police officer, with 18 years in a supervisory role, including roughly 12 years in a command-level position. He oversees NGCB Enforcement operations out of the Reno and Elko offices and is responsible for Northern half of the state. He directly supervises three (3) Supervisors, two (2) of which supervise first-line supervisors in the Reno office. He also supervises a Special Agent who is responsible for supervising the Special Investigations Unit and the Operations Unit in the Reno office.

Deputy Chief Niel has also served as a Commissioner on the Nevada P.O.S.T. Commission since 2021 and is a member of the Nevada Sheriffs and Chiefs Association (NVSCA). Additionally, he graduated from the FBI National Academy in 2011, holds a Bachelor of Applied Arts and Sciences Degree from the University of North Texas. Please see attached supporting documentation.

I have included an organizational chart that illustrates Deputy Chief Niel's position within the agency. This chart confirms he meets the criteria for an executive-level position as outlined in NAC 289.047.

Thank you for considering this request.


Sincerely,

Kristi S. Torgerson
Chief of Enforcement

KST/MN

Enclosure

ENFORCEMENT - NORTH



6/17/2026 Nevada Commission on POST Employee Profile

Niel, Russell W (13398)

Certifications

Level	Status Date	Status	Cert Date	Exp Date
Management	8/6/2025	Active	8/6/2025	
Supervisor	5/13/2015	Active	10/4/2010	
Advanced	5/13/2015	Active	10/10/2002	
Intermediate	5/13/2015	Active	9/14/2000	
Category I	5/13/2015	Active	6/30/1999	

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Issues this award thereby certifying that

Russell William Niel

Gaming Control Board, Reno, Nevada

has completed a general course of instruction afforded by the

FBI National Academy
United States Department of Justice

at Quantico in the State of Virginia for a period of eleven weeks ending this the sixteenth day of December in the year of our Lord two thousand and eleven and by these presents is entitled to such professional standing as a law enforcement officer as may be properly accorded by reason of the completion of such course of instruction

Eric H. Holder
Attorney General

Director

NAME: Niel ,Russell William
ADDRESS: [redacted]

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS
DENTON, TEXAS 76203
OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR

OFFICIAL ACADEMIC RECORD

02/18/2015

[redacted]

DEGREES AWARDED:

Bachelor of Applied Arts and Sciences — December 2014
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS
MAJOR: Applied Arts and Sciences
HONORS: CUM LAUDE
CUM GPA: 3.806

***** Undergraduate Transcript *****

2014 Spring

COURSE NUMBER		TITLE	EARN HRS	GRADE	GRADE PTS
PACS	3000	COMMUNITY & PUBLIC SERV	3.0	A	12.0
HIST	4700	TEXAS	3.0	B	9.0
MGMT	3850	ENTREPRENEURSHIP	3.0	A	12.0
PSCI	4830	AMER FOREIGN POL	3.0	A	12.0
MGMT	3860	HUMAN RES MGMT	3.0	B	9.0

TRANSFER CREDIT:
NEVADA,UNIV OF (RENO)
Transfer Credit Summary 3.0

TRANSFER CREDIT:
UNT - Non-Traditional Credit Rules
Transfer Credit Summary 16.0

TRANSFER CREDIT:
NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
Transfer Credit Summary 36.4

TRANSFER CREDIT:
TRUCKEE MEADOWS COMM COLL
Transfer Credit Summary 15.0

TRANSFER CREDIT:
PHOENIX, UNIVERSITY OF
Transfer Credit Summary 6.0

TRANSFER CREDIT:
VIRGINIA,UNIV OF (ALL CAM)
Transfer Credit Summary 17.0

Academic Status 3.5 Honor Roll
Good Standing

Undergraduate	EARN HRS	P/NP HRS	ATMP HRS	GRADE PNTS	GPA
Current	15.0	0.0	15.0	54.0	3.600
Cumulative	15.0	0.0	15.0	54.0	3.600
TRF Hrs Included	108.4				

2014 Summer

MATH	1681	ELEM PROB STAT W / ALG	4.0	A	16.0
PSCI	1040	AMERICAN GOV	3.0	A	12.0

Academic Status Good Standing

Undergraduate	EARN HRS	P/NP HRS	ATMP HRS	GRADE PNTS	GPA
Current	7.0	0.0	7.0	28.0	4.000
Cumulative	22.0	0.0	22.0	82.0	3.727
TRF Hrs Included	115.4				

2014 Fall

PSCI	4210	CONLAWRIGHTS&LIBS	3.0	A	12.0
PACS	4050	NEGOTIATION	3.0	A	12.0
PACS	3020	INQUIRY AND DISCOVERY	3.0	A	12.0

Academic Status Good Standing

Undergraduate	EARN HRS	P/NP HRS	ATMP HRS	GRADE PNTS	GPA
Current	9.0	0.0	9.0	36.0	4.000
Cumulative	31.0	0.0	31.0	118.0	3.806
TRF Hrs Included	124.4				

***** End of Undergraduate Transcript *****

Lynn McCreary, Registrar

This officially sealed and signed transcript is printed on green security paper with the signature printed in white. IF SIGNATURE AND SEAL ARE NOT VISIBLE OR ARE DISTORTED, DO NOT ACCEPT THIS AS OFFICIAL.

THIS OFFICIAL DOCUMENT IS PRINTED ON SECURITY PAPER AND DOES NOT REQUIRE A RAISED SEAL • WHEN PHOTOCOPIED THE WORD "COPY" AND SCHOOL NAME SHOULD APPEAR • A BLACK AND WHITE COPY IS NOT OFFICIAL

[redacted] UT/APPC / NO

Phoenix, AZ 85034
1-800-866-3919

SENT TO:
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS
OFFICE OF ADMISSIONS
1155 UNION CIRCLE #311277
DENTON, TX 76203-5017
US

Prior Schools Attended	Credits	Degrees
MILITARY CREDITS	41.00	
NATIONAL UNIVERSITY	43.32	
TRUCKEE MEADOWS COMMUNITY COLLEGE	15.00	
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA - RENO	3.00	

Mo/Year	Course ID	Course Title	Grade	Credits Attempted	Credits Earned	Quality Points	Rep
UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX							
03/2001	MGT/331	ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAVIOR	A-	3.00	3.00	10.98	
04/2001	CIS/319	COMPUTERS AND INFORMATION PROCESSING	A-	3.00	3.00	10.98	

GPA	Credits Attempted	Credits Earned	Quality Points
Total Cumulative Credits:		6.00	
UOPX Cumulative: 3.66	6.00	6.00	21.96
BSB/M Program GPA :			3.66

**********End of Transcript**********

UNT ADMISSIONS JUN 0 3 2002

Sem. hrs. attempted 6
Sem. hrs. accepted 6
Grade points earned 24
Grade point deficiency 0
Evaluation thru 4/01

Im

7. <u>DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.</u>

Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290 (1)(f) and (h) for the possible revocation of the Category II and III basic certificates held by Jesse M. Chulay, former employee of the Douglas County Juvenile Probation Department, based on the conviction(s) and/or plea(s) of guilty to a Misdemeanor. The conviction(s)/plea(s) which have led to this action are:

Count 1: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b) and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor.
Count 2: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b) and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor.
Count 3: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b) and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor.

Possible action may be revocation of the Category II and III basic certificates.

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

NOTICE OF INTENT TO REVOKE

June 16, 2026

Jesse M. Chulay
[redacted]
Zephyr Cove, NV 89448

POST PIN #: 39704

Dear Mr. Chulay,

Based upon documentation received by the Nevada Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission (the Commission) and in accordance with Nevada Administrative Code 289.290 and Nevada Revised Statute 241.033, you are hereby notified that the Commission has initiated action to revoke your Nevada peace officer certificate(s) that authorizes the holder to be employed as a peace officer in the state of Nevada.

I have included a copy of Nevada Administrative Code 289.290 for your convenience.

The Commission's regulations provide that a person's peace officer certificate(s) will be revoked pursuant to:
NAC 289.290 (1)(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter; and
NAC 289.290(1)(h) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (i), conviction of a misdemeanor.

The conviction(s) and/or plea(s) of guilty which have led to this action are as follows:

Count 1: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b), and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor.
Count 2: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b), and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor.
Count 3: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b), and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor.
Case#: 2024-CRE-00680
Jurisdiction: EAST FORK JUSTICE COURT COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF NEVADA

You have the right to appear before the Commission to contest the revocation of your Nevada peace officer certificate(s) by providing written notice to the Commission within fifteen (15) days of the date of the hearing.

If you choose to appeal and answer the charges against you, the Commission will elect to sit as a whole at a hearing or designate an independent hearing officer to hear the matter and make recommendations in writing to the Commission. You will be given the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses as applicable. If you wish, you may be represented by an attorney; however, this would be at your own expense. **If you or your counsel have any written arguments you would like to present to the Commission, you can send that information to me no less than ten (10) days prior to the date of the hearing.**

EXHIBIT A

Written requests can be made to:

Nevada Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training
ATTN: Director M. Sherlock
5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave.
Carson City, NV 89701

The Commission will determine whether your Nevada peace officer certification(s) should be revoked at the meeting listed below:

Date: July 14, 2026
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Location: POST Administration Building, Classroom #2, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701

The hearing will cover the following: the revocation of your P.O.S.T certificate(s) pursuant to:
NAC 289.290 (1)(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter; and
NAC 289.290(1)(h) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (i), conviction of a misdemeanor.

You will be notified of the Commission's decision within 15 days after this hearing, or as soon thereafter as is practicable.

If you need additional information concerning this matter, contact Chief Kathy Floyd at (775) 687-7678, ext. 3335.

Sincerely,

Kathy Floyd
Chief of Standards Division
Nevada Commission on Peace
Officer Standards and Training

cc: Deputy Attorney General Jesselyn De Luna
Deputy Attorney General John M. Nolan
File

NAC 289.290 Denial, revocation or suspension of certificate; reinstatement of revoked certificate. (NRS 289.510)

1. Each of the following constitutes cause for the Commission to revoke, refuse or suspend the certificate of a peace officer:

(a) Willful falsification of any information provided to obtain the certificate.

(b) A permanent or chronic physical or mental disability affecting the officer's ability to perform his or her full range of duties.

(c) Chronic drinking or drunkenness on duty.

(d) Addiction to or the unlawful use or possession of narcotics or other drugs.

(e) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a gross misdemeanor. Upon criminal indictment or filing of a criminal complaint, suspension may be imposed.

(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter.

(g) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony. Upon criminal indictment or filing of a criminal complaint, suspension may be imposed. Upon conviction or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere, the certificate will be revoked.

(h) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (i), conviction of a misdemeanor. If the employing agency recommends suspension or revocation following the conviction of the employee for a misdemeanor, suspension or revocation may be imposed. In determining whether to suspend or revoke the certificate, the Commission will consider the type of conviction and other information provided by the agency indicating unprofessional conduct or similar undesirable activity by the officer that resulted in disciplinary action.

(i) Conviction of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33). Following the conviction of the employee for such a misdemeanor, suspension or revocation may be imposed regardless of whether the employing agency recommends suspension or revocation.

2. Denial, suspension or revocation procedures will not be considered by the Commission in cases where the employment of an officer is terminated for violations of the policies, general orders or similar guidelines of operation of the employing agency which do not constitute any of the causes for denial, suspension or revocation specified in subsection 1.

3. The employing agency shall notify the Commission any time that it becomes aware that one of its officers has been charged with a crime that could result in denial, suspension or revocation procedures. Upon receipt of information alleging any of the causes enumerated in subsection 1, the Commission will determine whether to pursue revocation or suspension of the certificate of the officer.

4. The Commission will notify the officer by certified mail at the officer's last known address of any pending revocation or suspension action and of the nature of the charges and the officer's right to appear and answer the charges. The officer shall, within 15 days after the date on the certified mail receipt, respond in writing, notifying the Commission of his or her intended action with reference to the charges.

5. If the officer fails to notify the Commission within the specified time of his or her intention to appear in answer to the pending action, the Commission will:

(a) Consider the case on its own merits, using the statement from the head of the employing agency or the substantiated information derived from any independent investigation it deems necessary;

(b) Take no action pending the outcome of possible criminal action which may be filed against the officer; and

(c) Take no action pending the outcome of an appeal.

Ê The Commission's decision will be determined by a majority vote of the members of the Commission present.

6. When an officer notifies the Commission of his or her intention to appear and answer the charges pending against him or her, the Commission will elect to sit as a whole at a hearing or designate an independent hearing officer to hear the matter and make recommendations in writing to the Commission. The Commission will review the recommendations of any such hearing officer and arrive at a decision by majority vote of the members present.

7. The Commission will notify the officer of its decision within 15 days after the hearing.

8. An applicant for a certificate who has not been previously certified, but who would be subject to revocation for any cause set out in subsection 1, will not be granted a certificate.

9. If, upon receiving a written allegation that a peace officer is in violation of any provision of subsection 1 and that the facts and circumstances indicate that suspension rather than revocation would be in the best interests of the agency and law enforcement in general, the Commission will suspend the officer's certificate.

10. The Commission will provide each peace officer whose certificate is suspended with written notice of the suspension by certified registered mail. The suspension becomes effective 24 hours after receipt of the certified notice. The notice will contain a statement advising the officer of the right to a hearing.

11. Suspension of a certificate is not a bar to future revocation of the certificate and any prior suspensions may be considered as a factor if revocation is being considered by the Commission.

12. Five years after the revocation of a certificate, an officer may submit a written request to the Commission to allow him or her to reinstate his or her certificate. The Commission will schedule a hearing to consider whether to reinstate the officer's certificate. The Commission will notify the agency that requested the revocation of the date and time of the hearing. After the hearing, the Commission will determine whether to reinstate the certificate. If the certificate is reinstated, the Commission may establish a probationary period during which any misconduct by the officer would result in revocation.

(Added to NAC by Peace Officers' Standards & Training Com., eff. 12-17-87; A 8-24-90; 4-28-94; A by Peace Officers' Standards & Training Comm'n by R102-99, 11-2-99; R003-07, 4-17-2008; R051-14, 10-24-2014; R006-19, 12-30-2019)

State of Nevada
Douglas County Sheriffs Office
1625 8th Street, PO Box 218
Minden, NV 89423

Process Number: 2606-165 Court Number: POST PIN# 39704

Deputy sheriff, Lindsay, Eric, being duly sworn, certifies and says: That affiant is a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, not a party to the within entitled action, and in the County of Douglas, State of Nevada, affiant personally served the documents listed to the party at the location and the date and time herein reported.

JESSE MICHAEL CHULAY (Defendant)
SERVEDTO: JESSE CHULAY ()

DOCUMENTS: Notice of intent to revoke
COURT: Tahoe Township Justice Court
COURT CASE NUMBER: POST PIN# 39704
SERVED UPON: JESSE CHULAY
LOCATION OF SERVICE: 1038 BUCKEYE RD; DC JUDICIAL BLDG DCSO; MIND Minden, NV 89423
DATE AND TIME OF SERVICE: 26th day of June, 2026 at 08:20:00

I also certify that I endorsed on the said copy the date of service, signed my name, and added my official title thereto.

Dated the 26th day of June, 2026

Fees:

Service:	0.00
Mileage:	0.00
Other :	0.00
Total :	0.00

Daniel J. Coverley, Sheriff
Douglas County Sheriffs Office, Nevada

BY: Eric Lindsay #470
Lindsay, Eric
Deputy Sheriff

State of Nevada
County of Douglas

Subscribed and sworn to
This 26 of June, 2026
By: Eric Lindsay

Notary Public

NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF NEVADA
of Douglas County
TRINA CARTER
23-3600-05
My Appointment Expires July 10, 2027

EXHIBIT B

Juvenile Division
Ninth Judicial District Court
Douglas County
State of Nevada

Nathan Tod Young
District Judge
Department One

Thomas W. Gregory
District Judge
Department Two

Daniel M. Hamer
Chief Juvenile
Probation Officer

Kathy Floyd
Chief, Standards Division
Nevada Commission on POST
kfloyd@post.state.nv.us

May 21, 2026

Chief Floyd,

On behalf of the Douglas County Juvenile Probation Department, I am requesting that the POST Certification for former Douglas County Juvenile Probation Officer Jesse Michael Chulay be revoked.

On April 16, 2026, Mr. Chulay was found guilty of three counts of theft for his actions taken while serving in the capacity of the Douglas County Juvenile Probation Department.

I can be available for any questions.

Sincerely,

Daniel Hamer
Chief Juvenile Probation Officer
Douglas County, Nevada
775-782-9811
dhamer@douglas.nv.gov

EXHIBIT C

State of Nevada – POST

Update – Personnel Action Report (PAR)

Agency Login

Agency Name *
Douglas Co Juvenile Probation

Employee Details

POST ID *
39704

First Name *
JESSE

Last Name *
CHULAY

Middle Initial
M

Suffix

☐ **Name Changed**

☐ **Address Changed**

Level Change

Level Changed *
◉ Line ○ Supervisor ○ Management ○ Executive

*
○ Part Time ◉ Full Time

Status Changed
○ Deceased ○ Retired ◉ Separated

Effective Date *
02/08/2024

NAC 289.290 Notification (Cause for Commission Action)

EXHIBIT D

Pursuant to NAC 289.290(3): "The employing agency shall notify the Commission anytime that it becomes aware that one of its officer's has been charged with a crime that could result in denial, suspension or revocation procedures. Upon receipt of information alleging any of the causes enumerated in subsection 1, the Commission will determine whether to pursue revocation or suspension of the certificate of the officer."

Does the above NAC apply?

◉ No ○ Yes

Comments\Additional Information:

Terminated for violating county and departmental policy and misuse of County Fuel Card. Unsure if Criminal Charges will be filed.

Submitter Details

Submitter's Full Name *	Submitter's Phone #*	Submitter's E-Mail Address
Tamara Morris	775-782-9811	tmorris@douglas.nv.gov

txtFormType

Update PAR

STATE OF NEVADA

COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

Hereby Awards the

Category II
Basic Certificate

To

Jesse M. Chulay

For having fulfilled all the requirements for basic certification
As prescribed by Nevada Administrative Code.

Commission Chairman

Executive Director

39704
POST ID #

03/22/2022
Date

EXHIBIT E

STATE OF NEVADA

COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

Hereby Awards the

Category III Basic Certificate

To

Jesse M. Chulay

For having fulfilled all the requirements for basic certification
As prescribed by Nevada Administrative Code.

Commission Chairman

Executive Director

39704
POST ID #

03/22/2022
Date

RECEIVED

FEB 26 2025

DOUGLAS COUNTY
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

FILED

2025 FEB 26 AM 8:05

EAST FORK JUSTICE COURT

MY HUNTINGTON

RECEIVED

FEB 26 2025

Douglas County
East Fork Justice Court

Case No. 2024-CRE-00680

DA 0094062

DCSO 24SO05192

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF THE EAST FORK TOWNSHIP

COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JESSE MICHAEL CHULAY
DOB: 01/29/1987,

Defendant.

THIRD AMENDED

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT[1]

Douglas County District Attorney
1038 Buckeye Road
Minden, NV 89423
(775) 782-9800 Fax (775) 782-9807

Richard B. Casper, Deputy District Attorney, County of Douglas, State of Nevada, on information and belief, being first duly sworn, makes complaint and charges Jesse Michael Chulay with THREE COUNTS of THEFT, violations of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b), (1)(j) and 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), misdemeanors, committed as follows:

The defendant, Jesse Michael Chulay, prior to the filing of this complaint, in the County of Douglas, State of Nevada:

COUNT ONE: **THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b), and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor**

On or about January 30, 2023, did knowingly and without lawful authority: (1) control the property of another person with the intent to deprive that person of the property; (2) convert, make an unauthorized transfer of an interest in, or without authorization, control the property of another person,

[1] The purpose of this third amended complaint is to correct the typographical errors in the prior complaints with regard to the alleged dates. Those complaints alleged that the crimes were committed on December 11, 2023, January 30, 2024, and February 24, 2024, whereas all the alleged crimes occurred in 2023, as reflected in the criminal discovery.

EXHIBIT F

or use the services or property of another person entrusted to him or placed in his possession for a limited, authorized period of determined or prescribed duration or for a limited use; and/or (3) obtain gasoline or other fuel which is available only for compensation without paying or agreeing to pay compensation; to wit: while employed as a Douglas County Juvenile Probation Officer the defendant used a fuel card issued by the Juvenile Probation Office, which was provided to him for the purpose of fueling county vehicles, to fuel his personal vehicle, and the defendant did not pay for the fuel he took, all of which occurred at or near the CFN fuel pumps at 1571 U.S. Highway 395, Minden, in the County of Douglas, State of Nevada;

COUNT TWO: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b), and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor

On or about February 24, 2023, did knowingly and without lawful authority: (1) control the property of another person with the intent to deprive that person of the property; (2) convert, make an unauthorized transfer of an interest in, or without authorization, control the property of another person, or use the services or property of another person entrusted to him or placed in his possession for a limited, authorized period of determined or prescribed duration or for a limited use; and/or (3) obtain gasoline or other fuel which is available only for compensation without paying or agreeing to pay compensation; to wit: while employed as a Douglas County Juvenile Probation Officer, the defendant used a fuel card issued by the Juvenile Probation Office, which was provided to him for the purpose of fueling county vehicles, to fuel his personal vehicle, and the defendant did not pay for the fuel he took, all of which occurred at or near the CFN fuel pumps at 1571 U.S. Highway 395, Minden, in the County of Douglas, State of Nevada;

COUNT THREE: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b), and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor

On or about December 11, 2023, did knowingly and without lawful authority: (1) control the property of another person with the intent to deprive that person of the property; (2) convert, make an unauthorized transfer of an interest in, or without authorization, control the property of another person, or use the services or property of another person entrusted to him or placed in his possession for a limited, authorized period of determined or prescribed duration or for a limited use; and/or (3) obtain gasoline or other fuel which is available only for compensation without paying or agreeing to pay compensation; to wit: while employed as a Douglas County Juvenile Probation Officer, the defendant used a fuel card issued by the Juvenile Probation Office, which was provided to him for the purpose of fueling county vehicles, and the defendant did not pay for the

Douglas County District Attorney
1038 Buckeye Road
Minden, NV 89423
(775) 782-9800 Fax (775) 782-9807

fuel he took, all of which occurred at or near the CFN fuel pumps at 1571 U.S. Highway 395, Minden, in the County of Douglas, State of Nevada;

against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Complainant prays the Defendant be dealt with according to law.

COMPLAINANT

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me by Richard B. Casper, this 25th day of February, 2025.

Justice of the Peace/Notary Public

KRISTINA THOMPSON
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF NEVADA
APPT. No. 23-7647-05
MY APPT. EXPIRES FEB. 24, 2027

Douglas County District Attorney
1038 Buckeye Road
Minden, NV 89423
(775) 782-9800 Fax (775) 782-9807

Case No. 2024-CRE-00680

DA 0094062

IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF THE EAST FORK TOWNSHIP

COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JESSE MICHAEL CHULAY,

Defendant.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the District Attorney for Douglas County, Nevada, and that I deposited for delivery a true copy of the Third Amended Criminal Complaint, addressed to:

Joseph D. Fahrendorf
P.O. Box 3677
Reno, NV 89505
joe@775law.com

☒ E-Mail
☐ Reno/Carson Messenger
☐ Hand Delivery
☐ By placing a copy in the pick-up folder in the District Attorney's Office.

DATED this 21st day of February, 2025.

Kristina Thompson

Douglas County District Attorney
1038 Buckeye Road
Minden, NV 89423
(775) 782-9800 Fax (775) 782-9807

CASE No.: 2024-CRE-00680
DA No.: 0094062
SO No: 24SO05192

FILED
2026 APR 17 AM 11:00
EAST FORK JUSTICE COURT
BY L Kabat

RECEIVED
APR 17 2026
Douglas County
East Fork Justice Court

IN THE EAST FORK JUSTICE COURT
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

JESSE MICHAEL CHULAY

DEFENDANT,

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION

On the 16th day of April, 2026, the above-named defendant appeared before the court with counsel, Joseph Fahrendorf, Esq., for sentencing.

On the 16th day of April, 2026, the above-named defendant was found GUILTY at the Bench Trial to the charge of:

Count 1: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b), and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor

Count 2: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b), and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor

Count 3: THEFT, a violation of NRS 205.0832(1)(a), (1)(b), and (1)(j), and NRS 205.0835(1) and (2)(a), a misdemeanor

The defendant is sentenced to the following:

Count 1:

1. **Imposed Jail Sentence**: Defendant is sentenced to 8 days jail with credit for any time served. Defendant is ordered to report to jail on May 1, 2026 at the hour of 5:00

EXHIBIT G

P.M., drug, alcohol and marijuana free, to serve the first weekend. The remaining time will be served on consecutive weekends.

2. **Restitution:** Defendant shall pay Restitution in the amount of $127.86 to Douglas County Fleet Services. Restitution is to be paid in full prior to any other financial obligations included in the Judgment of Conviction. The balance due is due and payable on or before April 17, 2026, at 4:30 P.M.
3. **Petit Larceny Class**: Defendant shall enroll in, attend and successfully complete a Petit Larceny Class, **COMPLETED.**

Count 2: TO RUN CONCURRENT TO COUNT 1

4. **Imposed Jail Sentence**: Defendant is sentenced to 8 days jail with credit for any time served. Defendant is ordered to report to jail on May 1, 2026 at the hour of 5:00 P.M., drug, alcohol and marijuana free, to serve the first weekend. The remaining time will be served on consecutive weekends.
5. **Restitution:** Defendant shall pay Restitution in the amount of $127.86 to Douglas County Fleet Services. Restitution is to be paid in full prior to any other financial obligations included in the Judgment of Conviction. The balance due is due and payable on or before April 17, 2026, at 4:30 P.M.
6. **Petit Larceny Class**: Defendant shall enroll in, attend and successfully complete a Petit Larceny Class, **COMPLETED.**

Count 3: TO RUN CONCURRENT TO COUNT 1

7. **Imposed Jail Sentence**: Defendant is sentenced to 8 days jail with credit for any time served. Defendant is ordered to report to jail on May 1, 2026 at the hour of 5:00 P.M., drug, alcohol and marijuana free, to serve the first weekend. The remaining time will be served on consecutive weekends.
8. **Restitution:** Defendant shall pay Restitution in the amount of $127.86 to Douglas County Fleet Services. Restitution is to be paid in full prior to any other financial obligations included in the Judgment of Conviction. The balance due is due and payable on or before April 17, 2026, at 4:30 P.M.

9. **Petit Larceny Class**: Defendant shall enroll in, attend and successfully complete a Petit Larceny Class, **COMPLETED.**

IF ANY REQUIREMENT OF THIS JUDGMENT IS NOT MET BY THE DEADLINE(s) INDICATED, YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR IN COURT AT THAT DATE AND TIME.

FAILURE TO APPEAR AND/OR FAILURE TO COMPLETE THE REQUIREMENTS OF YOUR SENTENCE MAY RESULT IN: A PARTIAL OR COMPLETE REVOCATION OF YOUR SUSPENDED SENTENCE; A FINDING OF CONTEMPT, WHICH MAY SEPERATELY BE PUNISHED WITH UP TO 25 DAYS IN JAIL AND A $500 FINE; AND/OR ADDITIONAL CRIMINAL CHARGES.

Except as otherwise ordered, bail is exonerated.

Dated this 17th day of April, 2026

Justice of the Peace

Payment Locations:

- In Person: 1038 Buckeye Road, Minden, NV 89423
- By mail: P.O. Box 218, Minden, NV 89423
- Online: www.eastforkjusticecourt.com (plus a 3% convenience fee)

Payment Options:

- In Person: Cash, cashier's check, money order, credit/debit card (plus a 3% convenience fee) or Personal Check with identification only
- By mail: Cashier's check or Money Order's only

8. DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.

Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290 (1) (f) and (g) for the possible revocation of the Category III basic certificate held by Alan Islas, former employee of the Nevada Department of Corrections, based on the conviction(s) and/or plea(s) of guilty to a Felony. The conviction(s)/plea(s) which have led to this action are:

Count I: DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (Category B Felony – NRS 484C.110, 484C.400, 484C.105)

Possible action may be revocation of the Category III basic certificate.

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

NOTICE OF INTENT TO REVOKE

June 15, 2026

Alan Islas
[redacted]
Las Vegas, NV 89104

POST PIN #: 36782

Dear Mr. Islas,

Based upon documentation received by the Nevada Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission (the Commission) and in accordance with Nevada Administrative Code 289.290 and Nevada Revised Statute 241.033, you are hereby notified that the Commission has initiated action to revoke your Nevada peace officer certificate(s) that authorizes the holder to be employed as a peace officer in the state of Nevada.

I have included a copy of Nevada Administrative Code 289.290 for your convenience.

The Commission's regulations provide that a person's peace officer certificate(s) will be revoked pursuant to:
NAC 289.290 (1)(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter; and
NAC 289.290(1)(g) based on a Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony.

The conviction(s) and/or plea(s) of guilty which have led to this action are as follows:

Count I: DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (Category B Felony – NRS 484C.110, 484C.400,484C.105)
Case#: C-24-384540-1
Dept No: XXIII
Jurisdiction: District Court, Clark County, Nevada

You have the right to appear before the Commission to contest the revocation of your Nevada peace officer certificate(s) by providing written notice to the Commission within fifteen (15) days of the date of the hearing.

If you choose to appeal and answer the charges against you, the Commission will elect to sit as a whole at a hearing or designate an independent hearing officer to hear the matter and make recommendations in writing to the Commission. You will be given the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses as applicable. If you wish, you may be represented by an attorney; however, this would be at your own expense. **If you or your counsel have any written arguments you would like to present to the Commission, you can send that information to me no less than ten (10) days prior to the date of the hearing.**

EXHIBIT A

Written requests can be made to:

Nevada Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training
ATTN: Director M. Sherlock
5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave.
Carson City, NV 89701

The Commission will determine whether your Nevada peace officer certification(s) should be revoked at the meeting listed below:

Date: July 14, 2026
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Location: POST Administration Building, Classroom #2, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701

The hearing will cover the following: the revocation of your P.O.S.T certificate(s) pursuant to:
NAC 289.290 (1)(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter; and NAC 289.290(1)(g) based on a Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony.

You will be notified of the Commission's decision within 15 days after this hearing, or as soon thereafter as is practicable.

If you need additional information concerning this matter, contact Chief Kathy Floyd at (775) 687-7678, ext. 3335.

Sincerely,

Kathy Floyd
Chief of Standards Division
Nevada Commission on Peace
Officer Standards and Training

cc: Deputy Attorney General Jesselyn De Luna
Deputy Attorney General John M. Nolan
File

NAC 289.290 Denial, revocation or suspension of certificate; reinstatement of revoked certificate. (NRS 289.510)

1. Each of the following constitutes cause for the Commission to revoke, refuse or suspend the certificate of a peace officer:

(a) Willful falsification of any information provided to obtain the certificate.

(b) A permanent or chronic physical or mental disability affecting the officer's ability to perform his or her full range of duties.

(c) Chronic drinking or drunkenness on duty.

(d) Addiction to or the unlawful use or possession of narcotics or other drugs.

(e) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a gross misdemeanor. Upon criminal indictment or filing of a criminal complaint, suspension may be imposed.

(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter.

(g) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony. Upon criminal indictment or filing of a criminal complaint, suspension may be imposed. Upon conviction or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere, the certificate will be revoked.

(h) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (i), conviction of a misdemeanor. If the employing agency recommends suspension or revocation following the conviction of the employee for a misdemeanor, suspension or revocation may be imposed. In determining whether to suspend or revoke the certificate, the Commission will consider the type of conviction and other information provided by the agency indicating unprofessional conduct or similar undesirable activity by the officer that resulted in disciplinary action.

(i) Conviction of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33). Following the conviction of the employee for such a misdemeanor, suspension or revocation may be imposed regardless of whether the employing agency recommends suspension or revocation.

2. Denial, suspension or revocation procedures will not be considered by the Commission in cases where the employment of an officer is terminated for violations of the policies, general orders or similar guidelines of operation of the employing agency which do not constitute any of the causes for denial, suspension or revocation specified in subsection 1.

3. The employing agency shall notify the Commission any time that it becomes aware that one of its officers has been charged with a crime that could result in denial, suspension or revocation procedures. Upon receipt of information alleging any of the causes enumerated in subsection 1, the Commission will determine whether to pursue revocation or suspension of the certificate of the officer.

4. The Commission will notify the officer by certified mail at the officer's last known address of any pending revocation or suspension action and of the nature of the charges and the officer's right to appear and answer the charges. The officer shall, within 15 days after the date on the certified mail receipt, respond in writing, notifying the Commission of his or her intended action with reference to the charges.

5. If the officer fails to notify the Commission within the specified time of his or her intention to appear in answer to the pending action, the Commission will:

(a) Consider the case on its own merits, using the statement from the head of the employing agency or the substantiated information derived from any independent investigation it deems necessary;

(b) Take no action pending the outcome of possible criminal action which may be filed against the officer; and

(c) Take no action pending the outcome of an appeal.

Ê The Commission's decision will be determined by a majority vote of the members of the Commission present.

6. When an officer notifies the Commission of his or her intention to appear and answer the charges pending against him or her, the Commission will elect to sit as a whole at a hearing or designate an independent hearing officer to hear the matter and make recommendations in writing to the Commission. The Commission will review the recommendations of any such hearing officer and arrive at a decision by majority vote of the members present.

7. The Commission will notify the officer of its decision within 15 days after the hearing.

8. An applicant for a certificate who has not been previously certified, but who would be subject to revocation for any cause set out in subsection 1, will not be granted a certificate.

9. If, upon receiving a written allegation that a peace officer is in violation of any provision of subsection 1 and that the facts and circumstances indicate that suspension rather than revocation would be in the best interests of the agency and law enforcement in general, the Commission will suspend the officer's certificate.

10. The Commission will provide each peace officer whose certificate is suspended with written notice of the suspension by certified registered mail. The suspension becomes effective 24 hours after receipt of the certified notice. The notice will contain a statement advising the officer of the right to a hearing.

11. Suspension of a certificate is not a bar to future revocation of the certificate and any prior suspensions may be considered as a factor if revocation is being considered by the Commission.

12. Five years after the revocation of a certificate, an officer may submit a written request to the Commission to allow him or her to reinstate his or her certificate. The Commission will schedule a hearing to consider whether to reinstate the officer's certificate. The Commission will notify the agency that requested the revocation of the date and time of the hearing. After the hearing, the Commission will determine whether to reinstate the certificate. If the certificate is reinstated, the Commission may establish a probationary period during which any misconduct by the officer would result in revocation.

(Added to NAC by Peace Officers' Standards & Training Com., eff. 12-17-87; A 8-24-90; 4-28-94; A by Peace Officers' Standards & Training Comm'n by R102-99, 11-2-99; R003-07, 4-17-2008; R051-14, 10-24-2014; R006-19, 12-30-2019)

CONSTABLE'S OFFICE of HENDERSON TOWNSHIP
Kenny Taylor, Constable
243 Water Street Henderson, NV 89015
Phone 702-455-7940 Fax 702-455-7942

6584
HNTC

CIVIL PROCESS FORM

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION ABOUT THE PERSON OR COMPANY WE ARE SERVING:

Type: NOTICE of hearing
Case # PIN # 31782
Fee Total:
Court Date: 7/14/26

NAME & TITLE OF PERSON TO BE SERVED: If a company or corporation please provide the name of the owner, corporate officer, or resident agent.

NAME OR BUSINESS TO BE SERVED:
ALAN ISLAS
HOME or BUSINESS ADDRESS (Apt #, Suite #, Zip Code):
[redacted] LAS VEGAS, NV 89104

EMPLOYER & EMPLOYER ADDRESS:

BEST TIME TO SERVE @ HOME: _____ A.M. P.M. @WORK _____ A.M. P.M.

PHONE NUMBER OF PERSON TO BE SERVED: HOME _____ WORK _____

DESCRIPTION

RACE _____ SEX ____ HEIGHT _____ WEIGHT _____ HAIR COLOR _____ EYES _____ AGE _____

VEHICLE

YEAR _____ MAKE _____ BODY STYLE _____ COLOR _____ PLATE # _____ STATE_____

OTHER INFORMATION TO HELP US SERVE THE DEFENDANT:

Defendant Info (person to be served)

PLAINTIFF'S DAY PH#: _____ CELL PH#: _____

PLAINTIFF'S NAME State of Nevada Commission on Peace Officer Standards & Training

ADDRESS 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave
CARSON CITY, NV 89701

Plaintiff Info

(DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE)

Deputy Worksheet

DEPUTY ASSIGNED: _____ DATE: _____

1. DATE: 6/23/26 TIME: 3:29 LOCATION: _____

2. DATE: _____ TIME: _____ LOCATION: _____

3. DATE: _____ TIME: _____ LOCATION: _____

REASON FOR NON-SERVICE:

NEW ADDRESS INFO: _____

PERSON ACCEPTING PRINT and SIGN X Alan Islas

EXHIBIT B

CONSTABLE'S OFFICE of
HENDERSON TOWNSHIP
243 Water Street Henderson, NV 89015
Phone 702-455-7940 Fax 702-455-7942

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF NEVADA COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

Plaintiff

Case No;
POST PIN # **36782**

Vs:

ALAN ISLAS

Defendant

I, **Enjolie Lucero** , being duly always sworn states that herein affiant was and is a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, not a party to or interested in the proceedings in which this affidavit is made. That affiant received a copy of the: **NOTICE OF INTENT TO REVOKE.**

---

on the 23RD day of June, 2026 and served the same on this 23rd day of June, 2026 @ 03;29 pm by:

1. Delivering and leaving a copy with the Defendant: **ALAN ISLAS**
at: **243 S. Water Street, Henderson, NV 89015**

2. Serving the Defendant _____ by personally delivering and leaving a copy with _____, a person of suitable age and discretion, located at:
_____.

I declare under penalty of perjury NRS 53.045 of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is correct and true.

EXECUTED this 23RD day of June 2026

Enjolie Lucero

Enjolie Lucero

State of Nevada – POST

Update – Personnel Action Report (PAR)

Agency Login

Agency Name *
NV Dept of Corrections

Employee Details

POST ID *
36782

First Name *
ALAN

Last Name *
ISLAS

Middle Initial
W

Suffix

☐ **Name Changed**

☐ **Address Changed**

Level Change

Level Changed *
◉ Line ○ Supervisor ○ Management ○ Executive

*
○ Part Time ◉ Full Time

Status Changed
○ Deceased ○ Retired ◉ Separated

Effective Date *
08/27/2024

NAC 289.290 Notification (Cause for Commission Action)

EXHIBIT C

Pursuant to NAC 289.290(3): "The employing agency shall notify the Commission anytime that it becomes aware that one of its officer's has been charged with a crime that could result in denial, suspension or revocation procedures. Upon receipt of information alleging any of the causes enumerated in subsection 1, the Commission will determine whether to pursue revocation or suspension of the certificate of the officer."

Does the above NAC apply?

(●) No ( ) Yes

Comments\Additional Information:

Resigned due to being arrested while under investigation.

Submitter Details

Submitter's Full Name *	Submitter's Phone #*	Submitter's E-Mail Address
Amanda McLaughlin	775-977-5685	amclaughlin@doc.nv.gov

txtFormType

Update PAR

STATE OF NEVADA

COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

Hereby Awards the

Category III
Basic Certificate

To

Alan Islas

For having fulfilled all the requirements for Basic Certification as prescribed by Nevada Administrative Code.

Governor

Executive Director

36782
POST ID No.

November 16, 2018
Date

(●) 500B

EXHIBIT D

Electronically Filed
7/16/2024 2:17 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

INFM
STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar #001565
CHAD LEXIS
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #010391
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 671-2500
Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

ALAN ISLAS #5795251,

Defendant.

CASE NO: C-24-384540-1

DEPT NO: XXIII

INFORMATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF CLARK)

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That ALAN ISLAS, the Defendant(s) above named, having committed the crime of **DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (Category B Felony - NRS 484C.110, 484C.400, 484C.105 - NOC 53914),** on or about the 6th day of May, 2024, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully drive and/or be in actual physical control of a vehicle on a highway or on premises to which the public has access at 17th Street and Charleston Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, defendant being responsible in one or more of the following ways and/or under one or more of the following theories, to wit: 1) while under the influence of intoxicating liquor to any degree, however slight, which rendered him incapable of safely driving and/or

EXHIBIT E

exercising actual physical control of a vehicle, 2) while he had a concentration of alcohol of .08 or more in his blood, and/or 3) when he was found by measurement within two (2) hours after driving and/or being in actual physical control of a vehicle to have a concentration of alcohol of .08 or more in his blood, defendant having previously committed the offense of Driving Under The Influence within seven (7) years immediately preceding the date of the principal offense or after the principal offense charged herein, to wit:

Date of Offense: October 22, 2019
Conviction: March 23, 2021, Case No. 19M2233X,
Justice Court, Las Vegas Township, Clark County, Nevada; and

Date of Offense: March 17, 2021
Conviction: December 14, 2022, Case No. C1246365,
Municipal Court, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar #001565

BY 
CHAD LEXIS
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #010391

March 10, 2026



CERTIFIED COPY
ELECTRONIC SEAL (NRS 1.190(3))

24CR038978/em/vcu
LVMPD EV#LLV240500022216
(TK12)

C-24-384540-1
GPA
Guilty Plea Agreement
5092377

FILED IN OPEN COURT
STEVEN D. GRIERSON
CLERK OF THE COURT
JUL 29 2024
BY, ALICE JACOBSON, DEPUTY

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Plaintiff,
-vs-
ALAN ISLAS #5795251
Defendant.

CASE NO: C-24-384540-1
DEPT NO: XXIII

GUILTY PLEA

I hereby plead guilty to one (1) count of **DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (Category B Felony - NRS 484C.110, 484C.400, 484C.105 - NOC 53914)**, as more fully alleged in the charging document attached hereto as Exhibit "1".

CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLEA

I understand that by pleading guilty I admit the facts which support all the elements of the offense to which I now plead as set forth in Exhibit "1".

I understand that as a consequence of my plea of guilty to DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (Category B Felony - NRS 484C.110, 484C.400, 484C.105 - NOC 53914), the Court must sentence me to imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for a minimum term of not less than one (1) year and a maximum term of not more than six (6) years and I must attend the Victim Impact Panel. The minimum term of imprisonment may not exceed forty percent (40%) of the maximum term of imprisonment. I understand that I also will be fined not less than $2,000.00 and not more than $5,000.00. I understand that, except for my potential participation in treatment pursuant to NRS 484C.340, I am not eligible for probation for the offense to which I am pleading guilty.

Further, I understand that the Court must order the installation of a breath ignition interlock device in any vehicle I own or operate, as a condition to obtaining a restricted driver's license, for not less than twelve (12) months and not more than thirty-six (36) months upon

EXHIBIT F

my release from prison at my own expense. I understand the law requires me to pay an Administrative Assessment Fee and a $60.00 Chemical Analysis Fee.

I understand that, if appropriate, I will be ordered to make restitution to the victim of the offense to which I am pleading guilty and to the victim of any related offense which is being dismissed or not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement. I will also be ordered to reimburse the State of Nevada for any expenses related to my extradition, if any.

I understand that if more than one sentence of imprisonment is imposed and I am eligible to serve the sentences concurrently, the sentencing judge has the discretion to order the sentences served concurrently or consecutively.

I also understand that information regarding charges not filed, dismissed charges, or charges to be dismissed pursuant to this agreement may be considered by the judge at sentencing.

I have not been promised or guaranteed any particular sentence by anyone. I know that my sentence is to be determined by the Court within the limits prescribed by statute. I understand that if my attorney or the State of Nevada or both recommend any specific punishment to the Court, the Court is not obligated to accept the recommendation.

I understand that if the State of Nevada has agreed to recommend or stipulate a particular sentence or has agreed not to present argument regarding the sentence, or agreed not to oppose a particular sentence, such agreement is contingent upon my appearance in court on the initial sentencing date (and any subsequent dates if the sentencing is continued). I understand that if I fail to appear for the scheduled sentencing date or if I commit a new criminal offense prior to sentencing, the State of Nevada would regain the full right to argue for any lawful sentence.

I understand that if I am arrested on a new DUI charge, I will not be permitted entry into the Felony DUI Court program and must be sentenced to prison.

I understand if the offense to which I am pleading guilty was committed while I was incarcerated on another charge or while I was on probation or parole, then I am not eligible for credit for time served toward the instant offense.

I understand that if I am not a United States citizen, any criminal conviction will likely result in serious negative immigration consequences including but not limited to:

1. The removal from the United States through deportation;
2. An inability to reenter the United States;
3. The inability to gain United States citizenship or legal residency;
4. An inability to renew and/or retain any legal residency status; and/or
5. An indeterminate term of confinement, with the United States Federal Government based on my conviction and immigration status.

Regardless of what I have been told by any attorney, no one can promise me that this conviction will not result in negative immigration consequences and/or impact my ability to become a United States citizen and/or a legal resident.

I understand that the Division of Parole and Probation (P&P) will prepare a report for the sentencing judge prior to sentencing. This report will include matters relevant to the issue of sentencing, including my criminal history. This report may contain hearsay information regarding my background and criminal history. My attorney and I will each have the opportunity to comment on the information contained in the report at the time of sentencing. Unless the District Attorney has specifically agreed otherwise, then the District Attorney may also comment on this report.

WAIVER OF RIGHTS

By entering my plea of guilty, I understand that I am waiving and forever giving up the following rights and privileges:

1. The constitutional privilege against self-incrimination, including the right to refuse to testify at trial, in which event the prosecution would not be allowed to comment to the jury about my refusal to testify.
2. The constitutional right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury, free of excessive pretrial publicity prejudicial to the defense, at which trial I would be entitled to the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained. At trial the State would bear the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt each element of the offense charged.
3. The constitutional right to confront and cross-examine any witnesses who would testify against me.

4. The constitutional right to subpoena witnesses to testify on my behalf.

5. The constitutional right to testify in my own defense.

6. The right to appeal the prosecution, conviction, or any aspect of the resulting sentence with the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained, unless the appeal is based upon reasonable constitutional jurisdictional or other grounds that challenge the legality of the proceedings and except as otherwise provided in subsection 3 of NRS 174.035.

VOLUNTARINESS OF PLEA

I have discussed the elements of all of the original charge against me with my attorney and I understand the nature of the charge against me.

I understand that the State would have to prove each element of the charge against me at trial.

I have discussed with my attorney any possible defenses, defense strategies, and circumstances which might be in my favor.

All of the foregoing elements, consequences, rights, and waiver of rights have been thoroughly explained to me by my attorney.

I believe that pleading guilty and accepting this plea bargain is in my best interest, and that a trial would be contrary to my best interest.

I am signing this agreement voluntarily, after consultation with my attorney, and I am not acting under duress or coercion or by virtue of any promises of leniency, except for those set forth in this agreement.

I am not now under the influence of any intoxicating liquor, a controlled substance, or other drug which would in any manner impair my ability to comprehend or understand this agreement or the proceedings surrounding my entry of this plea.

My attorney has answered all my questions regarding this guilty plea agreement and its consequences to my satisfaction and I am satisfied with the services provided by my attorney.

PROGRAM OF TREATMENT

I understand I may apply to the Court to undergo a program of treatment for alcoholism or drug abuse to be administered through the Felony DUI Court. I understand that such a program will be for at least three (3) years.

I understand that for me to be admitted to such a program:

1. I must be diagnosed as an alcoholic or abuser of drugs by:

   a. A properly licensed or certified alcohol and drug abuse counselor; or,

   b. A physician certified by the Board of Medical Examiners to make that diagnosis, and

2. I must, and hereby do, agree to pay all costs of such program of treatment, to the best of my financial resources.

I understand that the State of Nevada may, within ten (10) days after receiving notice of this application, request a hearing at which the State of Nevada may present the Court with any information relevant to this matter. The Court must hold such a hearing if requested by the State of Nevada and may hold such a hearing in any event.

I understand that whether or not I am allowed to participate in a program for treatment is entirely within the discretion of the Court, which may permit or deny such participation. I further understand that to participate in such a program requires that the treatment facility that administers such program accept me for treatment, and that it is not required to accept me.

PROCEDURE IF PERMITTED TO UNDERGO PROGRAM OF TREATMENT

I understand that if I am permitted by the Court to undergo and participate in the Felony DUI Court's program of treatment pursuant to NRS 484C.340, and if I am accepted into such a program by the treatment facility, further proceedings in this case will be suspended, and no adjudication of guilt will be entered.

I understand that I will be placed upon a period of probation not to exceed five (5) years, upon the conditions that I am accepted into a program of treatment designated by the Court, and that I satisfactorily complete that program. I understand that the Court may impose other conditions of probation, and that I will be required to comply with any condition imposed by the Court.

I understand and agree that if accepted for treatment that I may be placed under the supervision of the treatment facility for not more than five (5) years, and that during such period of supervision I may be confined in an institution or, at the discretion of the treatment

facility, be released for supervised treatment or aftercare in the community.

I understand and agree that I must serve at least six (6) months of residential confinement. I understand and agree that I must install, at my own expense, an approved breath ignition interlock device in any vehicle I own or operate, and that I may not drive any vehicle unless it is equipped with such a device.

I understand and agree to periodic testing for the use of alcohol or controlled substances.

I understand and agree that I must comply with any other conditions that the Court deems necessary.

PROCEDURE IF NOT ACCEPTED BY TREATMENT FACILITY

I understand that if I am not accepted for treatment by the treatment facility, the Court will immediately enter a judgment of conviction for a violation of DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (Category B Felony - NRS 484C.110, 484C.400, 484C.105 - NOC 53914). I understand that any sentence of imprisonment may be reduced by a time equal to that I served before beginning treatment.

PROCEDURE IF PROGRAM SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED

I understand that if I successfully complete the program of treatment, the Court will enter a judgment of conviction for a violation of DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE, SECOND OFFENSE (Misdemeanor – NRS 484C.110, 484C.400, 484C.105 – NOC 53902).

I understand that, pursuant to NRS 484C.110 and NRS 484C.410(1)(e), such a disposition, even though a misdemeanor, is and shall be considered a felony violation if I should be convicted of any subsequent offense of DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE, and that subsequent offense, regardless of when incurred, upon conviction shall be a felony punishable by imprisonment for a minimum of two (2) years and a maximum of fifteen (15) years, and a fine of not less than $2,000.00 and not more than $5,000.00, and that imprisonment will not be suspended nor will that fine be excused.

///

///

PROCEDURE IF PROGRAM NOT SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED

I understand and agree that if I fail to complete the program of treatment satisfactorily, the Court will immediately enter a judgment of conviction for a violation of DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (Category B Felony - NRS 484C.110, 484C.400, 484C.105 - NOC 53914). I understand that any sentence of imprisonment may be reduced by a time equal to that which I served before beginning treatment. I understand that the Court may enter a judgment of conviction for a violation of DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (Category B Felony - NRS 484C.110, 484C.400, 484C.105 - NOC 53914) and proceed to sentencing if I violate any condition ordered by the Court. All remaining counts contained in the Criminal Complaint which were bound over to District Court shall be dismissed when Defendant is adjudged guilty and sentenced.

DATED this 29th day of July, 2024.

ALAN ISLAS
Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL:

I, the undersigned, as the attorney for the Defendant named herein and as an officer of the court, hereby certify that:

1. I have fully explained to the Defendant the allegations contained in the charge to which a guilty plea is being entered. I have advised the Defendant of the penalties for each charge and the restitution that the Defendant may be ordered to pay.

2. I have fully advised the Defendant of the provisions of the Felony DUI Court program pursuant to NRS 484C.340, including that the Defendant's acceptance into said program is entirely within the discretion of the Court, which may permit or deny such participation, and further, that the Defendant must be accepted by the treatment facility that administers said program, and it is not required to do so.

3. I have further advised the Defendant that the State of Nevada may, within ten (10) days after receiving the notice of application, request a hearing at which the State of Nevada may present the Court with any information relevant to this matter, and further, that the Court must hold such a hearing if requested by the State of Nevada and may hold such a hearing in any event.

4. I have inquired of the Defendant facts concerning the Defendant's immigration status and explained to the Defendant that if the Defendant is not a United States citizen, any criminal conviction will most likely result in serious negative immigration consequences including, but not limited, to:

   a. The removal from the United States through deportation;

   b. An inability to reenter the United States;

   c. The inability to gain United States citizenship or legal residency;

   d. An inability to renew and/or retain any legal residency status; and/or

   e. An indeterminate term of confinement, by the United States Federal Government based on the conviction and immigration status.

   Moreover, I have explained that regardless of what the Defendant may have been told by any attorney, no one can promise the Defendant that this conviction will not result in negative immigration consequences and/or impact the Defendant's ability to become a United States citizen and/or legal resident.

5. All pleas of guilty offered by the Defendant pursuant to this plea are consistent with the facts known to me and are made with my advice to the Defendant.

6. To the best of my knowledge and belief, the Defendant:

   a. Is competent and understands the charges and the consequences of

pleading guilty as provided in this guilty plea;

b. Executed this plea document and will enter into all guilty pleas pursuant hereto voluntarily, and

e. Was not under the influence of intoxicating liquor, a controlled substance, or other drug at the time I consulted with the Defendant as certified in the paragraphs above.

DATED: This 24th day of July, 2024.

Max Bedney
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

March 10, 2026

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DISTRICT COURT OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COUNTY OF CLARK STATE OF NEVADA

CERTIFIED COPY
ELECTRONIC SEAL (NRS 1.190(3))

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

ALAN ISLAS #5795251,

Defendant.

CASE NO: C-24-384540-1

DEPT NO: XXIII

NOTICE OF APPLICATION TO FELONY DUI COURT

I, ALAN ISLAS, hereby notice the State of Nevada of my application to undergo a program of treatment for alcoholism and/or drug abuse to be administered through the Felony DUI Court pursuant to NRS 484C.340.

I, ALAN ISLAS, understand that the State of Nevada may, within ten (10) days after receiving this notice, request a hearing at which the State of Nevada may present the Court with any information relevant to this matter. The Court must hold such a hearing if requested by the State of Nevada and may hold such a hearing in any event.

I, ALAN ISLAS, further understand that whether or not I am allowed to participate in a program for treatment is entirely within the discretion of the Court, which may permit or deny such participation. I further understand that to participate in such a program requires that the treatment facility that administers such program accept me for treatment, and that it is not required to accept me.

DATED this 29 day of July, 2024.

ALAN ISLAS
Defendant

DATED this 29 day of July, 2024.

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

Electronically Filed
7/16/2024 2:17 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

INFM
STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar #001565
CHAD LEXIS
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #010391
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 671-2500
Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

ALAN ISLAS #5795251,

Defendant.

CASE NO: C-24-384540-1

DEPT NO: XXIII

INFORMATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF CLARK)

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That ALAN ISLAS, the Defendant(s) above named, having committed the crime of **DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (Category B Felony - NRS 484C.110, 484C.400, 484C.105 - NOC 53914)**, on or about the 6th day of May, 2024, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully drive and/or be in actual physical control of a vehicle on a highway or on premises to which the public has access at 17th Street and Charleston Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, defendant being responsible in one or more of the following ways and/or under one or more of the following theories, to wit: 1) while under the influence of intoxicating liquor to any degree, however slight, which rendered him incapable of safely driving and/or

EXHIBIT "1"

exercising actual physical control of a vehicle, 2) while he had a concentration of alcohol of .08 or more in his blood, and/or 3) when he was found by measurement within two (2) hours after driving and/or being in actual physical control of a vehicle to have a concentration of alcohol of .08 or more in his blood, defendant having previously committed the offense of Driving Under The Influence within seven (7) years immediately preceding the date of the principal offense or after the principal offense charged herein, to wit:

Date of Offense: October 22, 2019
Conviction: March 23, 2021, Case No. 19M2233X,
Justice Court, Las Vegas Township, Clark County, Nevada; and

Date of Offense: March 17, 2021
Conviction: December 14, 2022, Case No. C1246365,
Municipal Court, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar #001565

BY _____
CHAD LEXIS
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #010391

24CR038978/em/vcu
LVMPD EV#LLV240500022216
(TK12)

9. DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.

Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290 (1)(f) and (g) for the possible revocation of the Category III basic certificate held by Luis Sencion-Gonzalez, former employee of the Nevada Department of Corrections, based on the conviction(s) and/or plea(s) of guilty to a Felony. The conviction(s)/plea(s) which have led to this action are:

Count I: LURE OR ATTEMPT TO LURE CHILD OR MENTALLY ILL PERSON WITH USE OF COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY TO ENGAGE IN SEXUAL CONDUCT, a violation of NRS 201.560.4A, a Category B Felony.

Possible action may be revocation of the Category III basic certificate.

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

NOTICE OF INTENT TO REVOKE

June 10, 2026

Luis Sencion-Gonzalez
[redacted]
Carson City, NV 89701

POST PIN #: 36929

Dear Mr. Sencion-Gonzalez,

Based upon documentation received by the Nevada Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission (the Commission) and in accordance with Nevada Administrative Code 289.290 and Nevada Revised Statute 241.033, you are hereby notified that the Commission has initiated action to revoke your Nevada peace officer certificate(s) that authorizes the holder to be employed as a peace officer in the state of Nevada.

I have included a copy of Nevada Administrative Code 289.290 for your convenience.

The Commission's regulations provide that a person's peace officer certificate(s) will be revoked pursuant to:

NAC 289.290 (1)(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter; and
NAC 289.290(1)(g) based on a Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony.

The conviction(s) and/or plea(s) of guilty which have led to this action are as follows:

Count I: LURE OR ATTEMPT TO LURE CHILD OR MENTALLY ILL PERSON WITH USE OF COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY TO ENGAGE IN SEXUAL CONDUCT, a violation of NRS 201.560.4A, a category B Felony
Case#: CR25-0550
Dept No: D09
Jurisdiction: Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Washoe

You have the right to appear before the Commission to contest the revocation of your Nevada peace officer certificate(s) by providing written notice to the Commission within fifteen (15) days of the date of the hearing.

If you choose to appeal and answer the charges against you, the Commission may elect to sit as a whole or designate an independent hearing officer to hear the matter and make recommendations in writing to the Commission. You will be given the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses as applicable. If you wish, you may be represented by an attorney; however, this would be at your own expense. **If you or your counsel have any written arguments you would like to present to the Commission, you can send that information to me no less than ten (10) days prior to the date of the hearing.**

EXHIBIT A

Written requests can be made to:

Nevada Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training
ATTN: Director M. Sherlock
5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave.
Carson City, NV 89701

The Commission will determine whether your Nevada peace officer certification(s) should be revoked at the meeting listed below:

Date: July 14, 2026
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Location: POST Administration Building, Classroom #2, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701

The hearing will cover the following: the revocation of your P.O.S.T certificate(s) pursuant to:

NAC 289.290 (1)(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter; and
NAC 289.290(1)(g) based on a Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony.

You will be notified of the Commission's decision within 15 days after this hearing, or as soon thereafter as is practicable.

If you need additional information concerning this matter, contact Chief Kathy Floyd at (775) 687-7678, ext. 3335.

Sincerely,

Kathy Floyd
Chief of Standards Division
Nevada Commission on Peace
Officer Standards and Training

cc: Deputy Attorney General Jesselyn De Luna
Deputy Attorney General John M. Nolan
File

NAC 289.290 Denial, revocation or suspension of certificate; reinstatement of revoked certificate. (NRS 289.510)

1. Each of the following constitutes cause for the Commission to revoke, refuse or suspend the certificate of a peace officer:

(a) Willful falsification of any information provided to obtain the certificate.

(b) A permanent or chronic physical or mental disability affecting the officer's ability to perform his or her full range of duties.

(c) Chronic drinking or drunkenness on duty.

(d) Addiction to or the unlawful use or possession of narcotics or other drugs.

(e) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a gross misdemeanor. Upon criminal indictment or filing of a criminal complaint, suspension may be imposed.

(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter.

(g) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony. Upon criminal indictment or filing of a criminal complaint, suspension may be imposed. Upon conviction or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere, the certificate will be revoked.

(h) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (i), conviction of a misdemeanor. If the employing agency recommends suspension or revocation following the conviction of the employee for a misdemeanor, suspension or revocation may be imposed. In determining whether to suspend or revoke the certificate, the Commission will consider the type of conviction and other information provided by the agency indicating unprofessional conduct or similar undesirable activity by the officer that resulted in disciplinary action.

(i) Conviction of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33). Following the conviction of the employee for such a misdemeanor, suspension or revocation may be imposed regardless of whether the employing agency recommends suspension or revocation.

2. Denial, suspension or revocation procedures will not be considered by the Commission in cases where the employment of an officer is terminated for violations of the policies, general orders or similar guidelines of operation of the employing agency which do not constitute any of the causes for denial, suspension or revocation specified in subsection 1.

3. The employing agency shall notify the Commission any time that it becomes aware that one of its officers has been charged with a crime that could result in denial, suspension or revocation procedures. Upon receipt of information alleging any of the causes enumerated in subsection 1, the Commission will determine whether to pursue revocation or suspension of the certificate of the officer.

4. The Commission will notify the officer by certified mail at the officer's last known address of any pending revocation or suspension action and of the nature of the charges and the officer's right to appear and answer the charges. The officer shall, within 15 days after the date on the certified mail receipt, respond in writing, notifying the Commission of his or her intended action with reference to the charges.

5. If the officer fails to notify the Commission within the specified time of his or her intention to appear in answer to the pending action, the Commission will:

(a) Consider the case on its own merits, using the statement from the head of the employing agency or the substantiated information derived from any independent investigation it deems necessary;

(b) Take no action pending the outcome of possible criminal action which may be filed against the officer; and

(c) Take no action pending the outcome of an appeal.

Ê The Commission's decision will be determined by a majority vote of the members of the Commission present.

6. When an officer notifies the Commission of his or her intention to appear and answer the charges pending against him or her, the Commission will elect to sit as a whole at a hearing or designate an independent hearing officer to hear the matter and make recommendations in writing to the Commission. The Commission will review the recommendations of any such hearing officer and arrive at a decision by majority vote of the members present.

7. The Commission will notify the officer of its decision within 15 days after the hearing.

8. An applicant for a certificate who has not been previously certified, but who would be subject to revocation for any cause set out in subsection 1, will not be granted a certificate.

9. If, upon receiving a written allegation that a peace officer is in violation of any provision of subsection 1 and that the facts and circumstances indicate that suspension rather than revocation would be in the best interests of the agency and law enforcement in general, the Commission will suspend the officer's certificate.

10. The Commission will provide each peace officer whose certificate is suspended with written notice of the suspension by certified registered mail. The suspension becomes effective 24 hours after receipt of the certified notice. The notice will contain a statement advising the officer of the right to a hearing.

11. Suspension of a certificate is not a bar to future revocation of the certificate and any prior suspensions may be considered as a factor if revocation is being considered by the Commission.

12. Five years after the revocation of a certificate, an officer may submit a written request to the Commission to allow him or her to reinstate his or her certificate. The Commission will schedule a hearing to consider whether to reinstate the officer's certificate. The Commission will notify the agency that requested the revocation of the date and time of the hearing. After the hearing, the Commission will determine whether to reinstate the certificate. If the certificate is reinstated, the Commission may establish a probationary period during which any misconduct by the officer would result in revocation.

(Added to NAC by Peace Officers' Standards & Training Com., eff. 12-17-87; A 8-24-90; 4-28-94; A by Peace Officers' Standards & Training Comm'n by R102-99, 11-2-99; R003-07, 4-17-2008; R051-14, 10-24-2014; R006-19, 12-30-2019)

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Luis Sencion-Gonzalez
[redacted]
Carson City, NV 89705

9590 9402 8594 3244 4946 40

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)
9589 0710 5270 3487 4468 94

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X
☐ Agent
☑ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)

C. Date of Delivery
6/26/24

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
- ☐ Adult Signature
- ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Certified Mail®
- ☑ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Insured Mail
- ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over $500)
- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
- ☐ Registered Mail™
- ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™
- ☑ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053 Domestic Return Receipt

USPS TRACKING#
9590 9402 8594 3244 4946 40

First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

United States Postal Service

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box•

3774
Commission on POST
5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave.
Carson City, NV 89701

EXHIBIT B

ALERT: IMPACTS FROM THE WORLD SOCCER TOURNAMENT HELD IN MAJOR CITIES THR...

USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Remove ×

Tracking Number:

9589071052703487446894

Copy Add to Informed Delivery

Latest Update

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 4:06 pm on June 26, 2026 in CARSON CITY, NV 89705.

Get More Out of USPS Tracking:

USPS Tracking Plus®

Delivered

Delivered, Left with Individual
CARSON CITY, NV 89705
June 26, 2026 4:06 PM

Arrived at USPS Facility
RENO NV DISTRIBUTION CENTER
June 25, 2026 11:49 AM

Hide Tracking History

What Do USPS Tracking Statuses Mean?

Text & Email Updates ⌄

USPS Tracking Plus® ⌄

State of Nevada – POST

Update – Personnel Action Report (PAR)

Agency Login

Agency Name *
NV Dept of Corrections

Employee Details

POST ID *
36929

First Name *
LUIS

Last Name *
SENCION-GONZALEZ

Middle Initial
D

Suffix

☐ **Name Changed**

☐ **Address Changed**

Level Change

Level Changed *
◉ Line ○ Supervisor ○ Management ○ Executive

*
○ Part Time ◉ Full Time

Status Changed
○ Deceased ○ Retired ◉ Separated

Effective Date *
08/17/2025

NAC 289.290 Notification (Cause for Commission Action)

EXHIBIT C

Pursuant to NAC 289.290(3): "The employing agency shall notify the Commission anytime that it becomes aware that one of its officer's has been charged with a crime that could result in denial, suspension or revocation procedures. Upon receipt of information alleging any of the causes enumerated in subsection 1, the Commission will determine whether to pursue revocation or suspension of the certificate of the officer."

Does the above NAC apply?

○ No ◉ Yes

Is your agency requesting revocation?

○ Yes ◉ No

Comments\Additional Information:

Attempted Statutory Sexual Seduction by Person Over 21 - Felony
Attempted Kidnapping of Minor, First Degree - Felony
Attempted Child Abuse or Neglect, First Offense - Felony
Luring of a Child with Use of Computer Technology/Sexual Conduct - Felony
Attempt to use Minor to be Subject of Sexual Portrayal/Performance - Felony

Submitter Details

Submitter's Full Name *	Submitter's Phone #*	Submitter's E-Mail Address
Shawn Fluhrer	775-977-5573	sfluhrer@doc.nv.gov

txtFormType

Update PAR

STATE OF NEVADA

COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

Hereby Awards the

Category III
Basic Certificate

To

Luis D. Sencion-Gonzalez

For having fulfilled all the requirements for Basic Certification as prescribed by Nevada Administrative Code.

Governor | Executive Director

36929 — POST ID No.

November 20, 2018 — Date

(O) 500B

EXHIBIT D

FILED
Electronically
CR25-0550
2026-02-02 01:41:23 PM
Alicia L. Lerud
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 11491229

DA# 0353855

UNRPD WC25-001099

CODE 1800
Christopher J. Hicks
#7747
One South Sierra, South Tower, 4th Floor
Reno, NV 89501
districtattorney@da.washoecounty.gov
(775) 328-3200
Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

* * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LUIS SENCION-GONZALEZ,

Defendant.
_____/

Case No.: CR25-0550

Dept. No.: D09

INFORMATION

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS, District Attorney within and for the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the above-entitled Court that, the defendant above-named, LUIS SENCION-GONZALEZ, has committed the crimes of:

COUNT I. LURE OR ATTEMPT TO LURE CHILD WITH USE OF COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY TO ENGAGE IN SEXUAL CONDUCT, a violation of NRS 201.560.4A, a category B Felony, (51078) in the manner following, to wit:

That the said defendant, LUIS SENCION-GONZALEZ, on or about March 3, 2025, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did

EXHIBIT E

willfully, unlawfully, and knowingly use a computer, system, and network to contact or communicate with or attempt to contact or communicate with a person whom he believed to be a child who is less than 16 years of age and who is at least 5 years younger than the defendant, with the intent to solicit, persuade or lure the child to engage in sexual conduct, to wit: the said defendant a 39-year-old adult male contacted a officer posing as a 15 year old female child via a social media application and/or via text messaging and requested and/or agreed to meet the officer posing as a child for the purpose of having sexual intercourse with the officer posing as a child and drove to the address provided by the officer posing as a child located at 2500 E. 2nd Street, Reno, Nevada.

COUNT II. ATTEMPT TO USE OR PERMIT MINOR, AGE 14 OR OLDER, TO BE SUBJECT OF SEXUAL PORTRAYAL IN A PERFORMANCE, a violation of NRS 200.710.2, a category B Felony, (50380) in the manner following, to wit:

That the said defendant, LUIS SENCION-GONZALEZ, on or about March 3, 2025, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully, unlawfully and knowingly attempt to use, encourage, entice or permit a minor child who is 14 years of age or older to simulate or engage in sexual conduct to produce a performance, and/or to use, encourage, entice, coerce or permit a minor child who is 14 years of age or older to be the subject of a sexual portrayal in a performance, to wit: the defendant contacted an officer posing as a 15-year-old female child via a social media application and/or via text message, and repeatedly requested that the officer posing as a

child send him photographs of her naked body and/or her butt and/or her breasts and or asked the officer posing as a 15-year-old female if they could record themselves having sexual intercourse.

COUNT III. ATTEMPTED CHILD ABUSE OR NEGLECT, FIRST OFFENSE, a violation of NRS 200.508.1b1, a category C Felony, (55229) in the manner following, to wit:

That the said defendant, LUIS SENCION-GONZALEZ, on or about March 3, 2025, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully attempt to cause a child less than 18 years of age to suffer unjustifiable physical pain or mental suffering as the result of abuse or neglect, and/or to be placed in a situation where the child may have suffered physical pain or mental suffering as the result of the abuse or neglect, by attempting to commit a lewdness and/or a statutory sexual seduction upon the body of a 15-year-old female child.

COUNT IV. ATTEMPTED STATUTORY SEXUAL SEDUCTION BY PERSON OVER 21, a violation of NRS 200.368.1, a category C Felony, (60326) in the manner following, to wit:

That the said defendant, LUIS SENCION-GONZALEZ, on or about March 3, 2025, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully attempt to have ordinary sexual intercourse, and/or sexual penetration with a child who is 15 years of age and who is at least 4 years younger than the defendant, to wit: the said defendant, a 39-year-old adult contacted an officer posing as a 15-year-old child via social media and/or via text message and requested and/or agreed to meet the officer posing as a child for the purpose

3 of 5 Certified by DColtrin on 06/01/2026

of having sexual intercourse with the officer posing as a child and drove to the address provided by the officer posing as a child located at or near 1500 East 2nd Street, Reno, Washoe County, Nevada.

All of which is contrary to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS
District Attorney
Washoe County, Nevada

By _____
JENNA GARCIA
13227
Deputy District Attorney

4 of 5 Certified by DColtrin on 06/01/2026

The following are the names of such witnesses as are known to me at the time of the filing of the within Information:

DETECTIVE TRAVIS BAILEY
DEPUTY YANCY BUTLER
DETECTIVE CHRISTOPHER JOSEPH
DETECTIVE DAMMRON PARSONS
OFFICER FAITH SYFRETT
SERGEANT SAMUEL VAN DER WALL

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated this 27th day of January, 2026.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS
District Attorney
Washoe County, Nevada

By _____
JENNA GARCIA
13227
Deputy District Attorney

The document to which this certificate is attached is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file and of record in my office.
By: ALICIA L. LERUD, Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court, in and for the County of Washoe.

PCN: WAS00106067C-SENCION-GONZALEZ

5 of 5 Certified by DColtrin on 06/01/2026

FILED
Electronically
CR25-0550
2026-05-12 05:54:37 PM
Alicia L. Lerud
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 11722548

CODE 1785
Christopher J. Hicks
#7747
One South Sierra, South Tower, 4th Floor
Reno, NV 89501
districtattorney@da.washoecounty.gov
(775) 328-3200
Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

* * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LUIS SENCION-GONZALEZ,

Defendant.
_____/

Case No.: CR25-0550

Dept. No.: D09

GUILTY PLEA MEMORANDUM

1. I, LUIS SENCION-GONZALEZ, understand that I am charged with the offense of COUNT I. LURE OR ATTEMPT TO LURE CHILD OR MENTALLY ILL PERSON WITH USE OF COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY TO ENGAGE IN SEXUAL CONDUCT, a violation of NRS 201.560.4A, a category B Felony, (51078).

2. I desire to enter a plea of guilty to the offense of COUNT I. LURE OR ATTEMPT TO LURE CHILD OR MENTALLY ILL PERSON WITH USE OF COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY TO ENGAGE IN SEXUAL CONDUCT, a violation of NRS 201.560.4A, a category B Felony, (51078), as more fully alleged in the charge(s) filed against me.

///

EXHIBIT F

1 of 6 Certified by DColtrin on 06/01/2026

3. By entering my plea of guilty I know and understand that I am waiving the following constitutional rights:

A. I waive my privilege against self-incrimination.

B. I waive my right to trial by jury, at which trial the State would have to prove my guilt of all elements of the offense(s) beyond a reasonable doubt.

C. I waive my right to confront my accusers, that is, the right to confront and cross examine all witnesses who would testify at trial.

D. I waive my right to subpoena witnesses for trial on my behalf.

4. I understand the charge against me and that the elements of the offense which the State would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt at trial are that on or about March 3, 2025, within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, I did willfully, unlawfully, and knowingly use a computer, system, and network to contact or communicate with or attempt to contact or communicate with "Lizzy" a person whom I believed to be a child who is less than 16 years of age and who is at least 5 years younger than I am, with the intent to solicit, persuade or lure the child to engage in sexual conduct, to wit: I, a 39-year-old adult male, contacted an officer posing as a 15 year old female child via a social media application and/or via text messaging and requested and/or agreed to meet the officer posing as a child for the purpose of having sexual intercourse with the officer posing as a child and drove to the address provided by the officer posing as a child located at 2500 East 2nd Street, Reno.

2 of 6 Certified by DColtrin on 06/01/2026

5. I understand that I admit the facts which support all the elements of the offense by pleading guilty. I admit that the State possesses sufficient evidence which would result in my conviction. I have considered and discussed all possible defenses and defense strategies with my counsel. I understand that I have the right to appeal from adverse rulings on pretrial motions only if the State and the Court consent to my right to appeal in a separate written agreement. I understand that any substantive or procedural pretrial issue(s) which could have been raised at trial are waived by my plea.

6. I understand the consequences of my plea of guilty are that I may be imprisoned for 1-10 years in the Nevada State Department of Corrections. I may be fined up to $10,000.00. I am eligible for probation.

7. In exchange for my plea of guilty, the State, my counsel and I have agreed to recommend the following: The State will cap its recommendation at 19-48 months in the Nevada State Department of Corrections. Defense is free to argue for any legal sentence.

8. I understand that, even though the State and I have reached this plea agreement, the State is reserving the right to present arguments, facts, and/or witnesses at sentencing in support of the plea agreement.

9. Where applicable, I additionally understand and agree that I will be responsible for the repayment of any costs incurred by the State or County in securing my return to this jurisdiction. I also agree that I will make full restitution in this matter, as

3 of 6 Certified by DColtrin on 06/01/2026

determined by the Court.

10. I understand that the State, at their discretion, is entitled to either withdraw from this agreement and proceed with the prosecution of the original charges or be free to argue for an appropriate sentence at the time of sentencing if I fail to appear at any scheduled proceeding in this matter OR if prior to the date of my sentencing I am arrested in any jurisdiction for a violation of law OR if I have misrepresented my prior criminal history. I understand and agree that the occurrence of any of these acts constitutes a material breach of my plea agreement with the State. I further understand and agree that by the execution of this agreement, I am waiving any right I may have to remand this matter to Justice Court should I later withdraw my plea.

11. I understand and agree that pursuant to the terms of the plea agreement stated herein, any counts which are to be dismissed and any other cases charged or uncharged which are either to be dismissed or not pursued by the State, may be considered by the court at the time of my sentencing.

12. I understand that the Court is not bound by the agreement of the parties and that the matter of sentencing is to be determined solely by the Court. I have discussed the charge, the facts, and the possible defenses with my attorney. All of the foregoing rights, waiver of rights, elements, possible penalties, and consequences, have been carefully explained to me by my attorney. My attorney has not promised me anything not mentioned in this plea memorandum, and, in particular, my attorney has not promised that I

4 of 6 Certified by DColtrin on 06/01/2026

will get any specific sentence. I am satisfied with my counsel's advice and representation leading to this resolution of my case. I am aware that if I am not satisfied with my counsel, I should advise the Court at this time. I believe that entering my plea is in my best interest and that going to trial is not in my best interest. My attorney has advised me that if I wish to appeal, any appeal, if applicable to my case, must be filed within thirty days of my sentence and/or judgment.

13. I understand that this plea and resulting conviction will likely have adverse effects upon my residency in this country if I am not a U. S. Citizen. I have discussed the effects my plea will have upon my residency with my counsel.

14. I offer my plea freely, voluntarily, knowingly and with full understanding of all matters set forth in the Information and in this Plea Memorandum. I have read this plea memorandum completely and I understand everything contained within it.

15. My plea of guilty is voluntary and is not the result of any threats, coercion or promises of leniency.

16. I am signing this Plea Memorandum voluntarily with advice of counsel, under no duress, coercion, or promises of leniency.

///

///

///

17. I do hereby swear under penalty of perjury that all of the assertions in this written plea agreement document are true.

5 of 6 Certified by DColtrin on 06/01/2026

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated this 12th day of May, 2026.

Defendant

Translator/Interpreter

Attorney Witnessing Defendant's Signature

Deputy District Attorney

The document to which this certificate is attached is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file and of record in my office.

By: ALICIA L. LERUD, Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court, in and for the County of Washoe.

6 of 6 Certified by DColtrin on 06/01/2026

10. <u>DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.</u>

Hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(f) and (g) for the possible revocation of the category III basic certificate held by Alizabeth L. Vasquez, former employee of the Nevada Department of Corrections, based on the convictions(s) and/or plea(s) of guilty to a Felony. The conviction(s)/plea(s) which have led to this action are:

COUNT I: FURNISHING A PORTABLE TELECOMMUNICATION DEVICE TO A STATE PRISONER (a Category E Felony, in violation of NRS 212.165(1)).

Possible action may be revocation of the Category III basic certificate.

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING
5587 Wa Pai Shone Avenue
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 687-7678 FAX (775) 687-4911

JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

MICHAEL D. SHERLOCK
Executive Director

NOTICE OF INTENT TO REVOKE

June 15, 2026

Alizabeth L. Vasquez
[redacted]
Las Vegas, NV 89123

POST PIN #: 39499

Dear Ms. Vasquez,

Based upon documentation received by the Nevada Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission (the Commission) and in accordance with Nevada Administrative Code 289.290 and Nevada Revised Statute 241.033, you are hereby notified that the Commission has initiated action to revoke your Nevada peace officer certificate(s) that authorizes the holder to be employed as a peace officer in the state of Nevada.

I have included a copy of Nevada Administrative Code 289.290 for your convenience.

The Commission's regulations provide that a person's peace officer certificate(s) will be revoked pursuant to:

NAC 289.290 (1)(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter; and
NAC 289.290(1)(g) based on a Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony.

The conviction(s) and/or plea(s) of guilty which have led to this action are as follows:

Count I: FURNISHING A PORTABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE TO A STATE PRISONER (a category E felony, in violation of NRS 212.165(1).
Case#: C-25-394235-1
Dept No: XXI
Jurisdiction: District Court, Clark County, Nevada

You have the right to appear before the Commission to contest the revocation of your Nevada peace officer certificate(s) by providing written notice to the Commission within fifteen (15) days of the date of the hearing.

If you choose to appeal and answer the charges against you, the Commission may elect to sit as a whole or designate an independent hearing officer to hear the matter and make recommendations in writing to the Commission. You will be given the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses as applicable. If you wish, you may be represented by an attorney; however, this would be at your own expense. **If you or your counsel have any written arguments you would like to present to the Commission, you can send that information to me no less than ten (10) days prior to the date of the hearing.**

EXHIBIT A

Written requests can be made to:

Nevada Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training
ATTN: Director M. Sherlock
5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave.
Carson City, NV 89701

The Commission will determine whether your Nevada peace officer certification(s) should be revoked at the meeting listed below:

Date: July 14, 2026
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Location: POST Administration Building, Classroom #2, 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave., Carson City, NV 89701

The hearing will cover the following: the revocation of your P.O.S.T certificate(s) pursuant to:

NAC 289.290 (1)(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter; and
NAC 289.290(1)(g) based on a Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony.

You will be notified of the Commission's decision within 15 days after this hearing, or as soon thereafter as is practicable.

If you need additional information concerning this matter, contact Chief Kathy Floyd at (775) 687-7678, ext. 3335.

Sincerely,

Kathy Floyd
Chief of Standards Division
Nevada Commission on Peace
Officer Standards and Training

cc: Deputy Attorney General Jesselyn De Luna
Deputy Attorney General John M. Nolan
File

NAC 289.290 Denial, revocation or suspension of certificate; reinstatement of revoked certificate. (NRS 289.510)

1. Each of the following constitutes cause for the Commission to revoke, refuse or suspend the certificate of a peace officer:

(a) Willful falsification of any information provided to obtain the certificate.

(b) A permanent or chronic physical or mental disability affecting the officer's ability to perform his or her full range of duties.

(c) Chronic drinking or drunkenness on duty.

(d) Addiction to or the unlawful use or possession of narcotics or other drugs.

(e) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a gross misdemeanor. Upon criminal indictment or filing of a criminal complaint, suspension may be imposed.

(f) Failure to comply with the standards established in this chapter.

(g) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to, a felony. Upon criminal indictment or filing of a criminal complaint, suspension may be imposed. Upon conviction or entry of a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere, the certificate will be revoked.

(h) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (i), conviction of a misdemeanor. If the employing agency recommends suspension or revocation following the conviction of the employee for a misdemeanor, suspension or revocation may be imposed. In determining whether to suspend or revoke the certificate, the Commission will consider the type of conviction and other information provided by the agency indicating unprofessional conduct or similar undesirable activity by the officer that resulted in disciplinary action.

(i) Conviction of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33). Following the conviction of the employee for such a misdemeanor, suspension or revocation may be imposed regardless of whether the employing agency recommends suspension or revocation.

2. Denial, suspension or revocation procedures will not be considered by the Commission in cases where the employment of an officer is terminated for violations of the policies, general orders or similar guidelines of operation of the employing agency which do not constitute any of the causes for denial, suspension or revocation specified in subsection 1.

3. The employing agency shall notify the Commission any time that it becomes aware that one of its officers has been charged with a crime that could result in denial, suspension or revocation procedures. Upon receipt of information alleging any of the causes enumerated in subsection 1, the Commission will determine whether to pursue revocation or suspension of the certificate of the officer.

4. The Commission will notify the officer by certified mail at the officer's last known address of any pending revocation or suspension action and of the nature of the charges and the officer's right to appear and answer the charges. The officer shall, within 15 days after the date on the certified mail receipt, respond in writing, notifying the Commission of his or her intended action with reference to the charges.

5. If the officer fails to notify the Commission within the specified time of his or her intention to appear in answer to the pending action, the Commission will:

(a) Consider the case on its own merits, using the statement from the head of the employing agency or the substantiated information derived from any independent investigation it deems necessary;

(b) Take no action pending the outcome of possible criminal action which may be filed against the officer; and

(c) Take no action pending the outcome of an appeal.

Ê The Commission's decision will be determined by a majority vote of the members of the Commission present.

6. When an officer notifies the Commission of his or her intention to appear and answer the charges pending against him or her, the Commission will elect to sit as a whole at a hearing or designate an independent hearing officer to hear the matter and make recommendations in writing to the Commission. The Commission will review the recommendations of any such hearing officer and arrive at a decision by majority vote of the members present.

7. The Commission will notify the officer of its decision within 15 days after the hearing.

8. An applicant for a certificate who has not been previously certified, but who would be subject to revocation for any cause set out in subsection 1, will not be granted a certificate.

9. If, upon receiving a written allegation that a peace officer is in violation of any provision of subsection 1 and that the facts and circumstances indicate that suspension rather than revocation would be in the best interests of the agency and law enforcement in general, the Commission will suspend the officer's certificate.

10. The Commission will provide each peace officer whose certificate is suspended with written notice of the suspension by certified registered mail. The suspension becomes effective 24 hours after receipt of the certified notice. The notice will contain a statement advising the officer of the right to a hearing.

11. Suspension of a certificate is not a bar to future revocation of the certificate and any prior suspensions may be considered as a factor if revocation is being considered by the Commission.

12. Five years after the revocation of a certificate, an officer may submit a written request to the Commission to allow him or her to reinstate his or her certificate. The Commission will schedule a hearing to consider whether to reinstate the officer's certificate. The Commission will notify the agency that requested the revocation of the date and time of the hearing. After the hearing, the Commission will determine whether to reinstate the certificate. If the certificate is reinstated, the Commission may establish a probationary period during which any misconduct by the officer would result in revocation.

(Added to NAC by Peace Officers' Standards & Training Com., eff. 12-17-87; A 8-24-90; 4-28-94; A by Peace Officers' Standards & Training Comm'n by R102-99, 11-2-99; R003-07, 4-17-2008; R051-14, 10-24-2014; R006-19, 12-30-2019)

CONSTABLE'S OFFICE of HENDERSON TOWNSHIP
Kenny Taylor, Constable
243 Water Street Henderson, NV 89015 HNTC 6585
Phone 702-455-7940 Fax 702-455-7942

CIVIL PROCESS FORM

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION ABOUT THE PERSON OR COMPANY WE ARE SERVING:

NAME & TITLE OF PERSON TO BE SERVED: If a company or corporation please provide the name of the owner, corporate officer, or resident agent.

Type:	Notice to revoke P.O.S.T
Case #	#39499
Fee Total:	0
Court Date:	7/14/26

Defendant Info (person to be served)

NAME OR BUSINESS TO BE SERVED: ALIZABETH L VASQUEZ
HOME or BUSINESS ADDRESS (Apt #, Suite #, Zip Code): [redacted] LAS VEGAS NV 89123

EMPLOYER & EMPLOYER ADDRESS: _____

BEST TIME TO SERVE @ HOME: _____ A.M. P.M. @WORK _____ A.M. P.M.

PHONE NUMBER OF PERSON TO BE SERVED: HOME _____ WORK _____

DESCRIPTION

RACE _____ SEX ____ HEIGHT _____ WEIGHT _____ HAIR COLOR _____ EYES _____ AGE _____

VEHICLE

YEAR _____ MAKE _____ BODY STYLE _____ COLOR _____ PLATE # _____ STATE _____

OTHER INFORMATION TO HELP US SERVE THE DEFENDANT:

_____

Plaintiff Info

PLAINTIFF'S DAY PH#: _____ CELL PH#: 775-687-7678

PLAINTIFF'S NAME State of Nevada Commission on Peace Officer Standards & Training

ADDRESS 5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave

Carson City, NV 89701

(DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE)

Deputy Worksheet

DEPUTY ASSIGNED: TAYLOR. K DATE: _____

1. DATE: 6.18 TIME: 3:50 LOCATION: 243 S. Water St. Hend NV 89015

2. DATE: _____ TIME: _____ LOCATION: _____

3. DATE: _____ TIME: _____ LOCATION: _____

REASON FOR NON-SERVICE:

_____

NEW ADDRESS INFO: _____

PERSON ACCEPTING PRINT and SIGN X Alizabeth Vasquez Alizabeth Vasquez

EXHIBIT B

CONSTABLE'S OFFICE of
HENDERSON TOWNSHIP
243 Water Street Henderson, NV 89015
Phone 702-455-7940 Fax 702-455-7942

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF CLARK)

State of Nevada Commission on Peace Officer Standards & Training — Plaintiff

CASE NO:

Elizabeth Vasquez — Defendant

I K. Taylor, being duly sworn states that at all times herein affiant was and is a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, not a party to or interested in the proceedings in which this affidavit is made. That affiant received a copy of the: Notice to Revoke

---

on the 18th day of June, 2026 and served the same on this 18th day of June, 2026 @ 3:30pm by: K. Taylor

1. Delivering and leaving a copy with the Defendant Elizabeth Vasquez at: 243 S. Water St Henderson NV 89015

2. Serving the Defendant _____ by personally delivering and leaving a copy with _____, a person of suitable age and discretion, located at: _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury NRS 53.045 of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is correct and true.

EXECUTED this 22 day of June, 2026.

K. Taylor #0042
~~Deputy~~ Constable

State of Nevada – POST

Update – Personnel Action Report (PAR)

Agency ID *
0093

Agency Name *
NV Dept of Corrections

Employee Details

POST ID *
39499

First Name *
ALIZABETH

Last Name *
VASQUEZ

Middle Initial
L

Suffix
Jr

☐ **Name Changed**

☐ **Address Changed**

Level Change

Level Changed *
◉ Line ○ Supervisor ○ Management ○ Executive

*
○ Part Time ◉ Full Time

Status Changed
○ Deceased ○ Retired ◉ Separated

Effective Date *
12/07/2024

NAC 289.290 Notification (Cause for Commission Action)

EXHIBIT C

Pursuant to NAC 289.290(3): "The employing agency shall notify the Commission anytime that it becomes aware that one of its officer's has been charged with a crime that could result in denial, suspension or revocation procedures. Upon receipt of information alleging any of the causes enumerated in subsection 1, the Commission will determine whether to pursue revocation or suspension of the certificate of the officer."

Does the above NAC apply?

(●) No ( ) Yes

Comments\Additional Information:

Submitter Details

Submitter's Full Name *	Submitter's Phone #*	Submitter's E-Mail Address
Gregory Carter	725-216-6418	gcarter@doc.nv.gov

txtFormType

Update PAR

STATE OF NEVADA

COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

Hereby Awards the

Category III
Basic Certificate

To

Alizabeth L. Vasquez

For having fulfilled all the requirements for basic certification
As prescribed by Nevada Administrative Code.

Commission Chairman

Executive Director

39499
POST ID #

11/12/2021
Date

EXHIBIT D

Electronically Filed
9/22/2025 1:53 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

INFM
AARON D. FORD
Attorney General
ERICA M. GOLD (Bar No. 8574)
Senior Deputy Attorney General
State of Nevada
Office of the Attorney General
1 State of Nevada Way, Suite #100
Las Vegas, NV 89119
P: (702) 486-3420
F: (702) 486-3768
Egold@ag.nv.gov
Attorney for the State of Nevada

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, ID# 8252254, Defendant.	Case No.: C-25-394235-1 Dept. No.: XXI

INFORMATION

AARON D. FORD, Attorney General for the State of Nevada, by and through ERICA M. GOLD, Senior Deputy Attorney General, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court that the above-named Defendant, ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, committed the crime of one (1) count of FURNISHING A PORTABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE TO A STATE PRISONER, a category "E" felony, in violation of NRS 212.165(1) [NOC 54775].

The acts alleged herein were committed on or between approximately April 1, 2024 and October 31, 2024, in the following manner, by the above-named Defendant, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada:

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

EXHIBIT E

Case Number: C-25-394235-1

COUNT I
FURNISHING A PORTABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE TO A STATE PRISONER
Category "E" Felony
NRS 212.165(1)

Defendant ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, without lawful authorization, did knowingly furnish, attempt to furnish, or aid or assist in furnishing or attempting to furnish to a prisoner confined in an institution or a facility of the Department of Corrections, a portable telecommunications device, to wit: the Defendant brought in, or attempted to bring in to Southern Desert Correctional Center and/or High Desert State Prison one or more mobile phone devices to furnish to inmate E.L. and/or one or more other State prisoner(s).

All of which is contrary to the form, force, and effect of the statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada.

DATED this 22nd day of September, 2025.

SUBMITTED BY:

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General

By: */s/ Erica Gold*
ERICA M. GOLD (Bar No. 8574)
Senior Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for the State of Nevada

March 9, 2026

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DISTRICT COURT
OF THE
EIGHTH
JUDICIAL
DISTRICT
COUNTY OF CLARK
STATE OF NEVADA

CERTIFIED COPY
ELECTRONIC SEAL (NRS 1.190(3))

GPA
AARON D. FORD
Attorney General
State of Nevada
ERICA M. GOLD, Bar No. 8574
Senior Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
1 State of Nevada Way, Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV 89119-4339
P: (702) 486-3420
F: (702) 486-0660
Egold@ag.nv.gov
Attorneys for the State of Nevada

FILED IN OPEN COURT
STEVEN D. GRIERSON
CLERK OF THE COURT

SEP 25 2025

BY, _____
VANESA CHAVEZ-HOLMAN, DEPUTY

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, ID# 8252254,

Defendant.

Case No.: C-25-394235-1

Dept. No.: XXI

C-25-394235-1
GPA
Guilty Plea Agreement
5152904

GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT

I hereby agree to plead guilty to one (1) count of FURNISHING A PORTABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE TO A STATE PRISONER, a category "E" felony, in violation of NRS 212.165(1) [NOC 54775], as more fully alleged in the charging document attached hereto as "Exhibit 1."

My decision to plead guilty is based upon the plea agreement in this case which is as follows:

1. The State will not oppose probation for a fixed term of eighteen (18) months;
2. The parties stipulate to a prison sentence of nineteen (19) to forty-eight (48) months in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDOC), suspended;
3. The following special conditions will be part of my probation:
   a. No contact with [redacted] and
   b. No contact with any person currently incarcerated at any NDOC facility;

///

EXHIBIT F

4. I understand and agree that State retains the right to argue for any other lawful terms and conditions of probation after review of the Pre-Sentence Investigation Report;
5. I agree to waive any defects or infirmities as to this process, my plea, this guilty plea agreement, and/or the form and/or force of the charging document attached as "Exhibit 1"; and
6. If I successfully complete probation and receive an honorable discharge, I may withdraw my plea and plead guilty instead to Conspiracy to Commit a Crime, a gross misdemeanor, with credit for time served.

Furthermore, I agree to the forfeiture of any and all property seized and/or impounded in connection with the instant case and/or any other case negotiated in conjunction with this plea agreement, unless such property is specifically excluded from forfeiture by the language of this agreement.

I understand that, pursuant to NRS 176.015(3), victims so desiring will be allowed to make impact statements.

All remaining counts contained in the Criminal Complaint, if applicable, which were bound over to District Court shall be dismissed when I am adjudged guilty and sentenced.

I understand and agree that the State's agreement to recommend or stipulate to a particular sentence, to not present argument regarding the sentence, to not oppose a particular sentence, or to not seek punishment as a habitual criminal is contingent upon my conduct between now and sentencing: If I fail to interview with the Division of Parole and Probation ("P & P"); fail to appear at any subsequent hearings in this case; fail to appear at any court-ordered appearances related to this case, prior to sentencing; or a magistrate reviews a declaration of arrest and finds probable cause to believe that I have committed a new criminal offense, including reckless driving or DUI, but excluding minor traffic violations, the State will regain the right to argue for any lawful sentence and term of confinement allowable for the crime to which I am now pleading, including the use of any prior convictions I may have to increase my sentence as a habitual criminal to five (5) to twenty (20) years, life without the possibility of parole, life with the possibility of parole after ten (10) years, or a definite twenty-five (25)-year term with the possibility of parole after ten (10) years, if applicable.

///

///

CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLEA

I understand that by pleading guilty I admit to the facts that support all of the elements of the offenses to which I now plead, as set forth in Exhibit "1."

I understand that as a consequence of my plea of guilty to the charge of FURNISHING A PORTABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE TO A STATE PRISONER, a category "E" felony, the Court must sentence me to imprisonment in the state prison for a minimum term of not less than 1 year and a maximum term of not more than 4 years, and the court shall suspend the execution of the sentence and grant probation to the person upon such conditions as the court deems appropriate. In addition to any other penalty, the court may impose a fine of not more than $5,000.

I also understand that the law requires me to pay Administrative Assessment Fees.

I understand that pursuant to NRS 193.130(1), "[e]xcept when a person is convicted of a category A felony, and except as otherwise provided by specific statute, a person convicted of a felony shall be sentenced to a minimum term and a maximum term of imprisonment which must be within the limits prescribed by the applicable statute, unless the statute in force at the time of commission of the felony prescribed a different penalty. The minimum term of imprisonment that may be imposed must not exceed 40 percent of the maximum term imposed."

I understand that, if appropriate, I will be ordered to make restitution to the victim(s) of the offense(s) to which I am pleading guilty and to the victim(s) of any related offense(s) being dismissed or not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement. I will also be ordered to reimburse the State of Nevada for any expenses related to my extradition, if any.

I understand that I am eligible for probation for the offense to which I am pleading guilty, but the question of whether I receive probation is in the discretion of the sentencing judge, except as otherwise provided by statute.

I also understand that I must submit to blood and/or saliva tests under the direction of the Division of Parole and Probation to determine genetic markers and/or secretor status.

///

///

I understand that if more than one sentence of imprisonment is imposed and I am eligible to serve the sentences concurrently, the sentencing judge has the discretion to order the sentences served concurrently or consecutively.

I understand that if the offense to which I am pleading guilty was committed while I was incarcerated on another charge or while I was on probation or parole that, pursuant to NRS 176.035(3), any imposed prison sentence in this case must run consecutive to the sentence that I was serving when this incident occurred.

I understand that pursuant to NRS 176.045 if I am convicted of a public offense in this State and under a sentence of imprisonment pronounced by another jurisdiction, federal or state, whether or not the prior sentence is for the same offense, the court in imposing any sentence for the offense committed in this State may, in its discretion, provide that such sentence shall run either concurrently or consecutively with the prior sentence, and that if the court provides that the sentence shall run concurrently, and I am released by the other jurisdiction prior to the expiration of the sentence imposed in this State, I shall be returned to the State of Nevada to serve out the balance of such sentence, unless the I am eligible for parole under the provisions of chapter 213 of NRS, and the Board of Parole Commissioners directs that I be released on parole as provided in that chapter. I further understand that if the court makes an order pursuant to this section, the clerk of the court shall provide the Director of the Department of Corrections with a certified copy of judgment and notification of the place of out-of-state confinement, but if the court makes no order pursuant to this section, the sentence imposed in this State shall not begin until the expiration of all prior sentences imposed by other jurisdictions.

I understand that information regarding charges not filed, dismissed charges, or charges to be dismissed pursuant to this agreement may be considered by the judge at sentencing.

I have not been promised or guaranteed any particular sentence by anyone. I know that my sentence is to be determined by the Court within the limits prescribed by statute. I understand that if my attorney or the State of Nevada or both recommend any specific punishment to the Court, the Court is not obligated to accept the recommendation.

I understand that the parties have the opportunity to review a report prior to sentencing. This report will include matters relevant to the issue of sentencing, including my criminal history. This report may

contain hearsay information regarding my background and criminal history. My attorney and I will each have the opportunity to comment on the information contained in the report at the time of sentencing. Unless the Attorney General has specifically agreed otherwise, the Attorney General may also comment on this report.

I understand if the offense to which I am pleading guilty was committed while I was incarcerated on another charge or while I was on probation or parole that I am not eligible for credit for time served toward the instant offense, pursuant to NRS 176.055.

I understand that if I am not a United States citizen, this criminal conviction will likely result in serious negative immigration consequences including but not limited to: removal from the United States through deportation; an inability to reenter the United States; the inability to gain United States citizenship or legal residency; an inability to renew and/or retain any legal residency status; and/or an indeterminate term of confinement, with the United States Federal Government based on my conviction and immigration status. Regardless of what I have been told by an attorney, no one can promise me that this conviction will not result in negative immigration consequences and/or impact my ability to become a United States citizen and/or legal resident.

WAIVER OF RIGHTS

By entering my plea of guilty, I understand that I am waiving and forever giving up the following rights and privileges:

1. The constitutional privilege against self-incrimination, including the right to refuse to testify at trial, in which event the prosecution would not be allowed to comment to the jury about my refusal to testify.

2. The constitutional right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury, free of excessive pretrial publicity prejudicial to the defense, at which trial I would be entitled to the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained. At trial the State would bear the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt each element of the offense charged.

3. The constitutional right to confront and cross-examine any witnesses who would testify against me.

4. The constitutional right to subpoena witnesses to testify on my behalf.

5. The constitutional right to testify in my own defense.

6. The right to appeal the conviction, with the assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained, unless the appeal is based upon reasonable constitutional, jurisdictional, or other grounds that challenge the legality of the proceedings and except as otherwise provided in subsection 3 of NRS 174.035.

VOLUNTARINESS OF PLEA

I have discussed the elements of all the original charges against me with my attorney, and I understand the nature of the charges against me;

I understand the State would have to prove each element of the charges against me at trial;

I have discussed with my attorney any possible defenses, defense strategies and circumstances which might be in my favor;

All of the foregoing elements, consequences, rights, and waiver of rights have been thoroughly explained to me by my attorney;

I believe that pleading guilty and accepting this plea bargain is in my best interest, and that a trial would be contrary to my best interest;

I am signing this agreement voluntarily, after consultation with my attorney, and I am not acting under duress or coercion or by virtue of any promises of leniency, except for those set forth in this agreement;

I am not now under the influence of any intoxicating liquor; a controlled substance; or any other drug which would, in any manner, impair my ability to comprehend or understand this agreement or the proceedings surrounding my entry of this plea; and

///

///

///

///

///

My attorney has answered all my questions regarding this guilty plea agreement and its consequences to my satisfaction, and I am satisfied with the services provided by my attorney.

DATED this 25th day of September, 2025.

ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, Defendant

AGREED TO BY:

/s/ Erica M. Gold
Erica M. Gold
Senior Deputy Attorney General

CERTIFICATE OF DEFENSE COUNSEL

I, the undersigned, as the attorney for ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ named herein and as an officer of the court hereby certify that:

1. I have fully explained to ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ the allegations contained in the charge to which guilty pleas are being entered;

2. I have advised ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ of the penalties for the charge and the restitution/costs/fines that ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ may be ordered to pay;

3. I have inquired of ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ facts concerning ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ' immigration status and explained to ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ that if ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ is not a United States citizen, any criminal conviction will most likely result in serious negative immigration consequences including but not limited to:

a. The removal from the United States through deportation;

b. An inability to reenter the United States;

c. The inability to gain United States citizenship or legal residency;

d. An inability to renew and/or retain any legal residency status; and/or

e. An indeterminate term of confinement with the United States Federal Government based on his/her conviction and immigration status.

Moreover, I have explained that regardless of what ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ may have been told by any attorney, no one can promise ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ that this conviction will not result in negative immigration consequences and/or impact ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ' ability to become a United States citizen and/or legal resident;

4. All pleas of guilty offered by ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ pursuant to this agreement are consistent with all the facts known to me, and are made with my advice to ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ and are in the best interest of ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ; and

5. To the best of my knowledge and belief ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ:

a. Is competent and understands the charges and the consequences of pleading guilty as provided in this agreement;

b. Executed this agreement and will enter all guilty pleas pursuant hereto voluntarily; and

c. Was not under the influence of intoxicating liquor, a controlled substance, or other drug at the time of the execution of this agreement.

DATED this 25th day of September, 2025.

STEVE ALTIG, ESQ.
Attorney for ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ

March 9, 2026

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DISTRICT COURT OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COUNTY OF CLARK STATE OF NEVADA

CERTIFIED COPY
ELECTRONIC SEAL (NRS 1.190(3))

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

Electronically Filed
9/22/2025 1:53 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

INFM
AARON D. FORD
Attorney General
ERICA M. GOLD (Bar No. 8574)
Senior Deputy Attorney General
State of Nevada
Office of the Attorney General
1 State of Nevada Way, Suite #100
Las Vegas, NV 89119
P: (702) 486-3420
F: (702) 486-3768
Egold@ag.nv.gov
Attorney for the State of Nevada

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, ID# 8252254, Defendant.	Case No.: C-25-394235-1 Dept. No.: XXI

INFORMATION

AARON D. FORD, Attorney General for the State of Nevada, by and through ERICA M. GOLD, Senior Deputy Attorney General, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court that the above-named Defendant, ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, committed the crime of one (1) count of FURNISHING A PORTABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE TO A STATE PRISONER, a category "E" felony, in violation of NRS 212.165(1) [NOC 54775].

The acts alleged herein were committed on or between approximately April 1, 2024 and October 31, 2024, in the following manner, by the above-named Defendant, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada:

///

///

///

COUNT I
FURNISHING A PORTABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE TO A STATE PRISONER
Category "E" Felony
NRS 212.165(1)

Defendant ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, without lawful authorization, did knowingly furnish, attempt to furnish, or aid or assist in furnishing or attempting to furnish to a prisoner confined in an institution or a facility of the Department of Corrections, a portable telecommunications device, to wit: the Defendant brought in, or attempted to bring in to Southern Desert Correctional Center and/or High Desert State Prison one or more mobile phone devices to furnish to inmate E.L. and/or one or more other State prisoner(s).

All of which is contrary to the form, force, and effect of the statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada.

DATED this 22nd day of September, 2025.

SUBMITTED BY:

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General

By: */s/ Erica Gold*
ERICA M. GOLD (Bar No. 8574)
Senior Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for the State of Nevada

Electronically Filed
02/04/2026 12:57 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

JOC

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, #8252254

Defendant.

CASE NO: C-25-394235-1

DEPT NO: XXI

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION

(PLEA OF GUILTY)

The defendant previously appeared before the Court with counsel and entered a plea of guilty to the crime of FURNISHING A PORTABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE TO A STATE PRISONER (Category E Felony), in violation of NRS 212.165(1); thereafter, on the 23rd day of December, 2025, the defendant was present in court for sentencing with counsel, STEVEN M. ALTIG, ESQ., and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT WAS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offense and, in addition to the $25.00 Administrative Assessment fee, a $150.00 DNA analysis fee, including testing to determine genetic markers, and $3.00 DNA Collection fee, Defendant SENTENCED to a MINIMUM of NINETEEN (19) MONTHS and a MAXIMUM of FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC), SUSPENDED; placed on PROBATION for a FIXED period of EIGHTEEN (18) MONTHS. In addition to the Standard Conditions of the Division of Parole and Probation (P & P), which are IMPOSED, Defendant must comply with the following SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

1. Defendant to STAY AWAY from [redacted] and have NO CONTACT with any individual at the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) facility.

//

EXHIBIT G

2. Defendant to maintain full time employment, or enroll in a vocational/educational schooling, or complete ONE HUNDRED (100) hours of community service work each month. Provide proof to P&P.

3. Defendant shall submit your digital storage media or any digital storage media that you have access or use, including computers, handheld communication devices and any network applications associated with those devices, including social media and remote storage services to a search and shall provide all passwords, unlock codes and account information associated with those items, with or without a search warrant, by the Division of Parole and Probation or its agent.

COURT FURTHER ORDERED, all good time credits REVOKED and DIRECTED the Defendant to report to P&P within TWO (2) business days. Court advised that due to the holidays the Defendant has until Friday, December 26, 2025 to report to P&P. BOND, if any, EXONERATED.

March 9, 2026

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DISTRICT COURT
OF THE
EIGHTH
JUDICIAL
DISTRICT
COUNTY OF CLARK
STATE OF NEVADA

CERTIFIED COPY
ELECTRONIC SEAL (NRS 1.190(3))

Dated this 4th day of February, 2026

413 12C 024D 7942
Tara Clark Newberry
District Court Judge

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

State of Nevada	CASE NO: C-25-394235-1
vs	DEPT. NO. Department 21
Alizabeth Vasquez	

AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Judgment of Conviction was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 2/4/2026

R Holm	rholm@ag.nv.gov
C Ross	CRoss@ag.nv.gov
Marcie Burris	mburris@ag.nv.gov
Erica Gold	egold@ag.nv.gov
Camila Reingruber	creingruber@ag.nv.gov

Electronically Filed
09/18/2025
CLERK OF THE COURT

JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. Alizabeth Vasquez, Defendant	District Court Case No.: C-25-394235-1 Dept.: XXI Justice Court Case No.: 25-CR-053744

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of the proceedings as the same appear in the above case.

Dated this 18th day of September, 2025

Harmony Retzia

Justice of the Peace, Las Vegas Township

EXHIBIT H

JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. Alizabeth Vasquez Defendant	District Court Case No.: C-25-394235-1 Justice Court Case No.: 25-CR-053744

BINDOVER and ORDER TO APPEAR

An Order having been made this day by me that **Alizabeth Vasquez** be held to answer before the Eighth Judicial District Court, upon the charge(s) of **Furn or att, c/s to state prisoner [53435]; Oppression under color of office with immediate threat or use of physical force [52313]; Know/attempt furnish a portabled telecom dev [54775]; Unlawful for prison employee contractor volunteer to commit unauthorized contact w prisoner [58752]** committed in said Township and County, on April 01, 2024 .

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said defendant is commanded to appear in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Regional Justice Center, Las Vegas, Nevada Sep 25 2025 9:30AM: Department 21 - Courtroom 14A, for arraignment and further proceedings on the within charge(s).

Dated this 18th day of September, 2025

Harmony Retzia

Justice of the Peace, Las Vegas Township

FILED
Jun 24 2025 2:52PM
Justice Court
Las Vegas, Nevada

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General
ERICA M. GOLD (Bar No. 8574)
Senior Deputy Attorney General
State of Nevada
Office of the Attorney General
1 State of Nevada Way, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
P: (702) 486-3420
F: (702) 486-0660
EGold@ag.nv.gov
Attorneys for the State of Nevada

JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP

CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

JC CASE NO: 25-CR-053744

JC DEPT NO: 03

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, ID# 8252254,

Defendant.

AG Case No.: 11801-3808.02

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

AARON D. FORD, Attorney General for the State of Nevada, by and through ERICA M. GOLD, Senior Deputy Attorney General, complains and charges the above-named Defendant, ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, with committing the crimes of: one (1) count of FURNISHING A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE TO A STATE PRISONER, a category "B" felony, in violation of NRS 212.160(1)(a) [NOC 53435]; one (1) count of OPPRESSION UNDER COLOR OF OFFICE WITH USE OF PHYSICAL FORCE, a category "D" felony, in violation of NRS 197.200(1)(a), NRS 197.200(1)(d), NRS 197.200(2)(a) [NOC 52313]; one (1) count of FURNISHING A PORTABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE TO A STATE PRISONER, a category "E" felony, in violation of NRS 212.165(1) [NOC 54775]; and one (1) count of UNAUTHORIZED CUSTODIAL CONDUCT BY EMPLOYEE, a gross misdemeanor, in violation of NRS 212.188(1)(b), NRS 212.188(2)(b), NRS 212.188(3)(b)(1)(I) [NOC 58752].

All of the acts alleged herein were committed by the above-named defendant within the County of Clark, State of Nevada on or between approximately April 1, 2024 and October 31, 2024, in the following manner:

COUNT I
FURNISHING A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE TO A STATE PRISONER
Category "B" Felony
NRS 212.160(1)(a)

Defendant ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, without authorization of law, did knowingly furnish, attempt to furnish, aid, or assist in furnishing or attempting to furnish to a prisoner confined in an institution of the Nevada Department of Corrections ("NDOC"), a controlled substance, to wit: the Defendant furnished, aided in furnishing, attempted to furnish, and/or attempted to aid in furnishing to E.L. and/or one or more other prisoner(s) confined at Southern Desert Correctional Center ("SDCC") and/or High Desert State Prison ("HDSP") the controlled substance(s) of Methamphetamine, Cocaine, and/or Marijuana.

COUNT II
OPPRESSION UNDER COLOR OF OFFICE WITH USE OF PHYSICAL FORCE
Category "D" Felony
NRS 197.200(1)(a), NRS 197.200(1)(d), NRS 197.200(2)(a)

Defendant, ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, while an officer, or a person pretending to be an officer, unlawfully and maliciously, under pretense or color of official authority, and with use of physical force or the immediate threat of physical force, arrested or detained a person against the person's will, and/or committed an act whereby the person, property, or rights of another person were injured, to wit: the Defendant, while a correctional officer employed by NDOC, acting under color of official authority, maliciously and without lawful authority, removed, or had removed, inmate E.L. from his assigned NDOC housing unit to a closet within the prison and then kissed E.L. on his mouth therein, while E.L. was an inmate serving a felony sentence with NDOC at SDCC and/or HDSP.

///

///

///

///

COUNT III
FURNISHING A PORTABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE TO A STATE PRISONER
Category "E" Felony
NRS 212.165(1)

Defendant ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, without lawful authorization, did knowingly furnish, attempt to furnish, or aid or assist in furnishing or attempting to furnish to a prisoner confined in an institution or a facility of the Department of Corrections, a portable telecommunications device, to wit: the Defendant brought in, or attempted to bring in, to SDCC and/or HDSP one or more mobile phone devices to furnish to E.L. and/or one or more State prisoner(s) incarcerated therein,

COUNT IV
UNAUTHORIZED CUSTODIAL CONDUCT
Gross Misdemeanor
NRS 212.188(1)(b), NRS 212.188(2)(b), NRS 212.188(3)(b)(1)(I)

Defendant ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, while an employee of a prison, did voluntarily engage in, or attempted to engage in, unauthorized custodial conduct with a prisoner in the lawful custody and/or confinement of the NDOC, by having contact between the mouth and any part of the body, committed with the intent to abuse the prisoner or to arouse, appeal to, or gratify the sexual desires of either person, to wit: the Defendant, while a correctional officer, did kiss the mouth of E.L., with the intent to abuse him and/or to arouse, appeal to, or gratify the sexual desires of either herself or E.L., while E.L. was in the lawful custody and/or confinement of SDCC and/or HDSP.

All of which is contrary to the form, force, and effect of the statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the state of Nevada.

The Complainant requests an Arrest Warrant be issued for the defendant at this time pursuant to NRS 171.106. Complainant believes that these crimes occurred and that the defendant, ALIZABETH LAZARUS VASQUEZ, committed these crimes because Complainant is a Senior Deputy Attorney General, and is in possession of, among other things, a declaration authored by an Investigator with NDOC, Office of the Inspector General, a copy of which is attached and incorporated by reference for the limited purpose of securing a warrant of arrest.

/ / /

/ / /

Said Complainant makes this declaration under penalty of perjury.

DATED this 9th day of June, 2025.

SUBMITTED BY

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General

By: */s/ Erica M. Gold*
ERICA M. GOLD (Bar No. 8574)
Senior Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for the State of Nevada

11. PUBLIC COMMENT

The opportunity for public comment is reserved for any matter listed on the agenda as well as any matter within the jurisdiction of the Commission. No action on such an item may be taken by the Commission unless and until the matter has been noticed as an action item. No comments may be made regarding a matter that is or may be the subject of a formal complaint before the Commission. Comment may not be limited based on viewpoint.

12. DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.
Schedule upcoming Public Hearing and regularly scheduled meeting – October 26-28?

13. DISCUSSION AND FOR POSSIBLE ACTION.
Adjournment.